arXiv:1612.02735v1 [math.OA] 8 Dec 2016

HARMONIC ANALYSIS APPROACH TO GROMOV–HAUSDORFF CONVERGENCE FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE TORI

MARIUS JUNGE, SEPIDEH REZVANI, AND QIANG ZENG

Abstract. We show that the rotation algebras are limit of matrix algebras in a very strong sense of convergence for algebras with additional Lipschitz structure. Our results generalize to higher dimensional noncommutative tori and operator valued coefficients. In contrast to previous results by Rieffel, Li, Kerr, and Latrémolière we use Lipschitz norms induced by the 'carré du champ' of certain natural dynamical systems, including the heat semigroup.

1. Introduction

The theory of quantum metric spaces, inspired by Connes' work in noncommutative geometry [Con94] and results from string theory, aims to capture the notion of convergence for noncommutative algebras. From the examples in quantum field theory (see e.g. [GKP97, CDS98, Sch98, Kro99, SW99, Mad99, KS00, HL01]), it is clear that the notion of convergence is motivated through the notion of distance in the commutative situation. It is fair to say that Rieffel and his collaborators have come a long way, before nice conceptual framework was created which satisfies mathematical principles and includes the expected examples. The aim of this paper is to use tools from harmonic analysis and operator space theory to show that rotation algebras provide natural examples for even the strongest form of matricial approximation known as of now.

Rotation algebras provide paradigmatic examples in noncommutative geometry. These examples are close enough to their commutative counterparts, classical higher dimensional tori, and still exhibit some genuine noncommutative features. In order to talk about geometry, it is necessary to identify the additional structure concerning derivatives on top of identifying the the algebra of noncommutative functions. Motivated by examples from string theory, Rieffel decided to focus on the additional structure of Lipschitz functions. Let us be more precise and consider a spectral triple (A, H, D) given by a *-algebra A, a self-adjoint operator D and a Hilbert space H so that

$$\|a\|_{Lip} \;=\; \|[D, a]\| \,.$$

Date: December 9, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L52, 46L07, 46L53, 46L87, 58B34, 81R30.

For Spin-manifolds, D is given by the Dirac operator, and then the Lipschitz norm reflects the Riemannian distance, i.e.

$$d(p,q) \;=\; \sup_{\|f\|_{Lip}\leq 1} |f(p)-f(q)| \,.$$

Starting from this point of view, Rieffel was able to make sense of certain statements from physics, stating that there are *matrix algebras converging to spheres*; see [Rie04b]. In this paper we want to prove that similarly *rotation algebras of even dimensional tori are limits of matrix algebras*.

Similar results have been obtained by Latrémolière [Lat05], Kerr and Li [KL09]. The starting point of the theory is to consider the Gromov–Haussdorff distance

$$d_{GH}(X,Y) \;=\; \inf_{X\subset Z, Y\subset Z} \max\{\sup_x d(x,Y),\; \sup_y d(X,y)\}$$

where the infimum is taken over isometric embedding $X \subset Z$, $Y \subset Z$ in a common metric space and define for C*-algebras A and B

$$d_{GH}((A,\|\cdot\|_{Lip}),(B,\|\cdot\|_{Lip})) \;=\; d_{GH}((S(A),d_L),(S(B),d_L)) \,,$$

where the state space is equipped with the Lip-metric

$$d_L(\phi,\psi) \;=\; \sup_{\|a\|_{Lip}\leq 1} |\phi(a)-\psi(a)| \,.$$

However, for C*-algebras and C*-algebraists, it is more natural to consider the objects themselves. It appears that finding the 'correct' notion of distance for Lip-normed C^*-algebras has gone through a variety of different stages, making certain compromises between practicality in terms of examples and validity of conceptual properties. Even during the time of finalizing this paper, it was necessary to shoot for a moving target. However, the principal tools for rotation algebras, based on harmonic analysis, are very concrete. The starting point of our work is the definition of distance for compact order-unit space given by Li [Li06]

$$d_{oq}(A,B) \;=\; d'_{GH}(D_R(A),D_R(B)) \,,$$

where $D_R(A) = \{a \in A : \|a\|_{Lip} \leq 1, \|a\| \leq R\}$. We refer to [Li06] for additional restriction on which type of embeddings and superspaces Z are permitted in realizing this distance d'_{GH} in the space of order unit spaces.

Theorem 1.1. *(Li)* $d_{GH}((A,\|\cdot\|_{Lip}),(B,\|\cdot\|_{Lip})) \leq \frac{5}{2} d_{oq}(A,B)$. *Moreover, For a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces* $\mathcal{A}$ *on* $\mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$ *with coordinate maps* π_n*, the following criterion implies convergence. Let* R *be larger that the diameter of the space* $S(\pi_n(\mathcal{A}))$*. For all* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists* n_0 *and* $x_1,...,x_N \in \mathcal{A}$ *such that for* $x \in D_R(\pi_n(\mathcal{A}))$ *and* $n > n_0$ *there exists* $j \in \{1,...,N\}$ *such that* $\pi_\infty(x_j) \in D_R(\pi_\infty(\mathcal{A}))$ *and* $\|\pi_n(x_j) - x\| < \varepsilon$*.*

In this criterion, the continuity of the family $\mathcal{A}$ is required in order to ensure that $\pi_n(x)$ is well-defined for nice elements $x \in \pi_\infty(\mathcal{A})$. It is important to note that in establishing

this criterion Li has to leave the category of C*-algebras and work within ordered unit spaces. Nevertheless it is desirable to work with a notion of distance so that $d(A,B)=0$ implies that the corresponding C*-algebras are isomorphic, and it appears that this leads to rather involved definitions of propinquity, and dual propinquity, see [Lat16, Lat15b, Lat15]. In fact, even in our writing process, we have been caught up with this changing set of definitions, and hence proved our results in different setups. Let us start with the easiest example and the easiest form of convergence. Our target algebra is $C(\mathbb{T})$ with $D=\mathrm{i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}$ and

$$\|f\|_{Lip} \;=\; \|f'\| \,.$$

In contrast to [Lat05] our approach towards Lipschitz norms is dynamical in nature. More precisely, we start with a semigroup $T_t=e^{-tA}$ of completely positive trace preserving maps and gradient form

$$2\Gamma_A(x,y) \;=\; A(x^*)y+x^*A(y)-A(x^*y)\,.$$

We refer to [CS03] for the domain issues here. Our Lipschitz norm is given by

$$\|x\|_{Lip_A} \;=\; \max\{\|\Gamma_A(x,x)\|^{1/2},\|\Gamma_A(x^*,x^*)\|^{1/2}\}\,.$$

For $C(\mathbb{T})$ we may consider the heat semigroup $T_t(e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot})=e^{-k^2t}e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}$ and the Poisson semigroup $P_t(e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot})=e^{-|k|t}e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}$. For the heat semigroup we find the usual Lipschitz structure, while the Poisson structure is a little more exotic. Since $C(\mathbb{T})=C^*(\mathbb{Z})$ is a group algebra, we should expect the approximating examples $B_n=C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ to be of the same nature, where $\mathbb{Z}_n=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is the finite cyclic group. Indeed, the Poisson semigroup given by $P_t(e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}{n}})=e^{-t|k|_n}e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}{n}}$, where $|k|_n=\min(k,n-k)$, gives a natural finite dimensional analogue. For the heat semiroup we have to work with the semigroup $T_t(e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}{n}})=e^{-\frac{tn^2}{2\pi^2}(1-\cos(2\pi k/n))}e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}{n}}$. Our first observation is that we have a natural *-homomorphism $\pi_n:C^*(\mathbb{Z})\to C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ given by $\pi_n(e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\cdot})=e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}\cdot}{n}}$ such that

$$\lim_n \|\pi_n(x)\|_{C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)} \;=\; \|x\|_{C^*(\mathbb{Z})}\,,\quad \lim_n \|\pi_n(x)\|_{Lip_n} \;=\; \|x\|_{Lip} \tag{1.1}$$

holds for trigonometric polynomials. Here *Lip* norm refers to Poisson semigroup in both cases, or heat semigroup in both cases.

Theorem 1.2. *$(C^*(\mathbb{Z}_n),Lip_n)$ converges to $(C^*(\mathbb{Z}),Lip)$ with respect to d_{oq} and also with respect to the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

Indeed, we verify Li's criterion by working with finite set of Fourier coefficients $(\pi_n(e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}k\cdot}))_{-m\leq k\leq m}$ using tools from harmonic analysis, inspired from [JM10]. The argument for rotation algebras $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ generated by unitaries u,v such that

$$uv \;=\; e^{2\pi i\theta}vu\,,$$

is very similar. Indeed, we consider the finite dimensional version $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ generated by $u(n),v(n)$ such that

$$u(n)v(n) \;=\; e^{2\pi i\theta}v(n)u(n)\,,\; u(n)^n=1=v(n)^n\,.$$

In case $\theta = p/q$ and $(p,q) = 1$ are relatively prime we obtain the full matrix algebras. We use the Lipschitz norm transferred from $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ by

$$T_t(u(n)^k v(n)^l) \;=\; e^{-t(\psi_n(k)+\psi_n(l))} u(n)^k v(n)^l$$

where $\psi_n(k) = \frac{n^2}{2\pi^2}(1-\cos(2\pi k/n))$ is the generetor for the heat semigroup and $\psi_n(k) = |k|_n$ for the Poisson semigroup. Using the maps $\pi_n(u^k v^l) = u(n)^k v(n)^l$ we are able to verify the compactness and continuity requirements in Li's criterion and obtain:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 \le \theta \le 1$. Then there exists a subsequence of matrix algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\theta_{n_j}}(n_j)$ which converge to $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in the quantum Gromov–Haussdorff distance, with respect to the heat or Poisson Lipschitz norm.*

In fact our results hold for all semigroups for which $u^k v^l$ are eigenfunctions and the choice of the matrices are independent of the specific semigroup. We can also generalize this result for higher dimensional tori $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$ generated by a sequence of unitaries u_k satisfying

$$u_k u_l \;=\; e^{2\pi i \theta_{kl}} u_l u_k \,.$$

Using the heat semigroup for the Lip-norm we deduce that

$$\|x\|_{Lip} \sim \max_k \|\delta_k(x)\|$$

where the derivations are determined by $\delta_k(u_j) = \Delta_{kj}$ and Δ_{kj} is the Kronecker delta function. It is clear that δ_k is an analogue of directional derivative in the commutative setting. This Lipschitz norm has been identified, at least for $d = 2$, in Connes' approach via spectral triples (see [Con94]). Note here that the continuity assumption is based on extension of a result of Rieffel [Rie89], refined by Haagerup and Rordam [HR95], on the continuous field given by the family $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$. Using Blanchard's extension of Kirchberg's exact embedding theory into $C(X;\mathcal{O}_2)$ (see [Bla97]), one can considerably improve the convergence properties in this case. The great advantages of Blanchard's argument for convergence of nuclear algebras has been discovered in [KL09]. In order to formulate our results in this setting, we will define a Lipschitz structure for tensor products. Indeed, in our situation we can identify a derivation $\delta : \mathcal{A}_\Theta^d \to M$ and a conditional expectation $E : M \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d)''$ such that

$$\Gamma(x,y) \;=\; E(\delta(x)^*\delta(y)) \,.$$

This allows us to define for $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\Theta$,

$$\|x\|_{Lip} \;=\; \max\{\|\operatorname{id}\otimes E((\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x)^*(\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x))\|^{1/2}, \|\operatorname{id}\otimes E((\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x^*))^*(\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x^*))\|^{1/2}\} \,.$$

Here $\mathcal{K}$ is the space of compact operators on ℓ_2.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists a sequence $B_n = \mathcal{A}_{\Theta_n}^{2d}(k_n)$ of matrix algebras, and *-homomorphism $\pi_n : B_n \to \mathcal{B}(H)$, $\pi_\infty : \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d} \to \mathcal{B}(H)$ with the following convergence property. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an n_ε such that for all $n > n_\varepsilon$*

I) *For every* $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ *with* $\|x\|_{Lip} \leq 1$*, there exists a* $y \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ *with* $\|y\|_{Lip} \leq 1$ *and*

$$\|\pi_\infty(x) - \pi_n(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \, .$$

II) *For every* $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ *with* $\|x\|_{Lip} \leq 1$*, there exists a* $y \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ *with* $\|y\|_{Lip} \leq 1$ *and*

$$\|\pi_n(x) - \pi_\infty(y)\| \leq \varepsilon \, .$$

A very similar criterion is used in Latrémolière [Lat15] to show convergence in the propinquity sense. Starting from I) and II) it is very easy to construct an operator space X, so that the Gromov–Hausdorff distance between $S(\mathcal{A}_\Theta)$ and $S(B_n)$ is $\leq \varepsilon$. Indeed, fix operator space embeddings $v_n : (B_n, \|\cdot\|_{Lip}) \to \mathcal{B}(H_1)$, $v_\infty : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta, \|\cdot\|_{Lip}) \to \mathcal{B}(H_2)$ and consider the operator space

$$X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v_n(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_\infty(b) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\pi_\infty(a) - \pi_n(b)) \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathcal{A}_\Theta, b \in B_n \right\} .$$

Then the quotient maps $q_{\mathcal{A}_\Theta} : X \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta, \|\cdot\|_{Lip})$ and $q_n : X \to (B_n, \|\cdot\|_{Lip})$ are completely surjective. It turns out that now $q_{\mathcal{A}_\Theta}^*(S(\mathcal{A}_\Theta))$ and $q_n^*(S(B_n))$ are both embedded isometrically in the unit ball of X^* and are ε-close; see [Lat16, Theorem 6.3]. Moreover, this easily extends to matrix-valued states. It seems that state spaces of operator spaces are natural candidates for realizing the Gromov–Hausdorff distance for state spaces; see Section 8 for additional structure of the space X above. In this sense the cb-propinquity criterion is stronger, and probably also more transparent, than Li's criterion for order-unit spaces. Our operator space embedding for the Lip-norms are fairly explicit.

The extension to matrix valued coefficients requires some conceptual improvements, and therefore is postponed to Section 6, 7 and 8. It appears that even for $d = 1$ and the torus this strong notion of convergence has been overlooked. The convergence for matrix valued coefficients is one of the benefits of our method, which is based on noncommutative harmonic analysis and operator space theory. Note that harmonic analysis on noncommutative tori from the perspective of operator space theory has been studied extensively in recent years; see [CXY13, XXY15, XXX16] and the references therein.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define semigroups associated to conditionally negative length functions on groups which will be used repeatedly. Then some general estimates from noncommutative harmonic analysis are given in Section 3. We prove quantum Gromov–Hausdorff convergence for $C(\mathbb{T})$ and for the noncommutative two tori via Li's criteria in Section 4 and 5, respectively. In Section 6 and 7, we propose a completely bounded version of quantum Gromov–Hausdorff convergence and extend the previous approximation results to two and higher (even) dimensions in this sense. Finally, we show that matrix algebras converge to the noncommutative $2d$ tori in the c.b. version of Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity in Section 8.

Acknowledgements. M.J. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1501103. Q.Z. would like to thank the support from the UIUC graduate college dissertation fellowship, CMSA at Harvard University, MSRI, and the mathematics department of Northwestern University during the preparation of this paper. We are grateful to Florin Boca for helpful conversations and suggestions on a draft of this paper.

2. Conditionally negative length functions on groups

Although the objects we study in this paper are C*-algebras (and order unit spaces), we will use various estimates in noncommutative L_p spaces. To this end, we need to work in the context of von Neumann algebras. We refer to e.g. [BO08, JMP14, JZ15] and the references therein for the unexplained facts in the following. Let $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ be a noncommutative W^* probability space. Here $\mathcal{N}$ is a finite von Neumann algebra and τ is a normal faithful tracial state. Let $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a pointwise weak* continuous semigroup acting on $(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ such that every T_t is unital, normal, completely positive and self-adjoint in the sense that $\tau(T_t(f)g)=\tau(fT_t(g))$ for every $f,g\in\mathcal{N}$. We will call a semigroup satisfying these conditions a noncommutative symmetric Markov semigroup. One can extend T_t to a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions on $L_2(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ (actually on $L_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ for all $1\leq p<\infty$). Here the noncommutative $L_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)$ space is the closure of $\mathcal{N}$ in the norm $\|f\|_p=[\tau(f^*f)^{p/2}]^{1/p}$ for $1\leq p<\infty$ and $\|f\|_\infty=\|f\|=\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}}$, the operator norm. We denote by A the infinitesimal generator of T_t, i.e. $T_t=e^{-tA}$. We define the gradient form associated to A (Meyer's 'carré du champ') by

$$\Gamma^A(f,g)=\frac{1}{2}[A(f^*)g+f^*A(g)-A(f^*g)], \tag{2.1}$$

for f,g in the domain of A. Our major examples involve groups with conditionally negative length functions.

Let G be a countable discrete group. Let $\lambda: G\to B(\ell_2(G))$ be the left regular representation of G given by $\lambda(x)\delta_y=\delta_{xy}$ for $x,y\in G$, where $(\delta_x)_{x\in G}$ is the natural unit vectors of $\ell_2(G)$, the natural Hilbert space associated to G. Let $C_r^*(G)$ and LG denote the reduced C*-algebra and von Neumann algebra of G, respectively. They are the norm closure and weak* closure of $\lambda(G)$ in $B(\ell_2(G))$, respectively. There is a canonical normal faithful tracial state τ_G on $C_r^*(G)$ and LG given by $\tau_G(f)=\langle\delta_e,f\delta_e\rangle$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product on $\ell_2(G)$ and e is the identity of G. A function $\psi: G\to\mathbb{R}_+$ is called a length function if $\psi(e)=0$ and $\psi(x)=\psi(x^{-1})$. A length function ψ is said to be conditionally negative if $\sum_x\beta_x=0$ implies that $\sum_{x,y}\bar{\beta}_x\beta_y\psi(x^{-1}y)\leq 0$. By Schoenberg's theorem, a conditionally negative length function ψ gives rise to a completely positive semigroup $(T_t)_{t\geq 0}$ acting on LG, which is defined by $T_t\lambda(x)=e^{-t\psi(x)}\lambda(x)$. It is well known that T_t thus defined is a noncommutative symmetric Markov semigroup and its generator is given by $A\lambda(x)=\psi(x)\lambda(x)$; see e.g. [JZ15]. The Gromov form K in this context is defined as

$$K(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}[\psi(x)+\psi(y)-\psi(x^{-1}y)],\ \text{for } x,y\in G.$$

It is well known that ψ is conditionally negative if and only if K is positive semidefinite as a matrix; see e.g. [BO08, Appendix D] for more details. We can write the gradient form as

$$\Gamma^{\psi}(f,g)=\sum_{x,y}\bar{\hat{f}}(x)K(x,y)\hat{g}(y)\lambda(x^{-1}y) \tag{2.2}$$

for $f=\sum_x \hat{f}(x)\lambda(x)\in LG$ and $g=\sum_y \hat{g}(y)\lambda(y)\in LG$ being finite linear combinations. In the following, we will frequently ignore the superscript A and ψ in the notation of gradient form for short.

In this paper, we will mainly work with $G=\mathbb{Z}^d$ or $G=\mathbb{Z}_n^d=(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^d$. In this paragraph we write λ and λ_n for the left regular representations of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$, respectively. Using the Fourier transform, we can identify $\lambda(k)$ with $e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}k\cdot}$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, identify $C_r^*(\mathbb{Z})$ with $C(\mathbb{T})$, the continuous functions on the torus $\mathbb{T}=\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and identify $L\mathbb{Z}$ with $L_\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Since the dual group of $\mathbb{Z}_n$ is $\mathbb{Z}_n$, we can identify $\lambda_n(j)$ with $\exp(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}j\cdot}{n})$ for $j\in\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)=L(\mathbb{Z}_n)\simeq L_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_n)=\ell_\infty(n)$. Here the induced trace on $\ell_\infty(n)$ is the normalized trace on the $n\times n$ matrix algebra M_n where $\ell_\infty(n)$ is regarded as the diagonal subalgebra of M_n. In other words, $\lambda_n(j)$ is identified with

$$u_j(n)=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}j}{n}} & & & \\ & & e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}j2}{n}} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}j(n-1)}{n}} \end{pmatrix}\in\ell_\infty(n) \tag{2.3}$$

We will consider two types of conditionally negative length functions on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$. The first type is the word length function on $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_n$, respectively, i.e. $\psi(k)=|k|$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\psi_n(k)=|k|_n=\min\{k,n-k\},\ \text{for } k\in\mathbb{Z}_n=\{0,1,...,n-1\}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is known that the word length functions are conditionally negative; see e.g. [JZ15b, JPPP13]. To unify our notation, we will write $\mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}_\infty$, $\psi=\psi_\infty$ and $\overline{\mathbb{N}}=\mathbb{N}\cup\{+\infty\}$. We will call the semigroup generated by ψ_n the Poisson semigroup on $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ (or $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$) for $n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}$. This corresponds to the semigroup generated by $(-\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}x^2)^{1/2}$ on $C(\mathbb{T})$ in Fourier analysis. A more natural operator to consider is $-\mathrm{d}^2/\mathrm{d}x^2$, the 1-dimensional Laplacian. The corresponding conditionally negative length function on $\mathbb{Z}$ is $\psi(k)=k^2$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. On $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, it is tempting to consider $\psi(k)=k^2$ for $|k|\le n/2$. (Note that here and in what follows we may replace k by $k-n$ if $k>n/2$.) However, it is easy to check that this length function on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for finite n is not conditionally negative. Instead, we consider

$$\psi_n(k)=\frac{n^2}{2\pi^2}[1-\cos(\frac{2\pi k}{n})],\ \text{for } k\in\mathbb{Z}_n, n\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.5}$$

One can check that ψ_n defined in (2.5) is conditionally negative by noting that $\exp(\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}\cdot}{n})$ is a positive semidefinite function on $\mathbb{Z}_n$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi_n(k) = k^2$ for any fixed k, we have

$$\psi_n(k) \sim k^2 \text{ for } |k| \le n/2. \tag{2.6}$$

Here and in the following $a_k \sim b_k$ for two sequences (a_k) and (b_k) means that there exists an absolute constant $C \ge 1$ such that $C^{-1} \le a_k/b_k \le C$. We also define $\psi_\infty(k) = k^2$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and call the semigroup generated by ψ_n defined by (2.5) the heat semigroup on $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ (or $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$) for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Once we know ψ_n for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we write $\Gamma = \Gamma^{\psi_\infty}$ and $\Gamma^n = \Gamma^{\psi_n}$. We also denote by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the supremum norm on both $C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.

Let us now introduce the terminology and notation of compact quantum metric spaces. Our references here are [Rie04, Li06]. Given a unital C*-algebra $\mathscr{A}$, we denote by $\mathscr{A}_{sa}$ the set of self-adjoint elements in $\mathscr{A}$. Then $\mathscr{A}_{sa}$ is an order-unit space in the sense of [Li06] with the identity of $\mathscr{A}$ as its order unit. Let L be a (densely) defined Lip-norm on $\mathscr{A}_{sa}$ and write $\mathcal{A} = \{f \in \mathscr{A}_{sa} : L(f) < \infty\}$. By definition, $\mathcal{A}$ is a dense order-unit subspace of $\mathscr{A}_{sa}$ and $(\mathcal{A}, L)$ is a compact quantum metric space; see [Li06]. Let $S(\mathcal{A})$ denote the state space of $\mathcal{A}$. For $\phi, \psi \in S(\mathcal{A})$, we define

$$\rho_L(\phi,\psi) = \sup\{|\phi(a) - \psi(a)| : L(a) \le 1, a \in \mathcal{A}\}. \tag{2.7}$$

In general, ρ_L can be defined on the state space of a C*-algebra where a semi-norm L is well-defined. The radius of $(S(\mathcal{A}), \rho_L)$ is given by

$$r_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{2}\sup\{\rho_L(\phi,\psi) : \phi, \psi \in S(\mathcal{A})\}.$$

For $r \ge 0$, let

$$\mathcal{D}_r(\mathcal{A}) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : L(a) \le 1, \|a\| \le r\}.$$

For a (separable) Hilbert space H, we write H^c and H^r for its associated column and row operator space, respectively. We denote by S_p^m (resp. S_p) the Schatten p class on ℓ_2^m (resp. ℓ_2). For x in a C* algebra with state ϕ, we denote by $\mathring{x} = x - \phi(x)$ the mean-zero part of x.

3. Some analytic estimates

In this section we collect some analytic estimates which we will need later. Let us define

$$L_p^0(\mathcal{N}) = \{f \in L_p(\mathcal{N}) : \lim_{t\to\infty} T_t f = 0\}$$

for $1 \le p \le \infty$. Here the limit is taken in $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $1 \le p < \infty$ and in the weak* topology for $p = \infty$. Following [JM10], we define the (mean-zero) Lorentz spaces $L_{r,s}^0(\mathcal{N}) = [L_p^0(\mathcal{N}), L_q^0(\mathcal{N})]_{\theta,s}$, where $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{q}$. See e.g. [BL76, PX03] for the interpolation spaces. Note that in our case for the generator A of the semigroup $(T_t)_{t\ge0}$, we have $\mathrm{Ker}(A^{1/2}) = \mathbb{C}1$, which is equivalent to the ergodicity of the semigroup.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $T_t = e^{-tA}$ be a noncommutative symmetric Markov semigroup on $(\mathcal{N}, \tau)$. Suppose*

$$\|T_t : L_1^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{N}, \tau)\|_{\rm cb} \le Ct^{-m/2}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then $\|A^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(\mathcal{N}, \tau) \to L_\infty^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\rm cb} \le C(m, \alpha)$ for $\alpha > \frac{m}{2p}$, where $C(m, \alpha) < \infty$ only depends on m and α.

Proof. The argument modifies from [JM10]; see also [JZ15, Corollary 4.22]. Let $\alpha = \frac{m}{2s}$. The argument in [JM10, Lemma 1.1.3] can be trivially generalized to prove the complete boundedness. Hence, we have

$$\|A^{-\alpha} : L_{s,1}^0(\mathcal{N}) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{N})\|_{\rm cb} \le C(m, \alpha).$$

We know from the interpolation theory that $L_p^0(\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow L_{s,1}^0(\mathcal{N})$ if $p > s$. The assertion follows. □

Let us consider the rotation C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$, where $\Theta = (\theta_{ij})$ is a $d \times d$ skew symmetric matrix with $\theta_{ij} \in [0, 1)$. By definition, $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by unitaries $u_1, ..., u_d$ with the commutation relations

$$u_k u_l = e^{2\pi {\rm i}\theta_{kl}} u_l u_k, \quad k, l = 1, ..., d.$$

It is well known that $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ admits a faithful canonical tracial state τ such that $\tau(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) = 1$ if and only if $k_1 = \cdots = k_d = 0$; see e.g. [Rie90]. In order to work with noncommutative L_p spaces of von Neumann algebras, we recall that $\mathcal{R}_\Theta = \mathcal{A}_\Theta''$ is the rotation von Neumann algebra associated to Θ, which is the weak* closure of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ acting on the GNS Hilbert space $L_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta, \tau)$. The linear combinations of $u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}$ form a weakly dense subspace of $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$. We will frequently use the following *-homomorphism:

$$\pi : \mathcal{R}_\Theta \to L(\mathbb{Z}^d) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{R}_\Theta, \quad \pi(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) = e^{2\pi {\rm i}\langle \vec{k}, \cdot \rangle} u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}. \tag{3.2}$$

Note that π is trace preserving. Let ψ be a conditionally negative length function on $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and $\tilde{T}_t$ the semigroup on $L(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ generated by ψ. We define a semigroup on $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$

$$T_t(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) = e^{-t\psi(k_1, ..., k_d)} u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}.$$

Then $(\tilde{T}_t \otimes {\rm id}) \circ \pi = \pi \circ T_t$. Thanks to Schoenberg's Theorem, T_t is a completely positive map for each $t \ge 0$. We see that T_t is a noncommutative symmetric Markov semigroup on $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$; see also [JZ15, Proposition 5.10].

Corollary 3.2. *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of T_t defined as above. Assume that there exist $D > 0$ and $\gamma \ge 0$ such that*

$$\#\{\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d : \psi(\vec{k}) = j\} \le Dj^\gamma, \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

Then

$$\|T_t : L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)\|_{\rm cb} \le Ct^{-(\gamma+1)},$$

where C only depends on D and γ (and independent of n). Therefore, $A^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ is completely bounded for $\alpha > \frac{\gamma+1}{p}$. In particular, if $\psi(\vec{k}) \sim |k_1| + \cdots + |k_d|$, we can choose $\gamma = d-1$; and if $\psi(\vec{k}) \sim |k_1|^2 + \cdots + |k_d|^2$, we have actually a better bound

$$\|T_t : L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)\|_{\rm cb} \le Ct^{-d/2}.$$

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{\psi(\vec{k})>0} a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d} \in M_m(L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))$ be a finite linear combination. Then $(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_t)(x) = \sum_{\vec{k}} e^{-t\psi(\vec{k})} a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}$. Consider the linear functional

$$\phi : L_1(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \phi(f) = \tau(f \cdot (u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d})^*).$$

We have $\|\phi\|_{\rm cb} = \|\phi\|$ and thus $\|a_{\vec{k}}\|_{M_m} \le \|x\|_{M_m(L_1)}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_t)x\|_{M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)} &\le \sum_{\psi(\vec{k})>0} \|a_{\vec{k}}\|_{M_m} e^{-t\psi(\vec{k})} \|u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}\| \le \|x\|_{M_m(L_1(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))} \sum_{\psi(\vec{k})>0} e^{-t\psi(\vec{k})} \\ &\le D\|x\|_{M_m(L_1)} \int_0^\infty s^\gamma e^{-ts} \mathrm{d}s = D\Gamma(\gamma+1)\|x\|_{M_m(L_1)} t^{-(\gamma+1)}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields $\|T_t : L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)\|_{\rm cb} \le ct^{-\gamma-1}$. We deduce from Proposition 3.1 with $m = 2(\gamma+1)$ that $A^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ is completely bounded for $\alpha > \frac{\gamma+1}{p}$.

It remains to check the value of γ. For $\psi(\vec{k}) \sim |k_1| + \cdots + |k_d|$, we have

$$\#\{\vec{k} : \psi(\vec{k}) = j\} \le Dj^{d-1}.$$

For $\psi(\vec{k}) \sim |k_1|^2 + \cdots + |k_d|^2$, we can of course take $\gamma = d-1$. But the most interesting value of t is $0 < t < 1$. So we want a smaller value of γ. Let $x = \sum_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d} \in M_m(L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_t)x\|_{M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)} &\le \sum_{\vec{k}} \|a_{\vec{k}}\|_{M_m} e^{-t\psi(\vec{k})} \\ &\le C\|x\|_{M_m(L_1)} \Big(\int_0^\infty e^{-cts^2} \mathrm{d}s \Big)^d \le Ct^{-d/2} \|x\|_{M_m(L_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|T_t : L_1^0(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to L_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)\|_{\rm cb} \le Ct^{-d/2}$. □

For notational convenience, let us introduce the following norms for $2 \le p \le \infty$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a von Neumann algebra with a trace τ and H a seperable Hilbert space. Recall from [Pis03] that $H^c[p] = (H^c, H^r)_{1/p}$ and $H^r[p] = (H^r, H^c)_{1/p}$. We define $L_p(\mathcal{N}, H^c[p])$ as a subspace of $L_p(B(H)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{N})$ with the norm

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N},H^c[p])} = \|\langle x, x\rangle_{\mathcal{N}}^{1/2}\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N},\tau)}, \quad x \in H \otimes \mathcal{N}. \tag{3.3}$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the $\mathcal{N}$-valued inner prodcut given by $\langle a \otimes x, b \otimes y \rangle_{\mathcal{N}} = \langle a, b \rangle_H x^* y$. Similarly, we define $L_p(\mathcal{N}, H^r[p])$ as a subspace of $L_p(\mathcal{N}\overline{\otimes}B(H))$ with the norm

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N},H^r[p])} = \|x^*\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N},H^c[p])}.$$

Note that $L_\infty(\mathcal{N}, H^c) = \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\min} H^c$, $L_\infty(\mathcal{N}, H^r) = \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\min} H^r$ and

$$\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}, H^c[p] \cap H^r[p])} = \max\{\|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}, H^c[p])}, \|x\|_{L_p(\mathcal{N}, H^r[p])}\}.$$

Let us turn to the group case. Let ψ be a conditionally negative length function on G. Recall that ψ determines a 1-cocycle $b : G \to H_\psi$ with values in a real unitary representation (α, H_ψ). Here H_ψ is a real Hilbert space and $\langle b(g), b(h)\rangle_{H_\psi} = K(g,h)$. One has

$$b(gh) = b(g) + \alpha_g(b(h)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(g) = \|b(g)\|^2,$$

for $g, h \in G$. See [BO08] for more details. We define $\mathcal{H} = H_\psi \otimes LG$ to be a LG-LG bimodule with the left action

$$\lambda(g)(b(h) \otimes \lambda(s)) = \alpha_g(b(h)) \otimes \lambda(gs)$$

and the right action $(b(h) \otimes \lambda(s))\lambda(g) = b(h) \otimes \lambda(sg)$ for $s, g, h \in G$. Let $\delta : LG \to \mathcal{H}$ be (densely) defined by

$$\delta(\lambda(g)) = b(g) \otimes \lambda(g). \tag{3.4}$$

One can check that δ is a (densely defined) derivation on LG. Moreover, we have

$$\Gamma(x, y) = \langle \delta(x), \delta(y)\rangle_{LG}$$

for x, y in the domain of Γ. Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{LG}$ is the LG-valued inner product of $\mathcal{H}$. One can naturally extend δ to $M_m(LG)$ by defining $\delta(a_g \otimes \lambda(g)) = b(g) \otimes a_g \otimes \lambda(g)$ for $a_g \in M_m$. In terms of (3.3), we may choose $\mathcal{N} = M_m(LG)$ and $H = H_\psi$. Extending the semigroup generated by ψ to matrix levels, we can define the gradient form Γ on $M_m(LG)$. Then we have

$$\|\Gamma(x,x)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(LG))} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H^c_\psi[p])}$$

for $x \in M_m(LG)$. Note that $L_p(M_m(LG)) = S_p^m L_p(LG)$. For our later c.b. estimates of the Riesz transform, we wish to completely embed $L_\infty(LG, H^c_\psi)$ into $L_p(LG, H^c_\psi[p])$. To this end, we have to consider $H^c_\psi \cap H^r_\psi$ and $H^c_\psi[p] \cap H^r_\psi[p]$.

Lemma 3.3. *If G is abelian, then $\|\Gamma(x^*, x^*)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(LG))} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H^r_\psi[p])}$ for $x \in M_m(LG)$.*

Proof. Let $x = \sum_g a_g \otimes \lambda(g)$ where $a_g \in M_m$. We define a linear map

$$J : H_\psi \to H_\psi, \quad J(b(g)) = b(g^{-1}).$$

Then thanks to commutativity,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle b(g), b(h)\rangle = K(g,h) &= \frac{1}{2}[\psi(g) + \psi(h) - \psi(g^{-1}h)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\psi(g^{-1}) + \psi(h^{-1}) - \psi(gh^{-1})] = \langle b(g^{-1}), b(h^{-1})\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Namely, J preserves the inner product of H_ψ. Note that

$$\delta(x^*)^* = \Big(\sum_g b(g^{-1}) \otimes a_g^* \otimes \lambda(g^{-1})\Big)^* = \sum_g b(g^{-1})^* \otimes a_g \otimes \lambda(g) = (J \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})\delta(x).$$

Here we used $b(g^{-1})^*$ to specify that we view $b(g^{-1})$ as a row vector. Since J is an isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma(x^*, x^*)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(LG))} &= \|\delta(x^*)\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H_\psi^c[p])} = \|\delta(x^*)^*\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H_\psi^r[p])} \\ &= \|(J \otimes \mathrm{id} \otimes \mathrm{id})\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H_\psi^r[p])} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(LG), H_\psi^r[p])}. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

Let us return to the rotation von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$. Recall the homomorphism π as defined in (3.2). Let $\delta : L(\mathbb{Z}^d) \to H_\psi \otimes L(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ be the derivation given in (3.4). Considering $(\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta) \circ \pi$, we extend the derivation δ to $M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ by

$$\delta(a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) = b(\vec{k}) \otimes a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}. \tag{3.5}$$

By definition, the left action of $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$ on $H_\psi^c \otimes \mathcal{R}_\Theta$ is given by

$$(u_1^{i_1} \cdots u_d^{i_d})\delta(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) = \alpha_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)}(b(k_1, ..., k_d)) \otimes u_1^{i_1+k_1} \cdots u_d^{i_d+k_d} \tag{3.6}$$

and the right action is $\delta(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d})(u_1^{i_1} \cdots u_d^{i_d}) = b(k_1, ..., k_d) \otimes u_1^{i_1+k_1} \cdots u_d^{i_d+k_d}$. Note that the derivation is constructed so that the following diagram commutes in matrix levels:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}_\Theta & \xrightarrow{\quad\pi\quad} & L(\mathbb{Z}^d)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{R}_\Theta \\ \vdots\,\delta & & \downarrow \delta\otimes\mathrm{id} \\ H_\psi \otimes \mathcal{R}_\Theta & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\pi} & H_\psi \otimes L(\mathbb{Z}^d) \otimes \mathcal{R}_\Theta \end{array}$$

Extending T_t to $\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes T_t$ on $M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$, we can define the gradient form Γ on $M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ associated to the generator $\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes A$. Then we have $\Gamma(x, y) = \langle \delta(x), \delta(y) \rangle_{M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)}$ for x, y in the domain of Γ. It follows that

$$\|\Gamma(x, x)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta), H_\psi^c[p])}$$

for $x \in M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$. Using similar argument to that of Lemma 3.3, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $x = \sum_{\vec{k}\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}$ be a finite sum where $a_{\vec{k}} \in M_m$. Then*

$$\|\Gamma(x^*, x^*)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta), H_\psi^r[p])}.$$

Proof. Observing (3.5), we may define for clarity,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^c(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) &= b(\vec{k}) \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d} \in H_\psi^c \otimes \mathcal{R}_\Theta, \\ \delta^r(u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d}) &= b(\vec{k}) \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d} \in H_\psi^r \otimes \mathcal{R}_\Theta.\end{aligned}$$

As in (3.5), we may extend δ^c and δ^r to matrix levels. Then

$$\delta^c(x^*) = \sum_{\vec{k}} b(-\vec{k}) \otimes a_{\vec{k}}^* \otimes (u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d})^*.$$

Since $\langle b(-\vec{k}), b(-\vec{k'})\rangle_{H_\psi} = \langle b(\vec{k}), b(\vec{k'})\rangle_{H_\psi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\Gamma(x^*, x^*)\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))} = \|\delta^c(x^*)\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta), H_\psi^c[p])} \\
&= \| \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} \langle b(-\vec{k}), b(-\vec{k'})\rangle_{H_\psi} a_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k'}}^* \otimes (u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d})(u_1^{k'_1} \cdots u_d^{k'_d})^* \|_{p/2}^{1/2} \\
&= \| \sum_{\vec{k},\vec{k'}} \langle b(\vec{k}), b(\vec{k'})\rangle_{H_\psi} a_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k'}}^* \otimes (u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d})(u_1^{k'_1} \cdots u_d^{k'_d})^* \|_{p/2}^{1/2} \\
&= \|\delta^r(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta), H_\psi^r[p])}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us introduce more notations to formulate our complete embedding results. For $2 \le p \le \infty$, let $\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ be a subspace of $L_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ with the semi-norm defined by

$$\|x\|_{\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)} = \|\delta(x)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta, H_\psi^c[p] \cap H_\psi^r[p])}.$$

Then by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\|x\|_{S_p^m(\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))} = \max\{\|\Gamma(x,x)^{1/2}\|_p, \|\Gamma(x^*,x^*)^{1/2}\|_p\} \tag{3.7}$$

for any x in the domain of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes A}$.

For notational convenience, let us define for x in the domain of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes A}$,

$$|||x|||_m = \max\{\|\delta^c(x)\|_{M_m \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{R}_\Theta \otimes_{\min} H_\psi^c}, \|\delta^r(x)\|_{M_m \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{R}_\Theta \otimes_{\min} H_\psi^r}\} = \|x\|_{M_m(\nabla_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta))}. \tag{3.8}$$

Then $|||x|||_m$ is a Lip-norm; see [JM10, JMP14]. We usually ignore the subscript m and write $|||x|||$ if the underlying space is clear from context. We will also use frequently the notation $L(x) := |||x|||$, especially when we consider a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.

Corollary 3.5. *With the notation above, we have* $\|\mathrm{id} : \nabla_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta) \to \nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le C_p$ *for some constant* C_p.

Proof. Writing c.c. and c.b. for completely contractive and completely bounded isomorphisms, respectively, we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\min} (H_\psi^c \cap H_\psi^r) & \xhookrightarrow{\text{c.b.}} & \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\min} L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) & \xhookrightarrow{\text{c.c.}} & \mathcal{N}\overline{\otimes}L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \\
\vdots & & & & \downarrow \text{c.c.} \\
L_p(\mathcal{N}, H_\psi^c[p] \cap H_\psi^r[p]) & & \xhookrightarrow{\text{c.b.}} & & L_p(\mathcal{N}\overline{\otimes}L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))
\end{array}$$

Here $\mathcal{N}$ can be any finite von Neumann algebra. In particular we take $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_\Theta$. From [Pis03, Theorem 9.7.1], we know that $H_\psi^c \cap H_\psi^r \hookrightarrow L(\mathbb{F}_\infty)$ completely isomorphically and

the first line of the diagram follows. Also, by Corollary 9.7.2 and 9.8.8 in [Pis03], $H_\psi^c[p] \cap H_\psi^r[p]$ completely embeds into $L_p(L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))$ and the second line of the diagram follows. But $\mathcal{N}\widehat{\otimes}L(\mathbb{F}_\infty) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathcal{N}\widehat{\otimes}L(\mathbb{F}_\infty))$ is completely contractive. We deduce that there is a complete contraction from $\mathcal{N} \otimes_{\min} (H_\psi^c \cap H_\psi^r)$ to $L_p(\mathcal{N}, H_\psi^c[p] \cap H_\psi^r[p])$. Combining this with the definition of $\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$, we find that $\nabla_\infty(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ completely embeds into $\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$. □

Remark 3.6. The above procedure works not only for $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_\Theta$, it also works for $\mathcal{N} = M_{n^d}$, the $n^d \times n^d$ dimensional matrix algebra, by choosing $2d$ generators of M_{n^d}. To see this, we simply define the homomorphism π as in (3.2) and the derivation δ as in (3.5) using $L(\mathbb{Z}_n^d)$ instead of $L(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. The notation $\nabla_p(\mathcal{N})$ will be used to represent $\nabla_p(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$ or $\nabla_p(M_{n^d})$.

Suppose the semigroup $T_t = e^{-tA}$ on $\mathcal{N}$ satisfies $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$, where $\Gamma_2(f,g) = \frac{1}{2}[\Gamma(Af,g) + \Gamma(f,Ag) - A\Gamma(f,g)]$. Then $\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes T_t$ also satisfies $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$; see [JM10, JZ15] for more detailed discussion on this condition. Hence, we deduce from [JM10] the complete boundedness of Riesz transforms

$$\|A^{1/2} : \nabla_p(\mathcal{N}) \to L_p^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq K_p. \tag{3.9}$$

Combining this with Corollary 3.5, we obtain the following crucial ingredient in our argument for approximation in cb Gromov–Hausdorff convergence. Recall that we may take $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_\Theta$ or $\mathcal{N} = M_{n^d}$ as in Remark 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose T_t satisfies $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{N}$. Then we have*

$$\|A^{1/2} : \nabla_\infty(\mathcal{N}) \to L_p^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq C_p$$

for some constant C_p.

Recall that for a given function $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$, the Fourier multiplier T_φ on LG is defined by extending $T_\varphi(\lambda(s)) = \varphi(s)\lambda(s)$ for $s \in G$. φ is called a Herz–Schur multiplier if T_φ is completely bounded; see e.g. [BO08].

Lemma 3.8. *Let φ be a Herz–Schur multiplier on G and Γ be the gradient form associated to $\mathrm{id}\otimes A$ defined in (2.2). Let $f \in M_m(LG)$ and assume $(\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f$ belongs to the domain of the generator $\mathrm{id}\otimes A$, then*

$$\|\Gamma((\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f, (\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f)\|_{M_m(LG)} \leq \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 \|\Gamma(f,f)\|_{M_m(LG)}.$$

Moreover, if φ is a Herz–Schur multiplier on $\mathbb{Z}^d$, then for any finite sum $f = \sum_{\vec{k}} a_{\vec{k}} \otimes u_1^{k_1} \cdots u_d^{k_d} \in M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)$, we have

$$\|\Gamma((\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f, (\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)} \leq \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 \|\Gamma(f,f)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{R}_\Theta)}.$$

Proof. For $f = \sum_s a_s \otimes \lambda(s)$ in the domain of $\mathrm{id}\otimes A$, since the multiplier commutes with the generator A, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Gamma((\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f,(\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f)^{1/2}\|_{M_m(LG)} &= \|\delta[(\mathrm{id}\otimes T_\varphi)f]\|_{L_\infty(M_m(LG),H_\psi^c)} \\ &= \|(\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{H_\psi} \otimes T_\varphi)\delta(f)\|_{L_\infty(M_m(LG),H_\psi^c)} \leq \|T_\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \|\delta(f)\|_{L_\infty(M_m(LG),H_\psi^c)}.\end{aligned}$$

We get the first assertion. The "moreover" part follows the same argument using the trace preserving *-homomorphism given in (3.2). □

Remark 3.9. Similar to Remark 3.6, by considering $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ (resp. $G = \mathbb{Z}_n^d$) and using the homomorphism (3.2), we find that Lemma 3.8 still holds if we replace LG by $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$ (resp. M_{n^d}). This shows that $T_\varphi : (\mathcal{R}_\Theta, |||\cdot|||) \to (\mathcal{R}_\Theta, |||\cdot|||)$ (resp. $T_\varphi : (M_{n^d}, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_{n^d}, |||\cdot|||)$) is completely bounded.

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\psi : G \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a conditionally negative length function. Suppose ψ has at most polynomial growth, i.e. $\#\{g \in G : \psi(g) = 0\} < \infty$ and for all $l \geq 1$, $\#\{g \in G : \psi(g) = l\} \leq Dl^\gamma$ for some constants γ and $D \geq 1$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a Herz–Schur multiplier $\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}$ and $m = m(k) > k$ such that*

(i) $\|T_{\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$;
(ii) *the image of $T_{\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}}$ is contained in* $\operatorname{span}\{\lambda(g) \in G : \psi(g) \leq m\}$;
(iii) $|\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}(g) - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ *for* $\psi(g) \leq k$;
(iv) *there exists $\varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon$ such that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $\eta \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $x = \sum_{g:\psi(g)\leq k} a_g \otimes \lambda(g) \in S_q^r(L_p(LG))$,*

$$\|(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}})(x) - x\|_{S_q^r(L_q(LG))} \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_{S_q^r(L_p(LG))}.$$

Therefore, if we define $P_k(\sum_{g\in G} \hat{f}_g \lambda(g)) = \sum_{g:\psi(g)\leq k} \hat{f}_g \lambda(g)$, then $\|(T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id})P_k : L_p(LG) \to L_q(LG)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \varepsilon$ for $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$.

Proof. Let us define

$$\varphi_\alpha(g) = e^{-\psi(g)/\alpha} 1_{[\psi(g)\leq m]}, \quad g \in G. \tag{3.10}$$

We know from Schoenberg's theorem, $\phi_\alpha(g) := e^{-\psi(g)/\alpha}$ gives a completely positive Fourier multiplier T_{ϕ_α} on LG. We have $\|T_{\phi_\alpha}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|T_{\phi_\alpha}(1)\| = 1$. Given any $x = \sum_g a_g \otimes \lambda(g) \in S_q(L_p(LG))$, we claim that for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$,

$$\|a_g\|_{S_q^r} \leq \|x\|_{S_q(L_p(LG))}. \tag{3.11}$$

Indeed, similar to the argument of Corollary 3.2, we define

$$\varrho : L_p(LG) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad y \mapsto \varrho(y) = \tau_G(y\lambda(g)^*).$$

We have $\|\varrho\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\varrho\| \leq 1$. By [Pis98, Lemma 1.7], we also have for any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$,

$$\|\varrho\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \sup_r \|\mathrm{id} \otimes \varrho : S_q^r(L_p(LG)) \to S_q^r\|.$$

Hence, we have

$$\|a_g\|_{S_q^r} = \|\mathrm{id} \otimes \varrho(x)\|_{S_q^r} \leq \|x\|_{S_q^r(L_p(LG))}.$$

Using (3.11) with $p = q = \infty$, we have

$$\|(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\phi_\alpha})(x) - (\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\varphi_\alpha})(x)\|_{M_r(LG)} \leq \sum_{\psi(g)\geq m} \|a_g\|_{M_r} e^{-\psi(g)/\alpha} \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_{M_r(LG)}$$

for α large enough and thus $\|T_{\varphi_\alpha}\|_{\rm cb} \le 1+\varepsilon$. Given ε, k, we can choose $m > k$ and α large enough in (3.10), and define $\varphi_{k,\varepsilon} = \varphi_\alpha$ such that

$$|\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}(g) - 1| \le \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \psi(g) \le k < m,$$

and supp $\varphi_{k,\varepsilon} \subset \{g \in G : \psi(g) \le m\}$. Clearly, the image of $T_{\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}}$ is contained in $\mathrm{span}\{\lambda(g) : \psi(g) \le m\}$. Let $S_k = |\psi^{-1}(0)| + 1 + 2^\gamma + \cdots + k^\gamma$, where $|\psi^{-1}(0)|$ is the number of zeros of ψ, and let $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{DS_k}$. Using (3.11) again, we have for any $\eta \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $x = \sum_{g:\psi(g)\le k} a_g \otimes \lambda(g) \in S_q^r(L_q(LG))$,

$$\|(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}})(x) - x\|_{S_q^r(L_q(LG))} \le \sum_{\psi(g)\le k} \|a_g\|_{S_q^r} |\varphi_{k,\eta}(g) - 1| \le \varepsilon \|x\|_{S_q^r(L_p(LG))}.$$

This inequality implies the last assertion by using [Pis03, Lemma 1.7] again. □

The target space $\mathbb{Z}$ of the length function ψ in the above may be replaced by some other countable discrete set, for instance, when we consider the length function (2.5). The proof can be modified easily to deal with this case.

To motivate our following discussion, let us fix a conditionally negative length function ψ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Let A_n denote the generator of the semigroup associated to ψ. Recall the notation above for $2 \le p \le \infty$

$$\nabla_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = \{x \in L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) : \max\{\|\Gamma^n(x,x)^{1/2}\|_p, \|\Gamma^n(x^*,x^*)^{1/2}\|_p\} < \infty\}.$$

Let $\frac{1}{2} = \alpha + \beta$ for some fixed $\alpha, \beta > 0$. For $2 \le p < \infty$, we consider the following chain of maps:

$$\nabla_\infty(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \subset \nabla_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \xrightarrow{A_n^{1/2}} L_p^0(L\mathbb{Z}_n) \xrightarrow{A_n^{-\beta}} L_p^0(L\mathbb{Z}_n) \xrightarrow{A_n^{-\alpha}} L_\infty^0(L\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Note that by the boundedness of Riesz transform (3.9), $\|A_n^{1/2} : \nabla_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_p^0(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\| \le K_p$. Suppose A_n has a spectral gap, by [JM10, Proposition 1.1.5],

$$\|A_n^{-\beta} : L_p^0 \to L_p^0\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p. \tag{3.12}$$

Using Proposition 3.1, we can show that $A_n^{-\alpha} : L_p^0 \to L_\infty^0$ is bounded for $p > 1/\alpha$. Then

$$\mathrm{id} = A_n^{-\alpha} \circ A_n^{-\beta} \circ A_n^{1/2} : \nabla_\infty(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_\infty^0(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)).$$

It will become clear later that these maps will help us establish crucial norm estimates. But we can already draw a conclusion using these maps. Recall from (3.8) the Lip-norm L defined on $\mathcal{R}_\Theta$ and M_{n^d}. We understand $\mathbb{Z}_\infty = \mathbb{Z}$ and $M_{\infty^d} = \mathcal{R}_\Theta$ in the following result.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be any of $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ or M_{n^d}, $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$, and ψ be a conditionally negative length function which induces a symmetric Markov semigroup on $\mathcal{N}$ as above. Assume ψ has polynomial growth as in Lemma 3.10. Then*

$$\|\,\mathrm{id} : \nabla_\infty(\mathcal{N}) \to L_\infty^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\rm cb} \le C$$

for some C independent of n. Consequently, the radii of state spaces $(S(\mathcal{N}), \rho_L)$ are bounded uniformly in n.

Proof. Since $\Gamma_2 \geq 0$ for any conditionally negative length functions on discrete groups, by Corollary 3.7 we have $\|A^{1/2} : \nabla_\infty(\mathcal{N}) \to L_p^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\rm cb} \leq C_p$. Using Proposition 3.1, we have $\|A^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(\mathcal{N}) \to L_\infty^0(\mathcal{N})\|_{\rm cb} \leq C(\psi, p)$. Combining with (3.12), we have proved the first assertion. Furthermore, note that

$$\rho_L(\phi, \phi') = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, L(x) \leq 1} |\phi(x) - \phi'(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{N}, \|x\|_{\mathcal{N}} \leq C} |\phi(x) - \phi'(x)| \leq 2C.$$

The second assertion follows. □

For $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$, we define

$$L_p^\Delta(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) = \{f \in L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) : f = \sum_{k \in \Delta} \hat{f}(k)\lambda(k)\}.$$

For $k \leq n/2$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $\Lambda_k = \{0, \pm 1, ..., \pm k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\Lambda_k^c = \{\pm(k+1), ..., \pm[\frac{n}{2}]\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n$. For $n = \infty$, we let $\Lambda_k^c = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : |j| > k\}$. Let us define the projection

$$Q_k : L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_p^{\Lambda_k^c}(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)), \quad Q_k\Big(\sum_j \hat{f}(j)\lambda(j)\Big) = \sum_{|j|>k} \hat{f}(j)\lambda(j).$$

Lemma 3.12. *For $1 < p < \infty$ and $n > 2k$ or $n = \infty$,*

$$\|Q_k : L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_p^{\Lambda_k^c}(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|_{\rm cb} \leq C_p$$

for some constant C_p independent of n, k.

Proof. It is well known (see e.g. [Bou86, PX03]) that every projection $P : L_p(L\mathbb{Z}) \to L_p^\Delta(L\mathbb{Z})$ is completely bounded for any subinterval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}$. The case $n = \infty$ follows. Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let tr denote the normalized trace on the $n \times n$ matrix algebra M_n. It is well known that there exists an injective trace preserving *-homomorphism $\rho : L(\mathbb{Z}_n) \to (M_n, tr)$ given by

$$\lambda(j) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_j \\ I_{n-j} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where the first 1 in the first column appears in the $(j+1)^{\rm st}$ row, the first 1 in the first row appears in the $(n-j+1)^{\rm st}$ column, and the matrix entries are constant along diagonals. Fix k and put

$$B_1 = \{(i,j) : i \geq k+2, j \leq i-k\},$$
$$B_2 = \{(i,j) : j \geq 2, i \leq j-1\},$$
$$B_3 = \{(i,j) : j \geq k+2, i \leq j-k\}.$$

Let P_B denote the projection on M_n given by

$$P_B([a_{ij}]_{1\le i,j\le n}) = \sum_{(i,j)\in B} a_{ij}\otimes e_{ij}$$

where e_{ij} is the matrix unit of M_n. Then $Q_k = P_{B_1} + P_{B_2} - P_{B_3}$. It is well known (see e.g. [Bou86, Corollary 19], [PX97]) that for any triangular projection P_B and $1 < p < \infty$,

$$\|P_B : S_p \to S_p\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p.$$

The assertion follows immediately. □

Lemma 3.13. *Let $2 \le p < \infty$. Then*

$$\|A_n^{-\beta} : L_p^{\Lambda_k^c}(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p\psi(k)^{-\beta/(p-1)}$$

uniformly for $n > 2k$ or $n = +\infty$.

Proof. Let $q = 2p$ and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{2}$. Then $\theta = \frac{1}{p-1}$. By (3.12) and Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\|A_n^{-\beta}Q_k : L_q(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_q(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p.$$

Since $\|A_n^{-\beta}Q_k : L_2(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_2(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|_{\rm cb} \le \psi(k)^{-\beta}$, by the Riesz–Thorin theorem, we have

$$\|A_n^{-\beta}Q_k : L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_p(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p\psi(k)^{-\beta\theta},$$

which yields the assertion. □

4. Approximation for $C(\mathbb{T})$

Unless otherwise specified, in this section we consider the Poisson semigroups on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ defined in Section 2; that is, the generator $A_n\lambda(k) = |k|\lambda(k)$ for $|k| \le n/2$. Following the notation of [Li06], for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we define

$$L_n(f) = \|\Gamma^n(f,f)^{1/2}\|_\infty \text{ for } f \in C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)_{sa}.$$

We also write $\Gamma := \Gamma^\infty$ and $L(f) := L_\infty(f)$. It was proved in [JM10, JMP14] that L and L_n are Lip-norms[1]. Clearly, $L_n(f) < \infty$ for $f \in C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)_{sa}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that L is only defined in a dense subspace of $C(\mathbb{T})$. We define $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \{f \in C(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R}) : L(f) < \infty\}$. Here $C(\mathbb{T};\mathbb{R})$ is the set of real-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{T}$, which corresponds to $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z})_{sa}$. One can simply take $\mathcal{A}_\infty = \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{Z})_{sa}$ which can be identified with the self-adjoint trigonometric polynomials on $[0,1]$. We also write $\mathcal{A}_n = C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)_{sa}$ for short. Then $(\mathcal{A}_n, L_n), n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ are compact quantum metric spaces in the sense of [Rie04b, Li06]. Our first task is to check that they form a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.

[1]There are different versions of definitions of compact quantum metric spaces. While L_n defined here satisfies more conditions than the one in [Li06], our proof of convergence in the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance only requires the conditions listed in [Li06]. In Section 8, we will need the Lip-norms to have the so-called Leibniz property.

Define $\pi_n : \mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ to be the linear map sending $\lambda(k)$ to $\lambda(k \bmod n)$. Since $(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ are abelian, their universal C*-algebras coincide with the reduced C*-algebras and therefore π_n is a *-homomorphism extended from $\lambda(1) \mapsto \lambda(1)$ by universality. To describe π_n in the function spaces, we have

$$\pi_n : C(\mathbb{T}) \to \ell_\infty(n), \quad f \mapsto \pi_n(f) = (f(j/n))_{j=0}^n,$$

and $\pi_n(e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot})(j) = e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} k j}{n}}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $f = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}$ and $m \leq n/2$. Then $\pi_n A f = A_n \pi_n f$. Therefore,*

$$\Gamma^n(\pi_n f, \pi_n f) = \pi_n \Gamma(f, f).$$

Proof. Note that

$$\pi_n A f = \pi_n\Big(\sum_{k=-m}^m a_k |k| e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}\Big) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k |k| e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}{n}}.$$

Since $m \leq n/2$, we get

$$A_n \pi_n f = A_n\Big(\sum_{k=-m}^m a_k e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}{n}}\Big) = \sum_{k=-m}^m a_k |k| e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}{n}}.$$

Therefore, $\pi_n A f = A_n \pi_n f$. Now since π_n is a *-homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^n(\pi_n f, \pi_n f) &= \frac{1}{2}(A_n(\pi_n f^*)\pi_n f + \pi_n f^* A_n(\pi_n f) - A_n(\pi_n f^* \pi_n f)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_n(A f^* f) + \pi_n(f^* A f) - \pi_n A(f^* f)) \\
&= \pi_n \Gamma(f, f).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $f = \sum_{k=-m}^m \hat{f}(k) e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}$. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n f\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty,$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Gamma^n(\pi_n f, \pi_n f)\|_\infty = \|\Gamma(f, f)\|_\infty.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, when n is large, $\Gamma^n(\pi_n f, \pi_n f) = \pi_n \Gamma(f, f)$. Let $h = \Gamma(f, f)$. Note that since f is a smooth function, so is h. By continuity of h, there exists $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\|h\|_\infty = h(t_0)$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $|\frac{j}{n} - t_0| < \frac{1}{2n}$. Using the mean value theorem, we get

$$0 \leq h(t_0) - h(\frac{j}{n}) \leq \|h'\|_\infty |\frac{j}{n} - t_0|.$$

By (2.2), we may assume $h = \sum_{k=-l}^{l} a_k e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot}$ for some finite l which only depends on m. Then $h'(x) = \sum_{k=-l}^{l} 2\pi \mathrm{i} k a_k e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} k x}$ and thus

$$\sup_{x\in[0,1]} |h'(x)| \leq \sum_{k=-l}^{l} 2\pi |k||a_k| \leq C_l \|h\|_1 \leq C_m \|h\|_\infty,$$

for some constant C_m only depending on m. This proves that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\Gamma^n(\pi_n f, \pi_n f)\|_\infty = \|\Gamma(f,f)\|_\infty$. The first assertion follows similarly. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let $S = C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}; \prod_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{A}_n)$ denote the continuous sections of $\prod_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}} \mathcal{A}_n$. Then $(\{\mathcal{A}_n, L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces (see* [Li06, Definition 6.4]*).*

Proof. Note that the continuity at $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is trivial and that $1 = (1_n)$ is clearly in S. Here 1_n is the identity of $\mathcal{A}_n$. An element of S can be written as $(\pi_n(f))_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ for some $f \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Then Proposition 4.2 verifies that (a) $(\{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of order-unit spaces and (b) $(\{\mathcal{A}_n, L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. Here $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_n$ is the norm closure of $\mathcal{A}_n$. □

Our next goal is to show that $\mathcal{A}_n$ converges to $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ in the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance. In light of Li's criterion [Li06], we need to find a "uniform" cover of $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)$ for n large enough. We will achieve this by using the approximation properties of $\mathbb{Z}$ and going through various estimates in L_p spaces. Recall that a Fourier multiplier T_ϕ on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ is defined as

$$T_\phi(\sum_j a_j \lambda(j)) = \sum_j a_j \phi(j) \lambda(j).$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist $m = m(k) > k$ and Herz–Schur multipliers $\varphi^n_{k,\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$) such that*

(i) $\|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\varepsilon}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$;
(ii) *the image of $T_{\varphi^n_{k,\varepsilon}}$ is contained in* $\mathrm{span}\{\lambda(j) : |j| \leq m\}$;
(iii) $|\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}(j) - 1| \leq \varepsilon$ *for* $|j|_n \leq k$;
(iv) *for x in* $\mathrm{span}\{\lambda(j) : |j|_n \leq k\}$ *and* $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2(k+1)})$,

$$\|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}} x - x\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \|x\|_2. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Note that $\#\{j \in \mathbb{Z}_n : |j|_n = k\} \leq 2$ for $k \geq 1$. Applying Lemma 3.10 first to $G = \mathbb{Z}$ (so we have $D = 2, \gamma = 0$), we get m and a multiplier $\varphi_{k,\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{Z}$. Then applying Lemma 3.10 again to $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$ for $n > 2m$, we find multipliers $\varphi^n_{k,\varepsilon}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$, which satisfy $\varphi^n_{k,\varepsilon}(j) = \varphi_{k,\varepsilon}(j)$ for $|j| \leq m$ because the proof of Lemma 3.10 does not depend on n once we choose m. The assertion follows by taking $p = 2, q = \infty, r = 1$. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T_t^n = e^{-tA_n}$ be the Poisson semigroup associated with ψ_n acting on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ defined in Section 2. Then $A_n^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_\infty^0(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))$ is completely bounded uniformly in $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ for $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$.*

Proof. The argument is the same as that of Corollary 3.2 with $\gamma = 0$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $k = k(\varepsilon), m = m(k)$ and Herz–Schur multipliers $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$, $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2(k+1)})$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$) such that*

$$\|x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x)\|_\infty \le \varepsilon[\|x\|_2 + L_n(x)]$$

for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$).

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a large number which will be determined later. We choose m and $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ as in Lemma 4.4. Since $\|(1 - Q_k)x\|_2 \le \|x\|_2$, by (4.1) we have

$$\|(1 - Q_k)(x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|_\infty = \|(1 - Q_k)x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}((1 - Q_k)x)\|_\infty \le \|(1 - Q_k)x\|_2 \varepsilon \le \varepsilon\|x\|_2.$$

Note that Q_k and A_n commute. Using Lemma 4.5, equation (3.12), Lemma 3.13 and the boundedness of Riesz transforms [JM10], we have for $p > 1/\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A_n^{-\alpha} A_n^{-\beta} A_n^{1/2} Q_k(x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|_\infty \le c_\alpha \|A_n^{-\beta} Q_k A_n^{1/2}(x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|_p \\
&\le c_\alpha C_p k^{-\beta/(p-1)} \|A_n^{1/2}(x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|_p, \\
&\le c_\alpha K_p C_p k^{-\beta/(p-1)} (\|\Gamma^n(x,x)^{1/2}\|_p + \|\Gamma^n(T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x), T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))^{1/2}\|_p)
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_\alpha = \|A_n^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(L(\mathbb{Z}_n)) \to L_\infty(L(\mathbb{Z}_n))\|$, K_p is the L_p bound of Riesz transforms, and $C_p k^{-\beta/(p-1)}$ is the bound in Lemma 3.13. By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\|Q_k(x - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|_\infty \le (2 + \varepsilon) c_\alpha K_p C_p k^{-\beta/(p-1)} \|\Gamma^n(x,x)^{1/2}\|_\infty \le \varepsilon L_n(x),$$

by choosing k large enough. The claim follows. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R \ge 0$. There exist $N > 0$ and $x_1, ..., x_r$ in $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ such that the open ε-balls in $\mathcal{A}_n$ centered at $\pi_n(x_1), ..., \pi_n(x_r)$ cover $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)$ for all $n > N$ (including $n = \infty$).*

Proof. The case $R = 0$ is trivial. Assume $R > 0$. Let m and $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ be given by Lemma 4.6. For $n > 2m$, let us define

$$\mathcal{D}_R^m(\mathcal{A}_n) = \{x \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n) : x = \sum_{|j| \le m} a_j \lambda(j)\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_R^m(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ is compact, we can find $x_1, ..., x_r \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ such that for all $y \in \mathcal{D}_R^m(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ there exists an $s \in \{1, ..., r\}$ with $\|y - x_s\|_\infty \le \varepsilon$. By Lemma 3.8 and 4.4, we know that

$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_R^m(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ for every $x \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$. Thus we can find $s \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that $\|\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(x) - x_s\|_\infty \le \varepsilon$. By Lemma 4.6,

$$\begin{aligned}\|x - x_s\|_\infty &\le \|x - \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}x\|_\infty + \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\|x - T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(x)\|_\infty + \|\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(x) - x_s\|_\infty \\ &\le 2(R+1)\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $(x_i)_{i=1,...,r}$ is a $2(R+1)\varepsilon$-net of $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$.

Let $n > 2m$ and $y^n \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)$. We may write $T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n) = \sum_{|j|\le m} a_j e^{\frac{2\pi i j\cdot}{n}}$. Since the coefficients (a_j) are uniquely determined by y^n and $\varphi^n_{k,\eta}$, we may define $\hat{y} = \sum_{|j|\le m} a_j e^{2\pi i j\cdot}$ in $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. Then

$$\pi_n(\hat{y}) = T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n). \tag{4.2}$$

But by Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{f\in K}|\|\pi_n(f)\|_\infty - \|f\|_\infty| = 0, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{f\in K}|\|\Gamma^n(\pi_n(f),\pi_n(f))\|_\infty - \|\Gamma(f,f)\|_\infty| = 0$$

for any compact subset K of $L\mathbb{Z}$. Note that

$$\|\hat{y}\|_2 = \|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n)\|_2 \le \|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n)\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)\|y^n\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)R.$$

Since $\hat{y}$ falls in a finite-dimensional space with bounded L_2 norm, the set

$$\{\hat{y} : y^n \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)\}$$

is pre-compact in $L\mathbb{Z}$. This yields that there exists $N > 2m$ such that

$$\|\hat{y}\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\pi_n(\hat{y})\|_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Gamma(\hat{y},\hat{y})\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\Gamma^n(\pi_n(\hat{y}),\pi_n(\hat{y}))\|_\infty \tag{4.3}$$

for all $y^n \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)$ and all $n > N$. It follows from (4.2) that

$$\|\hat{y}\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)\|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n)\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)^2\|y^n\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)^2 R.$$

By Lemma 3.8,

$$\|\Gamma^n(T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n), T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n))\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)^2\|\Gamma^n(y^n,y^n)\|_\infty.$$

Thus by (4.2) and (4.3), $\|\Gamma(\hat{y},\hat{y})\|_\infty \le (1+\varepsilon)^3$. We find $\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\hat{y} \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$. Hence there exists an x_s in the $2(R+1)\varepsilon$-net $(x_i)_{i=1,...,r}$ of $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ such that $\|\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\hat{y} - x_s\|_\infty \le 2(R+1)\varepsilon$. Then we deduce from (4.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n) - \pi_n(x_s)\|_\infty &\le \|\hat{y} - x_s\|_\infty \\ &\le \|\hat{y} - \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\hat{y}\|_\infty + \|\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^2}\hat{y} - x_s\|_\infty \le (5R+2)\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\|y^n - \pi_n(x_s)\|_\infty \le \|y^n - T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n)\|_\infty + \|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(y^n) - \pi_n(x_s)\|_\infty \le (6R+3)\varepsilon.$$

Replacing ε with $\frac{\varepsilon}{6R+3}$ in the very beginning, we complete the proof. □

Theorem 4.8. *$(\mathcal{A}_n, L_n)$ converges to $(\mathcal{A}_\infty, L)$ in the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, $(\{\mathcal{A}_n, L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces in the sense of [Li06]. Let $\varepsilon = 1/m$. By Proposition 4.7, we find

$$x_1(m), \cdots, x_{r_m}(m) \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$$

such that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ there exists $x_s(m)$ so that $\|x - x_s(m)\| \leq 1/m$. Then the set

$$\Lambda := \cup_{m=1}^{\infty}\{x_1(m), \cdots, x_{r_m}(m)\}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$. Give an ordering on Λ as follows: $x_i(m) < x_j(m)$ if $i < j$ and $x_i(m) < x_j(m')$ if $m < m'$. Then Λ is totally ordered and we can list the elements of Λ according to this ordering. Identify $x \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\infty)$ with a section $x = (\pi_n(x))_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ such that $\pi_n(x) \in \mathcal{A}_n$. By our construction, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist r and N such that the open ε-balls in $\mathcal{A}_n$ centered at $\pi_n(x_1), \cdots, \pi_n(x_r)$ cover $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_n)$ for all $n > N$, where $x_i \in \Lambda$ for all i. In other words, Λ satisfies Condition (iii) in [Li06, Theorem 7.1]. Hence $\mathcal{A}_n$ converges to $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ in $\mathrm{dist}_{\mathrm{oq}}^R$, the R-variant order-unit quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance, by the same theorem. But by Proposition 3.11, the radii of state spaces of $\mathcal{A}_n$ are uniformly bounded. The assertion follows from [Li06, Theorem 1.1]. □

Remark 4.9. In Theorem 4.8, we used the Poisson semigroup on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ to define the Lip-norm. In fact, the same approximation result remains true if we use the heat semigroup on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n)$ and the proof is slightly more direct. Indeed, thanks to (2.6), we would get $m = 1$ in (3.1), which allows us to choose $p = 2$ and $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ to replace Lemma 4.5. Then certain L_p estimates reduce to L_2 estimates. We leave this to the interested reader.

5. Matrix algebras converge to noncommutative tori

Similar to the previous section, we need to define a Lip-norm and a semigroup action on M_n, and show that the family of matrix algebras together with these Lip-norms form a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. Now we have to introduce some notation. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M_n \simeq \ell_\infty(n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n = \{u_j(n), v_k(n)\}''$, where $u_j(n)$ is defined in (2.3) and $v_k(n) = \lambda_n(k)$, the left regular representation of $\mathbb{Z}_n$. The action α is given by

$$\alpha_k(u_j(n)) = v_k(n)^* u_j(n) v_k(n).$$

Then we have the following relations

$$u_j(n)e_p = e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i} jp}{n}} e_p \quad \text{and} \quad v_k(n)e_l = e_{k+l},$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. It follows that

$$u_1(n)v_1(n) = e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{n}} v_1(n)u_1(n).$$

We expect that $u_j(n)$ and $v_k(n)$ commute in the limit.

5.1. **Norm Estimates for Trigonometric Polynomials.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define T_t^n to be the semigroup acting on M_n by $T_t^n(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = e^{-t(\psi_n(j)+\psi_n(k))}u_j(n)v_k(n)$, where ψ_n is given by (2.5),

$$\psi_n(k) = \frac{n^2}{4\pi^2}[1 - \cos(\frac{2\pi k}{n})].$$

Then by Schoenberg's Theorem T_t^n is a completely positive map for each $t \geq 0$. Note that $u_j(n) = [u_1(n)]^j$ and $v_k(n) = [v_1(n)]^k$. So here we are using $u_1(n), v_1(n)$ as the generators of M_n when we define the semigroup T_t^n. In fact, as we shall see later, we may use any fixed pair of generators of M_n or any prime powers of these generators as the generators of M_n, but we always define T_t^n as if they were $u_1(n), v_1(n)$. For example, $u_p(n), v_q(n)$ also generate M_n as long as $(pq, n) = 1$; see e.g. [Dav96]. In this case, we may define

$$T_t^n([u_p(n)]^j[v_q(n)]^k) = e^{-t(\psi_n(j)+\psi_n(k))}[u_p(n)]^j[v_q(n)]^k. \tag{5.1}$$

For simplicity, we may just write $u_1(n)$ and $v_1(n)$ for $u_p(n)$ and $v_q(n)$ by abuse of notation. The semigroup we are using should be clear from context. Note that $\psi_n(j) + \psi_n(k)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ is conditionally negative. Clearly,

$$\psi_n(k) \sim \begin{cases} k^2 & \text{if } |k| \leq \frac{n}{2}, \\ (n-k)^2 & \text{if } |k| > \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Let u and v be the generators of $M_\infty := \mathcal{A}_\theta$. Intuitively, since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi_n(k) = k^2 =: \psi_\infty(k)$, we would expect the heat semigroup in the limit

$$T_t(u^j v^k) := T_t^\infty(u^j v^k) = e^{-t(|j|^2+|k|^2)}u^j v^k \tag{5.2}$$

to act on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. We define the gradient form Γ^n associated to the generators

$$A_n(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = (\psi_n(j) + \psi_n(k))u_j(n)v_k(n)$$

as in (2.1) for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Without loss of generality, from now on we always assume that n is large enough and $|j|, |k| \leq n/2$. For $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we define $L_n(f) = \|\Gamma^n(f, f)^{1/2}\|_\infty$. Write $\Gamma := \Gamma^\infty$ and $L(f) := L_\infty(f)$. Note that $M_n \simeq C_r^*(\mathbb{Z}_n \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n)$ for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. It follows from [JM10, JMP14] that L_n and L are Lip-norms on M_n and $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, respectively. Since the heat semigroup T_t on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ is a symmetric Markov semigroup, the following result follows the same argument as that of Corollary 3.2.

Lemma 5.1. *Let A_n be the generator of the heat semigroup acting on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n) \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}_n$ defined as above. Then $A_n^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(M_n) \to L_\infty^0(M_n)$ is completely bounded uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$.*

Similar to (3.2), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a *-homomorphism

$$\pi : M_n \to \ell_\infty(\mathbb{Z}_n^2) \otimes M_n, \quad u_j(n)v_k(n) \mapsto \lambda(j,k) \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n), \quad (j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2.$$

Here $\lambda(j,k)$ is the left regular representation of $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$. We also define a *-homomorphism for $0 < \theta < 1$

$$\pi : \mathcal{A}_\theta \to L(\mathbb{Z}^2) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta, \quad u_\theta^j v_\theta^k \mapsto \lambda(j,k) \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k, \quad (j,k) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Here u_θ, v_θ are the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. It is easy to check that π is trace preserving. If we understand $M_\infty = \mathcal{A}_\theta$ and $u_\theta^j = u_j(\infty), v_\theta^k = v_k(\infty)$, we can define the Fourier multipliers for $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$\tilde{T}_\phi(\lambda(j,k)) = \phi(j,k)\lambda(j,k), \quad T_\phi(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \phi(j,k)u_j(n)v_k(n). \tag{5.3}$$

Note that $\pi \circ T_\phi = (\tilde{T}_\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ \pi$. We immediately have the following useful co-representation transference technique.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have*

$$\|T_\phi : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le \|\tilde{T}_\phi : L_p(\mathbb{Z}_n^2) \to L_p(\mathbb{Z}_n^2)\|_{\mathrm{cb}}.$$

Let us consider $\phi(j,k) = e^{-t\psi(j,k)}$ in (5.3) for a conditionally negative length function ψ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$. For instance, we may take $\psi(j,k) = \psi_n(j) + \psi_n(k)$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ where ψ_n is defined in (2.5). This gives a symmetric Markov semigroup on M_n, which coincides with the semigroup T_t defined in (5.1) and (5.2). Again, let Γ denote the gradient form associated to T_t. For the development of next section, we may extend T_t to $M_m \otimes_{\min} M_n$ by $\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes T_t$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ even though we only need $m = 1$ in this section. The following result is a special case of (3.9).

Proposition 5.3. *Let $2 \le p < \infty$. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_{j,k} \in M_m$ and a finite sum $f = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes A)^{1/2}(f)\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \\ &\quad \le C_p \max\{\|\Gamma(f,f)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))},\ \|\Gamma(f^*,f^*)^{1/2}\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))}\} \end{aligned}$$

where C_p is independent of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$. Therefore, $A^{1/2} : \nabla_\infty(M_n) \to L_p^0(M_n)$ is completely bounded.

Proof. The conditionally negative length function ψ gives the positive semidefinite Gromov form K on $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$. By the Schur product theorem, we know that $K \bullet K$ is also positive semidefinite, where $\bullet$ denotes the Schur product of matrices. It follows that $\Gamma_2 \ge 0$ on $L(\mathbb{Z}_n^2)$; see e.g. [JZ15]. This transfers to $\Gamma_2 \ge 0$ on M_n by our definition of T_t on M_n, which further extends to $M_m \otimes_{\min} M_n$. Now we can apply (3.9) and then Corollary 3.7. □

Let $Q_l^1, Q_l^2 : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)$, $n > 2l$, $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, be the projections defined as

$$Q_l^1\Big(\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}u_j(n)v_k(n)\Big) = \sum_{\substack{|j|>l,\\ k}} a_{jk}u_j(n)v_k(n),$$

$$Q_l^2\Big(\sum_{j,k} a_{jk}u_j(n)v_k(n)\Big) = \sum_{\substack{|k|>l,\\ j}} a_{jk}u_j(n)v_k(n).$$

Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}_n^2$. We define

$$L_p^{\Delta}(M_n) = \{f \in L_p(M_n) : f = \sum_{(j,k)\in\Delta} a_{jk} u_j(n) v_k(n)\}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda_l^2 = \{0, \pm 1, ..., \pm l\} \times \{0, \pm 1, ..., \pm l\}. \tag{5.4}$$

Observe that Q_l^1 and Q_l^2 commute and the idempotent P_l defined by $P_l = (1-Q_l^1)(1-Q_l^2)$ projects $L_p(M_n)$ on $L_p^{\Lambda_l^2}(M_n)$.

Lemma 5.4. *For $1 < p < \infty$, $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $n > 2l$,*

$$\|Q_l^1 : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p, \quad \|Q_l^2 : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p$$

$$\|P_l : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\rm cb} \le C_p,$$

for some constant C_p independent of n, l.

Proof. As we proved in Lemma 3.12, Q_l^1 and Q_l^2 are completely bounded operators on $L_p(L\mathbb{Z}_n)$. Therefore they are also completely bounded on $L_p(L\mathbb{Z}_n \overline{\otimes} L\mathbb{Z}_n)$. This implies that Q_l^1 and Q_l^2 are completely bounded on $L_p(M_n)$ for $n > 2l$ and $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ by Lemma 5.2. Here is another argument for $n = \infty$. Note that we have for $a_{j,k} \in M_m$,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes Q_l^1 \otimes \mathrm{id}_{L(\mathbb{Z}^2)})(\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k \otimes \lambda(j,k)) \\ = (\mathrm{id}_{M_m} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{R}_\theta} \otimes Q_l^1)(\sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k \otimes \lambda(j,k)).\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that Q_l^1 is completely bounded on $L_p(\mathcal{R}_\theta \otimes L(\mathbb{Z}^2))$ and the assertion for Q_l^1 follows. The case of Q_l^2 is similar. As a consequnce, P_l is also completely bounded in L_p. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\beta > 0$ and $n > 2l$. Let ψ be a conditionally negative length function on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ satisfying $\psi(l) \le \psi(j)$ for $|l| \le |j|$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{ij} \in M_m, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\| \sum_{\substack{l \le |j| \le n/2 \\ \psi(j) > 0}} \psi(j)^{-\beta} a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n) v_k(n) \Big\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \\ \le c_p \psi(l)^{-\beta} \Big\| \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n) v_k(n) \Big\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))},\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

for some constant c_p independent of m, n and l.

Proof. Let $2 < p < p_0$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$. We define $F_j(z) = (\frac{\psi(l)}{\psi(j)})^{z\alpha} e^{(z-\theta)^2}$, for some α large enough so that $\theta\alpha = \beta$. Define a new operator T by

$$T(z)(\sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \sum_{|j|\geq l} F_j(z) a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n).$$

Let $z = \mathrm{i}t$. Consider the Fourier multiplier

$$A_\psi(\sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \sum_{j,k} \psi(j) a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n).$$

By [JM12, Corollary 5.4] (see also [Cow83]), we have $\|A_\psi^{\mathrm{i}s} f\|_{p_0} \leq C_{p_0} e^{c_{p_0}|s|} \|f\|_{p_0}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L_{p_0}(M_m(M_n))$. Then

$$\|T(\mathrm{i}t) : L_{p_0} \to L_{p_0}\| \leq C_{p_0} e^{c_{p_0}\alpha|t| - t^2} \|Q_l^1 : L_{p_0} \to L_{p_0}\|,$$

for some constants C_{p_0} and c_{p_0} independent of n and k. By Lemma 3.12, $T(\mathrm{i}t)$ is bounded. Now let $z = 1 + \mathrm{i}t$. Since $|\frac{\psi(l)}{\psi(j)}| \leq 1$, we have

$$\|T(1+\mathrm{i}t) : L_2 \to L_2\| \leq |e^{(1+\mathrm{i}t-\theta)^2}| \leq e^{-t^2+(\theta-1)^2}.$$

Therefore, $T(1+\mathrm{i}t)$ is also bounded. For $z = \theta$, the assertion follows from Stein's interpolation theorem [Ste56]. By duality, the result holds for $1 < p \leq 2$ as well. □

Proposition 5.6. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\beta > 0$. For any conditionally negative length function ψ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$, any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{ij} \in M_m, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we have*

$$\Big\| \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ \psi(j)+\psi(k)>0}} (\frac{\psi(j)}{\psi(j)+\psi(k)})^\beta a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n) \Big\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \tag{5.6}$$

$$\leq c_p \Big\| \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n) \Big\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))}, \tag{5.7}$$

for some constant c_p independent of m and n.

Proof. It follows from the same argument as that of Proposition 5.5 applied to $F_{j,k}(z) = (\frac{\psi(j)}{\psi(j)+\psi(k)})^{z\alpha} e^{(z-\theta)^2}$. □

Let $A_n^{(1)}(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \psi_n(j)u_j(n)v_k(n)$ and $A_n^{(2)}(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \psi_n(k)u_j(n)v_k(n)$. Then $A_n = A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)}$. Here we allow ψ_n to be any conditionally negative length function with $\psi_n(k) \leq \psi(l)$ if $|k| \leq |l|$. By (5.3), $A_n^{(1)}, A_n^{(2)}$ and A_n are all generators of certain semigroups on M_n.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $1 < p < \infty, \beta > 0$ and $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $n > 2l$. Then*

$$\|A_n^{-\beta}(1 - P_l) : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq C_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta},$$

where C_p is independent of $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By (5.5) and (5.6), we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and any finite sum $x = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} \otimes u_j(n)v_k(n) \in M_m \otimes M_n$,

$$\begin{aligned}\| \mathrm{id} \otimes Q_l^1(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} &\leq c_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta} \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (A_n^{(1)})^\beta x\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \\ &\leq c_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta} \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (A_n^{(1)} + A_n^{(2)})^\beta x\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar inequality holds for Q_l^2. Using Lemma 5.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\| \mathrm{id} \otimes (1 - P_l)(x)\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} &= \| \mathrm{id} \otimes [Q_l^1 + Q_l^2(1 - Q_l^1)](x)\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \\ &\leq (c_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta} + \tilde{c}_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta}) \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (A_n)^\beta x\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))} \\ &= C_p \psi_n(l)^{-\beta} \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (A_n)^\beta (x)\|_{L_p(M_m(M_n))},\end{aligned}$$

for some constants c_p, $\tilde{c}_p$ and C_p independent of m, n and l. □

We remark that the previous complete boundedness results for matrix algebras can be alternatively proved using Lemma 5.2 in the same way as what we did in Lemma 5.4.

5.2. **Continuous Fields of Compact Quantum Metric Spaces.** Let $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ denote the rotation C*-algebra associated to $\theta \in [0, 1)$. It is well known that $\mathcal{A}_0 = C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Let $(M_n)_{sa}$ denote the algebra of self-adjoint elements of M_n. In this section we show that

$$(\{(M_n)_{sa}, L_n\}_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$$

is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. Here S consists of suitable continuous sections and $M_\infty = \mathcal{A}_\theta$. In order to establish this, we have to consider two cases, namely $\theta = 0$ and θ a non-zero rational.

5.2.1. *Approximation in the commutative case.* A key tool is the following map, defined by comultiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n : C(\mathbb{T}^2) = C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{T}) &\to M_n \\ \lambda_j \otimes \lambda_k &\mapsto u_j(n)v_k(n)\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Note that for a fixed n, ρ_n is not a *-homomorphism. Therefore, we need to introduce a *-homomorphism ρ_ω as follows. First we recall the ultraproduct construction; see, e.g., [BO08]. Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. Note that the Banach space $\prod_\omega X_n$ is defined as a quotient of $\prod_n X_n$ by the subspace

$$I_\omega = \{(x_n) \in \prod_n X_n : \lim_{n,\omega} \|x_n\| = 0\}$$

with respect to the norm

$$\|(x_n)^\bullet\| = \lim_{n,\omega} \|x_n\|_{X_n}.$$

If (X_n) are C*-algebras, we obtain a new C*-algebra $\prod X_n/I_\omega$, since I_ω is an ideal. If in addition (X_n) are von Neumann algebras with finite traces, then the von Neumann algebra ultraproduct $(X_n)^\omega$ is defined to be $\prod X_n/I_{\tau_\omega}$, where

$$I_{\tau_\omega} = \{(x_n) \in \prod_n X_n : \lim_{n,\omega} \tau(x_n^* x_n) = 0\}.$$

Note that $I_\omega \subset I_{\tau_\omega}$ and we obtain a quotient *-homomorphism

$$\sigma_w : \prod\nolimits_\omega X_n \to (X_n)^\omega.$$

Now we focus on $X_n = M_n$. We define the maps $\pi_1, \pi_2 : C(\mathbb{T}) \to \prod_\omega M_n$ as follows:

$$\pi_1(\lambda_j) = (\pi_n^{(1)}(\lambda_j))^\bullet, \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_n^{(1)}(\lambda_j) = u_j(n),$$

and

$$\pi_2(\lambda_k) = (\pi_n^{(2)}(\lambda_k))^\bullet, \quad \text{where} \quad \pi_n^{(2)}(\lambda_k) = v_k(n).$$

Suppose $\sum_k f^k \otimes g^k$ is a tensor of polynomials in $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. Then

$$\rho_n(\sum_k f^k \otimes g^k) = \sum_k \pi_n^{(1)}(f^k)\pi_n^{(2)}(g^k)$$

is a densely-defined linear map. The maps π_1 and π_2 are *-homomorphisms with commuting ranges. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\pi_1(\lambda_1), \pi_2(\lambda_1)]\| &= \lim_{n,\omega} \|[u_1(n), v_1(n)]\| = \lim_{n,\omega} \|u_1(n)v_1(n) - v_1(n)u_1(n)\| \\ &= \lim_{n,\omega} \|(e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{n}} - 1)v_1(n)u_1(n)\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} |e^{\frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{n}} - 1| = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the map $\rho_\omega := (\rho_n)^\bullet$ extends to the universal C*-algebra $C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\max} C(\mathbb{T})$ and

$$\rho_\omega : C(\mathbb{T}^2) = C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{T}) = C(\mathbb{T}) \otimes_{\max} C(\mathbb{T}) \to \prod\nolimits_\omega M_n$$

is a well-defined *-homomorphism. Let $\pi_\omega = \sigma_\omega \rho_\omega$. Then $\pi_\omega : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to (M_n)^\omega$ is also a *-homomorphism.

Lemma 5.8. *The maps π_ω and ρ_ω are faithful. In particular,* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\rho_n(f)\|_{M_n} = \|f\|_{\min}$.

Proof. Let τ_n be the normalized trace on M_n and $\tau_\omega = \lim \tau_n$. Then since $u_j(n)$ is a diagonal matrix and $v_k(n)$ is a shift matrix, we have

$$\tau_\omega(\sigma_\omega\rho_\omega(\lambda(j) \otimes \lambda(k))) = \lim_{n,\omega} \tau_n(u_j(n)v_k(n)) = \delta_{j0}\delta_{k0} = (\tau \otimes \tau)(\lambda(j) \otimes \lambda(k)),$$

where τ is the canonical trace on $\mathrm{C}_r^*(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq C(\mathbb{T})$. This proves that π_ω is trace preserving. Now let $x \in C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, and $\pi_\omega(x) = 0$. Then since π_ω is trace preserving, we have

$$\tau_\omega(\pi_\omega(x^*)\pi_\omega(x)) = \tau_\omega(\pi_\omega(x^*x)) = \tau \otimes \tau(x^*x) = 0.$$

Since the trace on $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is faithful, this proves that π_ω is faithful and so is ρ_ω. We deduce that $\lim_{n,\omega} \|\rho_n(f)\|_{M_n} = \|f\|_{\min}$. But the ultrafilter ω is arbitrary, the assertion follows. □

Let $\mathrm{Poly}(x,y)$ denote the vector space of noncommutative polynomials on two variables. That is

$$\mathrm{Poly}(x,y)=\bigcup_{k\geq 1}\{p: p=\sum_{|i|,|j|\leq k} a_{ij}x^iy^j\}.$$

If u,v are the unitary generators of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$, we have $\mathrm{Poly}(u,v)\subset C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. For instance, we may take $u=\lambda_1\otimes 1$ and $v=1\otimes\lambda_1$. Let

$$S=\{\rho_n(x): x\in (C(\mathbb{T}^2))_{sa}\cap \mathrm{Poly}(u,v), n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}\}.$$

Here and in the following we understand $\rho_\infty=\mathrm{id}$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let Γ^n be the gradient form associated to A_n on M_n. Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\Gamma^n(\rho_n(x),\rho_n(x))-\rho_n(\Gamma(x,x))\|_{M_n}=0$$

for $x=\sum_{j,k}a_{jk}u^jv^k\in$ Poly(u,v). Therefore, $(\{(M_n)_{sa},L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}},S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.

Proof. Note that $\rho_n(x)=\sum_{j,k}a_{jk}u_j(n)v_k(n)$. As usual, we assume all $|j|,|k|\leq n/2$. Using the commutation relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_n(\rho_n(x),\rho_n(x))=&\frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{j,j',k,k'}[\psi_n(-j)+\psi_n(-k)+\psi_n(j')+\psi_n(k')\\&-e^{-\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}(j'-j)k}{n}}(\psi_n(j'-j)+\psi_n(k'-k))]\bar{a}_{jk}a_{j'k'}u_{j'-j}(n)v_{k'-k}(n)\Big],\end{aligned}$$

and $\rho_n(\Gamma(x,x))$ has a similar expression. The first assertion follows from the triangle inequality and taking the limit. Together with Lemma 5.8, we get the second claim. □

5.2.2. *Approximation for rational θ.* Let $0<\theta<1$ be a rational number. Then $\mathcal{A}_\theta\simeq C(\mathbb{T})\rtimes_\theta\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is the universal C*-algebra generated by two unitaries u and v, which commute according to the following rule

$$uv=e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\theta}vu.$$

Now we extend the map ρ_n defined previously, from $\theta=0$ to θ rational. In the following, we embed $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in $M_m(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$ using the unitaries $u_j(n)$ and $v_k(n)$ which were introduced in the previous section. Since θ is rational, we can write $\theta=\frac{p}{m}$, such that $(p,m)=1$. Note that m is fixed. We define a *-homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma:\mathcal{A}_\theta&\to M_m\otimes_{\min}C(\mathbb{T})\otimes_{\min}C(\mathbb{T})\\u^j&\mapsto u_j(m)\otimes\lambda_j\otimes 1\\v^k&\mapsto v_{kp}(m)\otimes 1\otimes\lambda_k.\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the canonical trace τ on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ is faithful; see e.g. [Rie90, Boc01]. Since σ is trace preserving, it is injective. Now let $\rho_n^\theta = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_n) \circ \sigma$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta &\to M_m(M_n) \\ u^j &\mapsto u_j(m) \otimes u_j(n) =: U_j(n) \\ v^k &\mapsto v_{kp}(m) \otimes v_k(n) =: V_k(n). \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

It suffices to check the commutation relations for $U_1(n)$ and $V_1(n)$. We have

$$(u_1(m) \otimes u_1(n)) \cdot (v_p(m) \otimes v_1(n)) = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta + \frac{2\pi \mathrm{i}}{n}} (v_p(m) \otimes v_1(n)) \cdot (u_1(m) \otimes u_1(n)).$$

This means $U_1(n)V_1(n) = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\eta_n} V_1(n)U_1(n)$, where $\eta_n = \theta + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{pn+m}{mn}$. In order for $U_1(n)$, $V_1(n)$ to generate M_n, we need to write η_n as $\frac{a}{n}$ for some a, such that $(a, n) = 1$; see e.g. [Dav96]. For this, choose a subsequence $n = m^{k_n}$ for some exponents k_n. Then $\eta_n = \frac{pm^{k_n-1}+1}{m^{k_n}} = \frac{a}{n}$. Suppose q is a prime number which divides both $pm^{k_n-1}+1$ and m^{k_n}. So q divides m. This implies that q divides m^{k_n-1} and hence it divides pm^{k_n-1}. But q also divides $pm^{k_n-1}+1$. Hence q divides 1 which is a contradiction. Therefore, $n = m^{k_n}$ does the job, and it suffices to take the subsequence $n_k = m^{k+1}$. Let us state what we have found so far:

Lemma 5.10. *The map $\rho_{n_k}^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta \to M_{n_k}$ is surjective.*

The Lemma above says that $C^*(\rho_{n_k}^\theta(\mathcal{A}_\theta)) = M_{n_k}$, where $C^*(\rho_{n_k}^\theta(\mathcal{A}_\theta))$ denotes the C*-algebra generated by $\rho_{n_k}^\theta(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$. We next check the continuity at infinity. Let $M_\infty = \mathcal{A}_\theta$ and $S = \{\rho_{n_k}^\theta(x) : x \in (\mathcal{A}_\theta)_{sa} \cap \mathrm{Poly}(u, v), k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}\}$. As usual, we define

$$A_n(U_j(n)V_k(n)) = (\psi_n(j) + \psi_n(k))U_j(n)V_k(n)$$

and $L_n(f) = \|\Gamma^n(f, f)^{1/2}\|_\infty$ on M_n.

Proposition 5.11. *Choose $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ as above. Then $(\{(M_{n_k})_{sa}, L_{n_k}\}_{k\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.*

Proof. We follow an argument similar to that of Proposition 5.9. Since $\rho_\omega : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \prod_\omega M_n$ is a trace preserving *-homomorphism, it extends to a trace preserving *-homomorphism on $M_m(C(\mathbb{T}^2))$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leq \|\rho_{n_k}^\theta(f)\|_{M_{n_k}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}$$

for all $f \in \mathrm{Poly}(u, v)$ and k large enough. Namely, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|\rho_{n_k}^\theta(f)\|_{M_{n_k}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}$. Then by a direct calculation, one can show that $\Gamma^{n_k}(\rho_{n_k}^\theta(f), \rho_{n_k}^\theta(f))$ and $\rho_{n_k}^\theta[\Gamma(f, f)]$ coincide in the large k limit, which concludes the proof. □

From now on, with abuse of notation, when we use ρ_n^θ for $\mathcal{A}_\theta$, we always mean $\rho_{n_k}^\theta$. We still need to consider the case when θ is irrational. In fact, we now deal with a more general situation.

5.2.3. *Continuous field for the higher dimensional case.* In the following, let $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$ denote the d-dimensional noncommutative torus which was introduced in Section 3. Recall that $\Theta = (\theta_{ij})$ is a $d \times d$ skew symmetric matrix. We will discuss $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$ in Section 7 in more depth. In this section we only show that they form a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.

Recall that for a compact Hausdorff space X, a $C(X)$-algebra is a C*-algebra A endowed with a unital morphism from $C(X)$ of continuous functions on X into the center of the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ of A; see [Kas88]. In the following we are going to derive some results about the rotation algebras using the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_B = \mathbb{Z}^m \times_B \mathbb{Z}^d$, where $m = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ and $B : \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^m$ is a skew symmetric bilinear map. For $u = (u_i)_i$, $u' = (u'_j)_j$ in $\mathbb{Z}^d$ and z, z' in $\mathbb{Z}^m$, the multiplication on $\mathbb{H}_B$ is defined by

$$(z, u)(z', u') = (z + z' + B(u, u'), u + u')$$

where $[B(u, u')]_{rs} = u_r u'_s - u'_r u_s$ for $r, s = 1, ..., d$. Here we have identified $[B(u, u')]_{rs}$ with a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Indeed, since B is skew symmetric, the diagonal of $B(u, u')$ is 0 and the upper triangular submatrix has $\frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ entries. For definiteness, we use the upper triangular submatrix to represent B. Let $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ and $C_r^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ be the universal C*-algebra and the reduced C*-algebra of $\mathbb{H}_B$, respectively.

Lemma 5.12. *$C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ is a $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-algebra.*

Proof. Note that $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B) = C_r^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ since $\mathbb{H}_B$ is amenable. Let $\lambda(k, j) \in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ be the left regular representation. The left regular representation on $\mathbb{Z}^m$ induces a representation on $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^m)$ given by

$$\lambda : \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^m) \to C(\mathbb{T}^m), \quad f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^m} f(l) e_l \mapsto \lambda(f) = \sum_l f(l) \lambda(l, 0),$$

where $(e_l)_l$ is the standard orthonormal basis of $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. Let $f \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^m)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(f)\lambda(k, j) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^m} f(l)\lambda(l, 0)\lambda(k, 0)\lambda(0, j) \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^m} f(l)\lambda(l + k, 0)\lambda(0, j) = \lambda(k, j)\lambda(f). \end{aligned}$$

By density, this shows that $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$ is in the center of $C_r^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$. Since $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ is unital, $M(C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)) = C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$. Hence $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ is a $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-algebra. □

Let $I_\Theta = \{fx : x \in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B), f \in C(\mathbb{T}^m), f(\Theta) = 0\}$ be an ideal in $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ and define $C_\Theta = C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)/I_\Theta$. More generally, let A be a $C(X)$-algebra. Consider the evaluation map $\mathrm{ev}_x : C(X) \to \mathbb{C}$ at x. Denote by A_x the quotient of A by the closed ideal

$$I_x = \{fa : f \in C(X), a \in A, f(x) = 0\} = A \cdot \mathrm{Kernel}(\mathrm{ev}_x),$$

and by a_x the image of an element $a \in A$ in the fibre A_x. Recall that the $C(X)$-algebra A is said to be a continuous field of C*-algebras over X if the function $\pi_a : X \to \mathbb{C}$ defined

by $\pi_a(x) = \|a_x\|$ is continuous for every $a \in A$. In fact, the function $x \mapsto \|a_x\|$ is always upper semi-continuous; see [Bla97, Rie89, Dix77] and the references therein.

Lemma 5.13. *$C_\Theta \simeq \mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta}$ and $\mathcal{A}^d_\Theta$ is a continuous field of C*-algebras.*

Proof. Let $(e_i)_{i=1}^d$ be the canonical generators of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Note that for all r and s, we have $\lambda(0,e_s)\lambda(0,e_r) = \lambda(B(e_s,e_r), e_s+e_r)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(0,e_r)\lambda(0,e_s) &= \lambda(B(e_r,e_s), e_r+e_s)\\ &= \lambda(2B(e_r,e_s),0)\lambda(B(e_s,e_r),e_r+e_s)\\ &= \lambda(2B(e_r,e_s),0)\lambda(0,e_s)\lambda(0,e_r). \qquad (5.10)\end{aligned}$$

Let us fix $\Theta^0 \in \mathbb{T}^m$. Recall that $B(e_r,e_s) = e_{r,s}$ for $r<s$, where $e_{r,s}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Then the map f defined by $f(\Theta) = e^{4\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{rs}} - e^{4\pi\mathrm{i}\theta^0_{rs}}$ is in I_{Θ^0}. Note that the image of $\lambda(2e_{r,s},0)$ in the quotient C_{Θ^0} is simply $e^{4\pi\mathrm{i}\theta^0_{rs}}$. Considering (5.10) in C_{Θ^0}, we find that the unitaries $\lambda(0,e_r)$ in C_{Θ^0} satisfy the commutation relations of $\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta^0}$. This means that one can define a *-homomorphism

$$\sigma : \mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta^0} \to C_{\Theta^0}, \quad \sigma(u_r) = \lambda(0,e_r) + I_{\Theta^0} \in C_{\Theta^0},$$

where $(u_r)_{r=1}^d$ are generators of $\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta^0}$.

To identify C_Θ with $\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta}$, we define for $k = (k_1, ..., k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$,

$$\tilde\lambda(0,k) = \lambda(0,k_1e_1)\cdots\lambda(0,k_de_d).$$

Let $L(\mathbb{H}_B)$ be the von Neumann algebra of $\mathbb{H}_B$. For $i,j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^m)$, by Lemma 5.12 and (5.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \tilde\lambda(0,k)\tilde\lambda(0,i)f,\, \tilde\lambda(0,j)\rangle_{L_2(L(\mathbb{H}_B),\tau)} &= \tau\left(\tilde\lambda(0,j)^*\tilde\lambda(0,k)\tilde\lambda(0,i)f\right)\\ &= \tau\left(f\lambda\left(\sum_{\alpha<\beta} 2i_\alpha k_\beta B(e_\beta,e_\alpha), 0\right)\tilde\lambda(0,j)^*\tilde\lambda(0,k+i)\right)\\ &= \delta_{j,k+i}\int_{\mathbb{T}^m} f(\Theta)\exp\left(-4\pi\mathrm{i}\sum_{\alpha<\beta} i_\alpha k_\beta\theta_{\alpha\beta}\right)\mu(\mathrm{d}\Theta),\end{aligned}$$

where μ is the normalized Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}^m$. Let $f_n^{\Theta^0} \in C(\mathbb{T}^m), n \geq 1$, be a sequence of positive functions such that $\int f_n^{\Theta^0}\mathrm{d}\mu = 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\int f_n^{\Theta^0}(\Theta)g(\Theta)\mu(\mathrm{d}\Theta) = g(\Theta^0)$. Let ω be a free ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$. We consider the ultrapower of Hilbert spaces

$$L_2(L(\mathbb{H}_B),\tau)^\omega = \ell_2(\mathbb{H}_B)^\omega = L_2(\mathbb{T}^m, \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^d))^\omega$$

and ultrapower of von Neumann algebra $[L(\mathbb{H}_B)]^\omega$ with trace τ_ω. We may regard each element of $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ as an element of $[L(\mathbb{H}_B)]^\omega$. Then for $g \in C(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and $(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}1_{L(\mathbb{H}_B)})^\bullet \in$

$L_2(L(\mathbb{H}_B),\tau)^\omega$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g\tilde{\lambda}(0,k)\tilde{\lambda}(0,i)(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}})^\bullet,\ \tilde{\lambda}(0,j)(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}})^\bullet\rangle_{L_2(L(\mathbb{H}_B),\tau)^\omega}&\\ = \delta_{j,k+i}\exp\left(-4\pi\mathrm{i}\sum_{\alpha<\beta} i_\alpha k_\beta\theta^0_{\alpha\beta}\right) g(\Theta^0)&\\ = g(\Theta^0)\langle u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_d^{k_d}u_1^{i_1}\cdots u_d^{i_d},\, u_1^{j_1}\cdots u_d^{j_d}\rangle_{L_2(\mathcal{A}_{2\Theta^0},\tau)}.&\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

Let $K=\mathrm{span}\{(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}x)^\bullet : x\in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)\}$. Then K is a subspace of $L_2(L(\mathbb{H}_B),\tau)^\omega$. We consider a special representation of $C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$ on K defined by

$$w_{\Theta^0}(x)(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}y)^\bullet=(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}xy)^\bullet,\quad x,y\in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B).$$

Then by (5.11) we have

$$\tau_\omega([w_{\Theta^0}(x)(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}y)^\bullet]^*[w_{\Theta^0}(x)(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}y)^\bullet])=\lim_{n,\omega}\tau(y^*x^*xyf_n^{\Theta^0})=0,$$

for $x\in I_{\Theta^0}$. Thus w_{Θ^0} factors through C_{Θ^0}: If we denote the quotient map by $q_{\Theta^0}: C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)\to C_{\Theta^0}$ and define $v_{\Theta^0}(x+I_{\Theta^0})=w_{\Theta^0}(x)$, then $w_{\Theta^0}=v_{\Theta^0}q_{\Theta^0}$. We define a linear operator $\alpha: L_2(\mathcal{A}_{2\Theta^0})\to K$ by

$$\alpha(u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_d^{k_d})=(\sqrt{f_n^{\Theta^0}}\tilde{\lambda}(0,k))^\bullet.$$

Note that α has a dense range and preserves the inner product by (5.11). Then α is unitary. We define $\phi:\mathcal{B}(K)\to\mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta^0}))$ by $\phi(x)=\alpha^*x\alpha$. One can directly check that $\phi[w_{\Theta^0}(\tilde{\lambda}(0,k))]=u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_d^{k_d}$. We define $\pi_{\Theta^0}=\phi\circ v_{\Theta^0}$. Then

$$\pi_{\Theta^0}: C_{\Theta^0}\to\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta^0},\quad \pi_{\Theta^0}(\tilde{\lambda}(0,k)+I_{\Theta^0})=u_1^{k_1}\cdots u_d^{k_d}.$$

It follows that $\pi_{\Theta^0}\circ\sigma=\mathrm{id}$, and σ,π_{Θ^0} are trace preserving isomorphisms. We can represent our argument here in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & C_\Theta & \xrightarrow{\pi_\Theta} & \mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta}))\\ & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle q_\Theta} & \searrow^{v_\Theta} & & \nearrow_{\phi} & \\ & & C^*(\mathbb{H}_B) & \xrightarrow{w_\Theta} & \mathcal{B}(K) & & \end{array}$$

Now we prove the lower semi-continuity of $\Theta\mapsto\|q_\Theta(x)\|$ for $x\in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$. First note that $\pi_\Theta\circ\sigma=\mathrm{id}$. Hence π_Θ is injective. The map

$$\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathcal{A}^d_{2\Theta}))$$

is also injective. Therefore, v_Θ is an isometry. Note that $\|q_\Theta(x)\|=\|v_\Theta[q_\Theta(x)]\|=\|w_\Theta(x)\|$. Let $x,\xi,\eta\in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B)$. We may write

$$x=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m,\ j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} x_{kj}e^{2\pi\mathrm{i}\langle k,\cdot\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}(0,j)\in C^*(\mathbb{H}_B),$$

$$\xi = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m,\ j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} a_{kj} e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\langle k,\cdot\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}(0,j), \quad \eta = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}^m,\ j\in\mathbb{Z}^d} b_{kj} e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\langle k,\cdot\rangle}\tilde{\lambda}(0,j).$$

We deduce from (5.11) that $\langle w_\Theta(x)(\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\xi)^\bullet, (\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\eta)^\bullet\rangle$ is a continuous function of Θ. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle w_\Theta(x)(\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\xi)^\bullet, (\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\eta)^\bullet\rangle| &= \liminf_{\Xi\to\Theta} |\langle w_\Xi(x)(\sqrt{f_n^\Xi}\xi)^\bullet, (\sqrt{f_n^\Xi}\eta)^\bullet\rangle| \\ &\le \liminf_{\Xi\to\Theta} \|w_\Xi(x)\|\|(\sqrt{f_n^\Xi}\xi)^\bullet\|\|(\sqrt{f_n^\Xi}\eta)^\bullet\|.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\|q_\Theta(x)\| = \sup\{|\langle w_\Theta(x)(\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\xi)^\bullet, (\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\eta)^\bullet\rangle| : \|(\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\xi)^\bullet\| \le 1, \|(\sqrt{f_n^\Theta}\eta)^\bullet\| \le 1\}.$$

It follows that $\|q_\Theta(x)\| \le \liminf_{\Xi\to\Theta} \|w_\Xi(x)\|$ and the proof is complete. □

In particular, we obtained the following:

Lemma 5.14. *Let ρ_n^θ be as defined previously. For any θ_0 we have*

$$\lim_{\substack{\theta\to\theta_0\\ \theta\in\mathbb{Q}}} \lim_{j\to\infty} \|\rho^\theta_{n_j(\theta)}(x_\theta)\|_{M_{n_j(\theta)}} = \|x_{\theta_0}\|_{\mathcal{A}_{\theta_0}}.$$

Here $x_\theta = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} u_\theta^j v_\theta^k$ for any given $x = x_{\theta_0} = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} u_{\theta_0}^j v_{\theta_0}^k \in \mathcal{A}_{\theta_0}$ and $n_j(\theta)$ is chosen according to Lemma 5.10 for any given rational θ.

We also need to show that the same result as above holds for the Lip-norm. This can be done for d-dimensional noncommutative tori. For simplicity, we restrict our attention to the 2-dimensional case. In order to complete the proof of the continuity of the field of compact quantum metric spaces, we construct suitable derivations. In fact, this was done in (3.5). Here we give two concrete cases.

Case 1: Poisson semigroup.

Consider the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}_\theta$-module $\mathcal{H} = (\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta$. Let $h_k = (\sum_{|i|\le k} e_i)$ and define a derivation δ by

$$\delta(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k) = (h_j \oplus h_k) \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \delta(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k), \delta(u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'})\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} &= \langle h_j \oplus h_k, h_{j'} \oplus h_{k'}\rangle (u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^*(u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}) \\ &= (\langle h_j, h_{j'}\rangle + \langle h_k, h_{k'}\rangle)(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^*(u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}),\end{aligned}$$

showing that

$$\Gamma(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k, u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}) = K((j,j'),(k,k'))(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^* u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'} = \langle \delta(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k), \delta(u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'})\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_\theta},$$

where $K((j,j'),(k,k')) = K_1(j,j') + K_2(k,k')$. Here K_1 and K_2 are the Gromov forms defined in Section 2.

Case 2: Heat semigroup. Consider the Hilbert $\mathcal{A}_\theta$-module $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta$ and define a derivation δ by

$$\delta(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k) = (j,k) \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k.$$

Let $x = u_\theta^j v_\theta^k$ and $y = u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2}[(j^2+k^2) + (j')^2 + (k')^2 - (j-j')^2 - (k-k')^2](u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^* u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'} \\ &= (jj'+kk')(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^* u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \delta(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k), \delta(u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'})\rangle_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} &= \langle (j,k) \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k, (j',k') \otimes u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'} \rangle \\ &= \langle (j,k), (j',k')\rangle (u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^* (u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}) \\ &= (jj'+kk')(u_\theta^j v_\theta^k)^* (u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'}).\end{aligned}$$

Note that both $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $\oplus_{i=1}^d \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ embed into the column space ℓ_2^c, we may take $\mathcal{H} = \ell_2^c \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}_\theta$. Let $p(x,y) = \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} x^j y^k \in \mathrm{Poly}(x,y)$ be a noncommutative polynomial. Then by Lemma 5.13,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\theta' \to \theta} \|\delta p(u_{\theta'}, v_{\theta'})\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\delta p(u_\theta, v_\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}} &= \| \sum_{j,k} a_{jk} \xi_{jk} \otimes u_\theta^j v_\theta^k \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \| \sum_{j,k,j',k'} \bar{a}_{j',k'} a_{jk} \langle \xi_{j'k'}, \xi_{jk} \rangle (u_\theta^{j'} v_\theta^{k'})^* u_\theta^j v_\theta^k \|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}^{1/2}\end{aligned}$$

for some $\xi_{jk} \in \ell_2^c$ and the coefficients a_{jk} are independent of θ. In particular we have proven the following:

Lemma 5.15. *For any $p = p(x,y) \in Poly(x,y)$, the map*

$$\theta \mapsto \|\delta p(u_\theta, v_\theta)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\Gamma(p(u_\theta, v_\theta), p(u_\theta, v_\theta))^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}$$

is continuous.

Let $u_\Theta^1, u_\Theta^2, ..., u_\Theta^d$ be the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$. Let $x_\Theta = p(u_\Theta^1, u_\Theta^2, ..., u_\Theta^d)$ and $L_\Theta(x_\Theta) = \|\Gamma(x_\Theta, x_\Theta)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\Theta}$. We define the set of continuous sections $S = \{p(u_\Theta^1, u_\Theta^2, ..., u_\Theta^d) : p \in \mathrm{Poly}(x_1, x_2 ..., x_d)\}$. The following summarizes the arguments of this section.

Proposition 5.16. $(\{(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d)_{sa}, L_\Theta\}_{\Theta \in \mathbb{T}^{d(d-1)/2}}, S)$ *forms a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.*

5.3. **Matrix Algebras Converge to the Rotation Algebras.** Let us define the following maps. Let $\{u_\theta, v_\theta\}$ be the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. Observe that $\mathrm{Poly}(x,y) \subset C(\mathbb{T}) * C(\mathbb{T})$ as a vector space. Define a linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_\theta : \mathrm{Poly}(x,y) &\to \mathcal{A}_\theta \\ p(x,y) &\mapsto p(u_\theta, v_\theta)\end{aligned}$$

with dense range.

Lemma 5.17. *For any $\theta \in [0,1)$, there exists sequences $(\theta_j) \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1)$ and $(n_j) \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that*

(i) $\lim_{j\to\infty} \theta_j = \theta$; (ii) $(n_j)_j$ *is increasing to infinity;*
(iii) $(\{(M_{n_j})_{sa}, L_{n_j}\}_{j\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ *is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces, where* $S = \{\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p)) : j \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}, p(x,y) \in \mathrm{Poly}(x,y)_{sa}\}$ *and ρ_n^θ is defined in* (5.9).

Proof. If θ is rational, we simply take $\theta_j \equiv \theta$ and choose n_j as in Proposition 5.11. Suppose θ is irrational. Let (θ_j) be a sequence of rational numbers such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} \theta_j = \theta$. Put $\theta_\infty = \theta$. Let $p(x,y) \in \mathrm{Poly}(x,y)$. Using Lemma 5.14 and 5.15, for each θ_j, we can choose an n_j such that $n_j \le n_{j+1}$,

$$|\|\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(p(u_{\theta_j}, v_{\theta_j}))\|_{M_{n_j}} - \|p(u_\theta, v_\theta)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}| < \frac{1}{j}$$

and

$$|\|\Gamma^{n_j}(\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(p(u_{\theta_j}, v_{\theta_j})), \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(p(u_{\theta_j}, v_{\theta_j})))\|_{M_{n_j}} - \|\Gamma(p(u_\theta, v_\theta), p(u_\theta, v_\theta))\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}| < \frac{1}{j}.$$

This means that $(\{(M_{n_j})_{sa}, L_{n_j}\}_{j\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. □

The following is an analog of Lemma 4.6 for M_n and $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.

Lemma 5.18. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $k = k(\varepsilon)$, $m = m(k)$, and multipliers $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$, $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2(2k+1)^2})$, on M_n for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$) such that*

$$\|x - T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(x)\|_{M_n} \le \varepsilon[\|x\|_2 + L_n(x)]$$

for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$). Here $T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}$ is induced by $\tilde{T}_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}$ as defined in (5.3).

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a large number which will be determined later. We choose m and $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n$ as in Lemma 4.4 for $n > 2m$. Here we actually use the heat length function ψ_n as defined by (2.5) in Lemma 4.4. But since $\psi_n(j) \sim j^2$ when j is large and

$$\#\{j : |j|_n^2 \le k\} \le \#\{j : |j|_n \le k\},$$

we may still choose $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2(2k+1)^2})$ and the conclusion of Lemma 4.4 remains valid. Let $\phi_{k,\eta}^n(j,l) = \varphi_{k,\eta}^n(j)\varphi_{k,\eta}^n(l)$ for $(j,l) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2$. Note that for the Fourier multiplier $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$,

$$\|\tilde{T}_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le \|T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}\|_{\mathrm{cb}}^2 \le (1+\varepsilon)^2.$$

By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le (1+\varepsilon)^2.$$

According to our choice of $\phi^n_{k,\eta}$, we have $\operatorname{supp}\phi^n_{k,\eta} \subset [-m,m]^2$. By choosing $\eta \le \varepsilon/(2k+1)^2$ and using Lemma 3.10, we have

$$|\phi^n_{k,\eta}(j,l) - 1| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{(2k+1)^2}, \quad (j,l) \in [-k,k]^2. \tag{5.12}$$

Then for any $x = \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} u_j(n) v_l(n)$, we have

$$\|T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(x) - x\|_{M_n} \le \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} |a_{j,l}||\phi^n_{k,\eta}(j,l) - 1| \le \|x\|_2 \varepsilon.$$

Since $\|P_k y\|_2 \le \|y\|_2$ for any $y \in M_n$, $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$), we have

$$\|P_k(y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))\|_{M_n} = \|P_k y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(P_k y)\|_{M_n} \le \|y\|_2 \varepsilon \tag{5.13}$$

Using Lemma 5.1, Corollary 5.7, and the boundedness of Riesz transforms

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|A_n^{-\alpha-\beta} A_n^{1/2}(1-P_k)(y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))\|_\infty \\
&\quad \le c_\alpha \|A_n^{-\beta} A_n^{1/2}(1-P_k)(y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))\|_p \\
&\quad \le c_\alpha C_p k^{-2\beta} \|A_n^{1/2}(y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))\|_p \\
&\quad \le c_\alpha C_p K_p k^{-2\beta} (\|\Gamma^n(y,y)^{1/2}\|_p + \|\Gamma^n(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y), T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))^{1/2}\|_p),
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_\alpha = \|A_n^{-\alpha} : L_p^0 \to L_\infty\|$, $C_p k^{-2\beta}$ is the bound in Corollary 5.7 and K_p is the bound of the noncommutative Riesz transforms. Using Lemma 3.8 and choosing k large enough in the beginning, we have

$$\|(1-P_k)(y - T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}(y))\|_\infty \le (2 + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) c_\alpha C_p K_p k^{-2\beta} \|\Gamma^n(y,y)^{1/2}\|_\infty \le \varepsilon L_n(y).$$

The proof is complete. □

For notational simplicity, we also write $M_n^{sa} = (M_n)_{sa}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa} = (\mathcal{A}_\theta)_{sa}$ in the following.

Proposition 5.19. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R \ge 0$. Then there exist N and $p_1, ..., p_r \in \operatorname{Poly}(x,y)$ with the following properties:*

(i) $\sigma_\theta(p_j) \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa})$;
(ii) *for any $j > N$ and any $y \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j}^{sa})$, there exists $s \in \{1, ..., r\}$ such that $\|y - \rho^{\theta_j}_{n_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s))\|_{M_{n_j}} \le \varepsilon$. Here (n_j) are chosen as in Lemma 5.17.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that of Proposition 4.7. The case $R = 0$ is trivial. Let $\min\{R, 1\} \gg \varepsilon > 0$ and $R > 0$ be given. We choose m and $\phi^n_{k,\eta}$ as in Lemma 5.18 for $n > 2m$. We define

$$B = \{y \in L_2^{\Lambda_m^2}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa}) : \|y\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \le R, \|\Gamma(y,y)^{1/2}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \le 1\}.$$

Since $B \subset \ell_2([-m,m]^2)$, B is pre-compact. Therefore, there exists an ε-net $\{y_1, ..., y_r\}$ which covers B. Without loss of generality, we may choose $(y_i)_{i=1}^r$ from $\operatorname{Poly}(u_\theta, v_\theta)$. In this

way we obtain noncommutative polynomials $p_1, ..., p_r \in \mathrm{Poly}(x, y)$ such that $\sigma_\theta(p_j) = y_j$ and $\sigma_\theta(p_j) \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa})$ for $j = 1, ..., r$.

Let $M_n^{\Lambda_m^2}$ (resp. $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_m^2}$) denote the elements of M_n (resp. $\mathcal{A}_\theta$) which are linear combinations of $U_j(n)V_l(n)$ (resp. $u_\theta^j v_\theta^l$) for $(j, l) \in \Lambda_m^2$. Since ρ_n^θ is injective, we introduce a locally defined map $s_{n,m}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n,m} : M_n^{\Lambda_m^2} &\to \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_m^2} \\ y &\mapsto (\rho_n^\theta)^{-1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(y)$ is supported in Λ_m^2 for $y \in M_n$. We define $\hat{y} = s_{n,m}(T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(y))$. Then

$$\rho_n^\theta(\hat{y}) = T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(y). \tag{5.14}$$

Note that so far our argument is independent of θ and is valid for any $n > 2m$. Now we restrict our discussion to (n_j) in order to use the continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. By Lemma 5.17, we have

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{\sigma_\theta(p)\in K} |\|\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p))\|_{M_{n_j}} - \|\sigma_\theta(p)\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}| = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \sup_{\sigma_\theta(p)\in K} |\|\Gamma^{n_j}(\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{n_j}(p)), \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{n_j}(p)))\|_{M_{n_j}} - \|\Gamma(\sigma_\theta(p), \sigma_\theta(p))\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}| = 0$$

for any compact subset K of $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa}$. Since $\hat{y} = s_{n,m}(T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(y))$ is in $\ell_2([-m, m])$, we have

$$\|\hat{y}\|_2 = \|\rho_n^\theta(\hat{y})\|_2 \leq \|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}(y)\|_{M_n} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2\|y\|_{M_n}.$$

It follows that the set $\{\hat{y} : y \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j}^{sa})\}$ is pre-compact. Then we can choose $N > 2m$ large enough so that for any $j > N$ and $y \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j}^{sa})$

$$\begin{aligned} (1+\varepsilon)^{-1}\|\hat{y}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leq &\|\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y})\|_{M_{n_j}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\hat{y}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}, \\ (1+\varepsilon)^{-1}\|\Gamma(\hat{y}, \hat{y})\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leq &\|\Gamma^{n_j}(\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y}), \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y}))\|_{M_{n_j}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\Gamma(\hat{y}, \hat{y})\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Hence, we have

$$\|\hat{y}\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y})\|_{M_{n_j}} = (1+\varepsilon)\|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y)\|_{M_{n_j}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^3\|y\|_{M_{n_j}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^3 R$$

and by Lemma 3.8 and (5.14),

$$\|\Gamma(\hat{y}, \hat{y})\|_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\Gamma^{n_j}(\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y}), \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\hat{y}))\|_{M_{n_j}} \leq (1+\varepsilon)^5\|\Gamma^{n_j}(y, y)\|_{M_{n_j}}$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j})$ and $j > N$. Since $\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}\hat{y} \in B$, there exists $p_s \in \{p_1, ..., p_r\}$ such that $\|\sigma_\theta(p_s) - \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}\hat{y}\| \leq \varepsilon$. By (5.14) and (5.15), we have for $j > N$

$$\left\|\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s)) - \frac{T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y)}{(1+\varepsilon)^3}\right\|_{M_n} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\varepsilon,$$

because $\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s) - \frac{\hat{y}}{(1+\varepsilon)^3} \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j}^{sa})$.

Finally, for any $y \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j})$ and $j > N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y) - \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s))\|_{M_{n_j}} \\
&\leq \Big\|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y) - \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3} T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y)\Big\|_{M_{n_j}} + \Big\|\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)^3} T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y) - \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s))\Big\|_{M_{n_j}} \\
&\leq (4R+2)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.18, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y - \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s))\|_{M_{n_j}} &\leq \|y - T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y)\|_{M_{n_j}} + \|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^{n_j}}(y) - \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_s))\|_{M_{n_j}} \\
&\leq (5R+3)\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing ε by $\frac{\varepsilon}{5R+3}$ in the beginning completes the proof. □

Theorem 5.20. *Let $\theta \in [0,1)$ and (n_j) be given in Lemma 5.17. Then $((M_{n_j})_{sa}, L_{n_j})$ converges to $(\mathcal{A}_\theta, L)$ in the quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

Proof. In Lemma 5.16 we proved that $(\{(M_{n_j})_{sa}, L_{n_j}\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces in the sense of [Li06]. Let $\varepsilon = 1/m$ and $R \geq 0$. By Proposition 5.19, we can find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$y_1^m = \sigma_\theta(p_1^m), ..., y_{r_m}^m = \sigma_\theta(p_{r_m}^m) \in \mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{sa}),$$

where $(p_{r_s}^m)_{s=1}^m \subset \mathrm{Poly}(x,y)$, so that for any $z \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j}^{sa})$, $j > N$, there exists a $p_{r_s}^m \in \{p_{r_1}^m, ..., p_{r_m}^m\}$ with

$$\|z - \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_{r_s}^m))\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon.$$

The set

$$\Lambda := \cup_{m=1}^\infty \{y_1^m, ..., y_{r_m}^m\} = \sigma_\theta(\cup_{m=1}^\infty \{p_1^m, ..., p_{r_m}^m\})$$

is dense in $\mathcal{D}_R(\mathcal{A}_\theta)$. Give an ordering as in Theorem 4.8. By our construction, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist m and r such that the open ε-balls in M_{n_j} centered at

$$\rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_1)), ..., \rho_{n_j}^{\theta_j}(\sigma_{\theta_j}(p_r))$$

cover $\mathcal{D}_R(M_{n_j})$ for all $n_j > m$, where $\sigma_\theta(p_i)_{i=1}^m \in \Lambda$ for all i. In other words, Λ satisfies condition (iii) in [Li06, Theorem 7.1]. Hence M_n converges to $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ in the order-unit quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance d_{oq}^R by the same theorem. But by Proposition 3.11, the radii of state spaces of M_{n_j} are uniformly bounded. The assertion follows from [Li06, Theorem 1.1]. □

So far we have dealt with the heat semigroup on $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. The following indicates that the approximation can also be done using the Poisson semigroup.

Lemma 5.21. *Let B_n denote the discrete Poisson semigroup and A_n denote the discrete heat semigroup on M_n. Then we have*

$$\|A_n^{\beta/2} x\|_p \sim \|B_n^\beta x\|_p,$$

for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof. Note that for fixed j, k such that $|j|, |k| \leq \frac{n}{2}$, $j^2 + k^2 \sim (|j|_n + |k|_n)^2$, where $|\cdot|_n$ is as defined in Section 2. Let p_0 be such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{2}$ for $0 < \theta < 1$ and $\beta = \theta\alpha$. Now since the maps

$$B_n^{\alpha it} A_n^{-2\alpha it} : L_{p_0} \to L_{p_0},$$
$$B_n^{\alpha(1+it)} A_n^{-2\alpha(1+it)} : L_2 \to L_2$$

are bounded, the assertion follows from Stein's interpolation theorem in the same way as the proof of Proposition 5.5. □

Remark 5.22. Lemma 5.1 has a variant for the Poisson semigroup. Together with Lemma 5.21, one can prove Proposition 5.19 for the Poisson semigroup, which in turn yields the approximation result. In fact, one can even prove the convergence in quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance using some exotic semigroups. For example, one may consider the semigroup defined by $T_t(u^j v^k) = e^{-t(|j|+|k|^2)} u^j v^k$.

6. Completely Bounded Quantum Gromov–Hausdorff Convergence

In this section we introduce the notion of completely bounded quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance. The final goal is to show that the continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces which we presented earlier in this paper, converge in this sense.

Definition 6.1. Let X be an operator space. We say (X, L) is a *Lip operator space structure*, if

(1) $L \subset X$ is a dense subspace;
(2) there exists a subspace $N \subset L$ such that L/N carries an additional operator space structure, which will also be referred to as Lip structure.

In particular, on the first matrix level the Lip structure induces a semi-norm on L. The matrix semi-norms on L will be denoted by $|||x|||_{M_n(L)}$ or simply $|||x|||$ if it is clear that $x \in M_n(X)$. We also use the notation $L(x) := |||x|||$, especially when we consider a continuous field of quantum metric spaces.

We define the completely bounded quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance of two operator spaces as follows.

Definition 6.2. Let X and Y be two operator spaces. Let $R > 0$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_R(M_n(X)) = \{x \in M_n(X) : |||x|||_{M_n(L)} \leq 1, \|x\|_{M_n(X)} \leq R\}.$$

We denote the *R-cb-quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance* of X and Y by $d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X, Y)$, and define it by

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X, Y) = \inf \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{d_H[\mathrm{id} \otimes \iota_X(\mathcal{D}_R(M_n(X))), \mathrm{id} \otimes \iota_Y(\mathcal{D}_R(M_n(Y)))]\},$$

where d_H denotes the Hausdorff distance, and the infimum runs over all operator spaces V and completely isometric embeddings $\iota_X : X \to V$ and $\iota_Y : Y \to V$. If in addition X and Y are unital with units e_X and e_Y, respectively, we modify the definition as follows:

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X,Y) = \inf \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \{\max\{d_H[\mathrm{id}\otimes\iota_X(\mathcal{D}_R(M_n(X))), \mathrm{id}\otimes\iota_Y(\mathcal{D}_R(M_n(Y)))], \\ \|\iota_X(Re_X) - \iota_Y(Re_Y)\|\}\},$$

Remark 6.3. The definition above seems stronger than the one introduced in [Wu06].

Remark 6.4. Let $\mathcal{K}_1$ denote the unitalization of $\mathcal{K}$, the space of compact operators on a Hilbert space. For two operator spaces X and Y, we are particularly interested in $d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{K}_1 \otimes X, \mathcal{K}_1 \otimes Y)$.

Now we prove the triangle inequality. The proof follows the same idea as that of Lemma 4.5 in [Li06].

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\iota_j : A \to B_j$ be linear completely isometric embeddings of operator spaces for $j \in \{1,2\}$. Then there is an operator space C and linear completely isometric embeddings $\psi_j : B_j \to C$ such that $\psi_1 \circ \iota_1 = \psi_2 \circ \iota_2$.*

Proof. Let ψ_j be as defined in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [Li06]. The same argument extends easily to matrix levels. Then $\psi_1\circ\iota_1 = \psi_2\circ\iota_2$ and ψ_1, ψ_2 are complete isometries. □

Lemma 6.6. *Let X, Y and Z be operator spaces. Then the following holds*

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X,Z) \le d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X,Y) + d^{cb}_{oq,R}(Y,Z).$$

Proof. The triangle inequality follows immediately from applying Lemma 6.5 with $A = Y$. □

Let $k \ge 0$. Recall the notation Λ^2_k in (5.4). Let $x \in M_m(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda^2_k})$ and δ be the derivation of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ into a Hilbert C*-module $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_\theta} := H_\psi \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta$ as defined in (3.5) (for the case $m = 1$). We define the matrix Lip-norm as follows

$$|||x||| = \max\{\|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta)(x)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_\theta})}, \|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\delta)(x^*)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}_\theta})}\}.$$

This is exactly the definition (3.8) in the two dimensional case restricted to rotation C*-algebras. Note that if x is self-adjoint, the matrix Lip-norm $|||x|||$ introduced here is just the matrix extension of the Lip-norm used in Proposition 5.16 for $d = 2$. We may write $L_\theta(x)$ or $L_\infty(x)$ for $|||x|||$ when considering continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces.

Lemma 6.7. *Let X and Y be two operator spaces. Let $\varepsilon \ge 0$ and $\varphi : X \to Y$ be a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry, i.e. for any m and any $\hat{x} \in M_m(X)$, we have*

$$(1-\varepsilon)\|\hat{x}\|_{M_m(X)} \le \|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\hat{x})\|_{M_m(Y)} \le (1+\varepsilon)\|\hat{x}\|_{M_m(X)},$$

and

$$(1-\varepsilon)|||\hat{x}||| \le |||(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)(\hat{x})||| \le (1+\varepsilon)|||\hat{x}|||.$$

Then we have

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X,\varphi(X)) \le 2R\varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $N = \{(a, -\varphi(a), \varepsilon a) : a \in X\}$. Then $N \subset X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X$. Here $X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X$ is the ℓ_1-sum of X, Y and X in the sense of operator spaces. Let

$$V = \{(x, \varphi(x'), 0) + N : x, x' \in X\} \subset (X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X)/N.$$

Then

$$\|(x,y,0)+N\|_{(X\oplus_1 Y\oplus_1 X)/N} = \inf\{\|x-a\| + \|y+\varphi(a)\| + \varepsilon\|a\| : a \in X\}.$$

Thus X and Y embed isometrically into V (see Lemma 7.2 in [Li06]). We claim that the embeddings are actually completely isometric. Indeed, since $S_1((X \oplus_1 \varphi(X) \oplus_1 X)/N) = S_1(X) \oplus_1 S_1(\varphi(X)) \oplus_1 S_1(X)/S_1(N)$, we have

$$S_1(V) \subset S_1(X) \oplus_1 S_1(\varphi(X)) \oplus_1 S_1(X)/S_1(N).$$

Hence for $\hat{x} \in S_1(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\hat{x},0,0) + S_1(N)\|_{S_1(V)} &= \|\hat{x}\|_{S_1(X)},\\ \|(0,(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)\hat{x},0) + S_1(N)\|_{S_1(V)} &= \|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)\hat{x}\|_{S_1(Y)}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that by a result of Pisier (see [Pis98, Lemma 1.7]), if $u : X \to Y$ is a completely bounded map, for every $1 \le p \le \infty$, we have

$$\|u\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \sup_m \|\,\mathrm{id}\otimes u : S_p^m(X) \to S_p^m(Y)\|.$$

Therefore, by applying the above for $p = 1$ and $p = \infty$, we find that

$$\iota_1 : X \to (X,0,0) + N \subset V \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_2 : \varphi(X) \to (0,\varphi(X),0) + N \subset V$$

are completely isometric embeddings. Note that the maps

$$\begin{aligned}\iota : X \to X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X, \quad x \mapsto (0,0,x),\\ q : X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X \to (X \oplus_1 Y \oplus_1 X)/N, \quad (x,y,z) \mapsto (x,y,z) + N\end{aligned}$$

are completely contractive. For any $\hat{x} \in M_m(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(\mathrm{id}\otimes\iota_1)\hat{x} - \mathrm{id}\otimes(\iota_2\circ\varphi)\hat{x}\|_{M_m(V)} &\le \|(\hat{x},0,0) - (0,\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi(\hat{x}),0) + N\|_{M_m(V)}\\ &= \|(\hat{x},-\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi(\hat{x}),0) - (\hat{x},-\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi(\hat{x}),\varepsilon\hat{x}) + N\|_{M_m(V)} \le \varepsilon\|\hat{x}\|_{M_m(X)}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Now let $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_m(X))$, i.e. $\hat{x} \in M_m(X)$, $\|\hat{x}\|_{M_m(X)} \le R$ and $|||\hat{x}||| \le 1$. Then by the assumption, we have $\|\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)\hat{x}\|_{M_m(Y)} \le R$ and $|||\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)\hat{x}||| \le 1$. This means $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}(\mathrm{id}\otimes\varphi)\hat{x} \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_m(Y))$. Using (6.1), we find

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(X,\varphi(X)) \le \varepsilon R + \frac{\varepsilon R}{1+\varepsilon}\|\varphi\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le 2R\varepsilon. \qquad \square$$

6.1. **CB-continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces.** In this section we investigate an operator space version of continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces, and show that the continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces which we introduced earlier form cb-continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces with appropriate operator space Lip-norms defined on them.

Definition 6.8. Let T be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $(\{\mathcal{A}_t, L_t\}_{t\in T}, S_0)$ be a continuous field of order-unit spaces in the sense of [Li06], where S_0 is a dense subset of S, the space of continuous sections, containing the unit. We say $(\{\mathcal{A}_t, L_t\}, S_0)$ is a *cb-continuous field of order-unit spaces* if for any finite subset $\Delta \subset S_0$, s_0 and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}(s_0) > 0$, such that for any $s \in \mathcal{U}(s_0)$, $m \geq 1$, $f \in \Delta$ and matrix coefficients $a_f \in M_m$, we have the following

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}\|\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_s)} &\leq \|\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s_0)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_{s_0})} \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)\|\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_s)}.\end{aligned}$$

We call $(\{\mathcal{A}_t, L_t\}_{t\in T}, S_0)$ a *cb-continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces* if $(\{\mathcal{A}_t, L_t\}_t, S_0)$ is a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces and in addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}|||\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s)|||_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_s)} &\leq |||\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s_0)|||_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_{s_0})} \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)|||\sum_{f\in\Delta} a_f \otimes f(s)|||_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_s)}.\end{aligned}$$

Recall the map $\rho_n : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to M_n$ as defined in (5.8): $\rho_n(u^j v^k) = u_j(n)v_k(n)$, where u, v are the generators of $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $u_j(n)v_k(n)$ are defined in Section 5. Let $C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ (resp. $M_n^{\Lambda_k^2}$) denote the elements in $C(\mathbb{T}^2)$ spanned by $u^j v^l$ (resp. $u_j(n)v_l(n)$) for $(j,l) \in \Lambda_k^2$. Note that $C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ and $M_n^{\Lambda_k^2}$ are operator spaces.

Proposition 6.9. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for any $n > N$, the map $\rho_n|_{C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2)} : C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2) \to M_n^{\Lambda_k^2}$ is a $(1+\varepsilon)$ cb-isometry.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.8, the map $(\rho_n)^\bullet : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \prod_\omega M_n$ is a faithful *-homomorphism, and in particular a complete isometry. Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, there exists $N > 0$, such that for $n > N$, $C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2)$ is $(1+\varepsilon)$-isometric to $M_n^{\Lambda_k^2}$ via ρ_n; i.e. we have for scalar coefficients $a_{j,l}$

$$(1-\varepsilon)\Big\|\sum_{|j|,|l|\leq k} a_{j,l}u^j v^l\Big\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^2)} \leq \Big\|\sum_{|j|,|l|\leq k} a_{j,l}u_j(n)v_l(n)\Big\|_{M_n} \leq (1+\varepsilon)\Big\|\sum_{|j|,|l|\leq k} a_{j,l}u^j v^l\Big\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^2)}.$$

Note that if X is an operator space, and B_{X^*} denotes the unit ball of X^*, then the *min-structure* on X is given by the image of the map $\iota_{\min} : X \to C(B_{X^*})$ defined by $\iota_{\min}(x) = f_x$, where $f_x(x^*) = x^*(x)$, for all $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$. Let $\min(M_n)$ denote the min-structure on M_n. Since $\min(M_n)$ is commutative, we have

$$\|\rho_n : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \min(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = \|\rho_n : C(\mathbb{T}^2) \to \min(M_n)\|.$$

It follows that for any m and $a_{j,l} \in M_m$

$$(1-\varepsilon)\Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j v^l \Big\|_{M_m(C(\mathbb{T}^2))} \le \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u_j(n) v_l(n) \Big\|_{M_m(\min(M_n))}. \tag{6.2}$$

Recalling that $\min(M_n)$ is the smallest operator space norm on M_n, we deduce from (6.2) that for any m

$$\begin{aligned} \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j v^l \Big\|_{M_m(C(\mathbb{T}^2))} &\le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u_j(n) v_l(n) \Big\|_{M_m(\min(M_n))} \\ &\le \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon} \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u_j(n) v_l(n) \Big\|_{M_m(M_n)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

Now by a result of Haagerup–Rørdam [HR95], there exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $u(\theta), v(\theta) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the following hold

(1) For any θ, $\mathrm{C}^*(u(\theta), v(\theta)) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\theta$,
(2) There is a constant $c > 0$, such that for any θ', $\max\{\|u(\theta)-u(\theta')\|, \|v(\theta)-v(\theta')\|\} \le c(\theta-\theta')^{1/2}$.

This implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $|\theta - \theta'| < \delta$ and $|j| \le k, |l| \le k$, we have

$$\sup_{j,l} \|u^j(\theta)v^l(\theta) - u^j(\theta')v^l(\theta')\| \le 2ck|\theta-\theta'|^{1/2},$$

Let d_{cb} denote the Banach-Mazur distance of two operator spaces. Then there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, k) > 0$ such that $d_{\mathrm{cb}}(\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2}, \mathcal{A}_{\theta'}^{\Lambda_k^2}) < 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $|\theta - \theta'| < \delta$; see [Pis03, Section 2.13]. We may find a completely bounded map ϕ sending $u(\theta)v(\theta)$ to $u(\theta')v(\theta')$ such that

$$\|\phi : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} \to \mathcal{A}_{\theta'}^{\Lambda_k^2}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \le 1 + \varepsilon.$$

It follows that for all matrix coefficients $a_{j,l}$ we get

$$\left| \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j(\theta)v^l(\theta) \Big\| - \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j(\theta')v^l(\theta') \Big\| \right| \le \varepsilon \Big\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j(\theta)v^l(\theta) \Big\|.$$

Setting $\theta' = \frac{1}{n} < \delta$ and $\theta = 0$, we have for any m

$$\| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j(1/n)v^l(1/n) \|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_{1/n})} \le (1+\varepsilon) \| \sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l} \otimes u^j v^l \|_{M_m(C(\mathbb{T}^2))}. \tag{6.4}$$

But $u_1(n)$ and $v_1(n)$ verify the commutation relation of $\mathcal{A}_{1/n}$. By universality of $\mathcal{A}_{1/n}$ we have for any m

$$\|\sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l}\otimes u_j(n)v_l(n)\|_{M_m(M_n)} \le \|\sum_{|j|,|l|\le k} a_{j,l}\otimes u^j(1/n)v^l(1/n)\|_{M_m(\mathcal{A}_{1/n})}. \tag{6.5}$$

By combining the estimates (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5), we complete the proof. □

Proposition 6.10. *For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $k\ge 0$, there exists $N>0$ and a family of maps $\rho_n^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} \to M_n^{\Lambda_k^2}$ such that for $n>N$, ρ_n^θ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry.*

Proof. Note that if we know ρ_n^θ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry then by the same argument as that of Lemma 3.8, it is also a $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry on $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2}$. Therefore it suffices to show that ρ_n^θ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry. If $\theta=0$, then the result follows immediately from Proposition 6.9. Let $\theta=\frac{p}{q}$ be rational. Recall from Lemma 5.10 that we have a surjective map $\rho_{n_l}^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} \to M_{n_l}^{\Lambda_k^2}$ for suitable n_l. We show that this map is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry. As we observed in Section 5.2, there is a trace preserving *-homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{A}_\theta \to M_q \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{T}^2)$. By Proposition 6.9, there exists $N>0$ such that the map $\rho_m : C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2) \to M_m^{\Lambda_k^2}$ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry for $m>N$. Hence, so is the map $\mathrm{id}\otimes\rho_{n_l} : M_q \otimes_{\min} C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2) \to M_q \otimes_{\min} M_{n_l}^{\Lambda_k^2}$. Note that the specific choice of the subsequence $n_l = q^{l+1}$ guarantees that $\rho_{n_l}^\theta(\mathcal{A}_\theta) = M_{n_l}$. Therefore, the restriction of $\rho_{n_l}^\theta = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\rho_{n_l})\circ\sigma$ to $\mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2}$ is also a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry. This gives the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_q \otimes_{\min} C^{\Lambda_k^2}(\mathbb{T}^2) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}\otimes\rho_{n_l}} & M_q \otimes_{\min} M_{n_l}^{\Lambda_k^2} \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n_l}^\theta} & M_{n_l}^{\Lambda_k^2} \end{array}$$

which proves the rational case. Finally, let θ be irrational. Then there exists a sequence $\theta_s=\frac{p_s}{q_s}$ of rational numbers converging to θ. We may assume $\theta_s-\theta$ is small enough so that we may apply the result of Haagerup–Rørdam [HR95] to get a $1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ cb-isometry $\phi_s : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} \to \mathcal{A}_{\theta_s}^{\Lambda_k^2}$ in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 6.9. Then by what we proved above, we may choose n_s large enough such that the map $\rho_{n_s}^{\theta_s} : \mathcal{A}_{\theta_s}^{\Lambda_k^2} \to M_{n_s}^{\Lambda_k^2}$ is a $1+\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ cb-isometry. Let $\rho_{n_s}^\theta = \rho_{n_s}^{\theta_s}\circ\phi_s$. Then $\rho_{n_s}^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_k^2} \to M_{n_s}^{\Lambda_k^2}$ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry. We

can illustrate the argument using the following diagram



□

Let $(\{\mathcal{A}_n, L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ denote either of the two continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces which were introduced in Sections 4 and 5. The following result follows immediately from Proposition 6.10.

Proposition 6.11. *$(\{\mathcal{A}_n, L_n\}_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a cb-continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.*

6.2. **Approximations for $C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{A}_\theta$.** Here we only present a formal proof of the approximation for $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. The argument modifies easily to the case of $C(\mathbb{T})$. Before we prove the main result, we show the following estimate.

Theorem 6.12. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist $k = k(\varepsilon)$, $m = m(k)$ and multipliers $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$, $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4(2k+1)^2})$ on M_n for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$) such that*

$$\|T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n} - \mathrm{id} : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_n, \|\cdot\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Here $T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}$ is induced by $\tilde{T}_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n}$ as defined in (5.3).

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 5.18, but we have to get rid of the L_2 norm this time. Let k be a large number which will be determined later. Fix α, β such that $\alpha + \beta = \frac{1}{2}$. Similar to Lemma 5.18, we may choose multipliers $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$, $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{D(2k+1)^2})$ for some D to be determined later, such that

$$|\phi_{k,\eta}^n(j,l) - 1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{D(2k+1)^2}, \quad (j,l) \in [-k,k]^2. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n} - \mathrm{id} &= A^{-\alpha}A^{-\beta}(T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n} - \mathrm{id})A^{1/2} \\ &= A^{-\alpha}A^{-\beta}(T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n} - \mathrm{id})P_kA^{1/2} + A^{-\alpha}A^{-\beta}(T_{\phi_{k,\eta}^n} - \mathrm{id})(\mathrm{id} - P_k)A^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 5.3, we know $\|A^{1/2} : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to L_p^0(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = K_p < \infty$. Using (6.6) and Lemma 5.4, we may extend (5.13) to matrix levels as in Lemma 3.10 (but with $q = p \geq 2$

here) and obtain

$$\|(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id})P_k : L_p^0(M_n) \to L_p^0(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{D}.$$

By (3.12), we know $\|A^{-\beta} : L_p^0(M_n) \to L_p^0(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = c'_\beta < \infty$. And by Lemma 5.1, $\|A^{-\alpha} : L_p^0(M_n) \to L_\infty^0(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = c_\alpha < \infty$. Therefore, we find

$$\|A^{-\alpha}A^{-\beta}(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id})P_kA^{1/2} : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_n, \|\cdot\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \frac{2c_\alpha c'_\beta K_p\varepsilon}{D} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

by choosing D large enough. By Corollary 5.7, we have $\|A^{-\beta}(1 - P_k) : L_p(M_n) \to L_p(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} = C_pk^{-2\beta}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id} : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_n, \|\cdot\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \\
&\leq \|(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id})P_k : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_n, \|\cdot\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \\
&\quad + \|(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id})A^{-\alpha}A^{-\beta}(1 - P_k)A^{1/2} : (M_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (M_n, \|\cdot\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + c_\alpha C_pk^{-2\beta}K_p\|(T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id}) : L_\infty(M_n) \to L_\infty(M_n)\|_{\mathrm{cb}}.
\end{aligned}$$

But the cb-norm of $T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}} - \mathrm{id} : L_\infty(M_n) \to L_\infty(M_n)$ is less than $2 + \eta$, by the construction of $\phi^n_{k,\eta}$. The assertion follows by choosing k large enough. □

Theorem 6.13. *There exists a sequence $n_j \to \infty$ such that $(\mathcal{A}_{n_j}, L_{n_j})$ converges to $(\mathcal{A}_\infty, L_\infty)$ in the R-cb-quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

Proof. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1, R > 0$. In this proof we simply write n for n_j. We choose m and $\phi^n_{k,\eta}$ as in Lemma 6.12. By Lemma 6.6, we have

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \mathcal{A}_n) \leq d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \mathcal{A}_\infty^{\Lambda_m^2}) + d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\Lambda_m^2}, \mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}) + d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}, \mathcal{A}_n). \tag{6.7}$$

By Proposition 6.10, we may choose n large enough such that the map $\rho_n^\theta : \mathcal{A}_\theta^{\Lambda_m^2} \to M_n^{\Lambda_m^2}$ defined by $u_j^n v_l^n \mapsto u_j(n)v_l(n)$ is a $1 + \varepsilon$ cb-isometry and $1 + \varepsilon$ Lip-isometry. Hence by Lemma 6.7,

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_\infty^{\Lambda_m^2}, \mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}) \leq 2R\varepsilon.$$

By Lemma 5.18, we have $\|T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2$. Together with Lemma 3.8, we deduce that $\frac{1}{(1+\eta)^2}(\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}})x \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_p(\mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_R(M_p(\mathcal{A}_n))$ and n large enough (including $n = \infty$). By Theorem 6.12, we have $\|x - (\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}})x\| < \varepsilon$. This shows that

$$d_H(\mathcal{D}_R(M_p(\mathcal{A}_n)), \mathcal{D}_R(M_p(\mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}))) < \varepsilon + \Big[1 - \frac{1}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2}\Big]R\|T_{\phi^n_{k,\eta}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq (3R + 1)\varepsilon.$$

Hence $d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathcal{A}_n^{\Lambda_m^2}) < (3R + 1)\varepsilon$. Hence, by (6.7), we conclude that

$$d^{cb}_{oq,R}(\mathcal{A}_\infty, \mathcal{A}_n) < 8(R + 1)\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

7. Completely Bounded Quantum Gromov–Hausdorff Distance for Higher Dimensional Tori

In this section we explore the convergence of matrix algebras to the noncommutative tori in higher dimensions. In the following, let $m = \frac{d(d-1)}{2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d$ denote the rotation algebra with d generators which was introduced in Section 3. Recall that by Proposition 5.16, $(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d)_\Theta$ form a continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces. The following is an analog of Haagerup and Rørdam's result in higher dimensions.

Theorem 7.1. *There exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, such that for all Θ, there exist unitaries $u_1(\Theta), ..., u_d(\Theta) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$C^*(u_1(\Theta), ..., u_d(\Theta)) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\Theta^d \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{\Theta' \to \Theta} \|u_k(\Theta') - u_k(\Theta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = 0$$

for $k = 1, ..., d$.

Proof. We recall the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_B$ as defined in Subsection 5.2. To shorten the notation, we will write $\mathbb{H}$ for $\mathbb{H}_B$ in the following. Note that since $\mathbb{H}$ is amenable, $C^*(\mathbb{H})$ is a nuclear $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-algebra. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 in [Bla97] we get a unital monomorphism of $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-algebras $\alpha : C^*(\mathbb{H}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^m)$ and a unital $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-linear completely positive map $E : \mathcal{O}_2 \otimes C(\mathbb{T}^m) \to C^*(\mathbb{H})$ such that $E \circ \alpha = \mathrm{id}_{C^*(\mathbb{H})}$. Here $\mathcal{O}_2$ is the Cuntz algebra generated by two orthogonal isometries. Let $\mathcal{O}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then for all $x \in C^*(\mathbb{H})$, $\alpha(x) \in C(\mathbb{T}^m, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. We define $J_\Theta = \{g \in C(\mathbb{T}^m) : g(\Theta) = 0\}$. Then J_Θ is a closed ideal of $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$. Recall from Lemma 5.13 the quotient $C_\Theta = C^*(\mathbb{H})/I_\Theta$. We consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(\mathbb{H}) & \xrightarrow{\quad\alpha\quad} & C(\mathbb{T}^m, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q_\Theta} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{q}_\Theta} \\ C_\Theta & \dashrightarrow & C(\mathbb{T}^m, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))/J_\Theta \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}). \end{array}$$

Since α is $C(\mathbb{T}^m)$-linear, the kernel of q_Θ and that of $\tilde{q}_\Theta \circ \alpha$ coincide. We define $\pi_\Theta = \tilde{q}_\Theta \circ \alpha \circ q_\Theta^{-1}$. Then π_Θ is a well-defined monomorphism and the above diagram commutes. Note that for $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^m, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, we have $\tilde{q}_\Theta(f) = f(\Theta)$ and

$$\|f(\Theta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = \|f + J_\Theta \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})\|_{C(\mathbb{T}^m, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))/J_\Theta \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})}.$$

Then $\lim_{\Theta' \to \Theta} \|\alpha(x)(\Theta') - \alpha(x)(\Theta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = 0$ for $x \in C^*(\mathbb{H})$. It follows that

$$\lim_{\Theta' \to \Theta} \|\pi_{\Theta'}(\hat{x} + I_{\Theta'}) - \pi_\Theta(\hat{x} + I_\Theta)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} = 0, \quad \hat{x} + I_\Theta \in C_\Theta.$$

By Lemma 5.13, $\mathcal{A}_{2\Theta}^d \simeq C_\Theta$ and $\lambda(0, e_1) + I_\Theta, ..., \lambda(0, e_d) + I_\Theta$ generate C_Θ, where $(e_k)_{k=1}^d$ are canonical generators of $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Let $u_k(\Theta) = \pi_\Theta(\lambda(0, e_k) + I_\Theta), k = 1, ..., d$ and note that $\pi_\Theta(C_\Theta) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The proof is complete. □

We now consider approximations of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ by matrix algebras. We want to use finite dimensional versions of rotation algebras and we have to determine their center. In order to use induction we have to introduce a new form of action. We consider an action σ of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ on a unital C*-algebra B. Then we can construct the universal crossed product $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}^2$. In particular, if B is faithfully represented on $\mathcal{H}$, we may choose a special representation π of B on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ such that the left regular representation of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ spatially implements the action σ, i.e.

$$(1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)})\pi(b)(1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)}^*) = \pi(\sigma_{(j,k)}(b)), \quad b \in B;$$

see e.g. [BO08]. Let u, v denote the univiersal generators of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$. We define a representation of $\mathcal{A}_\theta$ by

$$\gamma : \mathcal{A}_\theta \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes L(\mathbb{Z}^2) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta, \qquad u^j v^k \mapsto 1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)} \otimes u^j v^k.$$

It follows that for $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(u^j v^k)(\pi(b) \otimes 1)\gamma(u^j v^k)^* &= [(1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)})\pi(b)(1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)})^*] \otimes u^j v^k (u^j v^k)^* \\ &= \pi(\sigma_{(j,k)}(b)) \otimes 1.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action σ and the representations π and γ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(u)(\pi(b) \otimes 1)\gamma(u)^* &= \pi(\sigma_{(1,0)}(b)), \\ \gamma(v)(\pi(b) \otimes 1)\gamma(v)^* = \pi(\sigma_{(0,1)}(b)), &\quad \gamma(u)\gamma(v) = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta}\gamma(v)\gamma(u).\end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

In the following, we use the notation $\langle D : R \rangle$ to denote the universal C*-algebra generated by D with relations R. We may even ignore R for short if the relations are clear from context. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_\theta(n) = \langle U, V : U^n = 1 = V^n, UV = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta} VU, U \text{ and } V \text{ unitaries} \rangle,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta = \langle b, U, V : b \in B, UbU^* = \sigma_{(1,0)}(b), VbV^* = \sigma_{(0,1)}(b), \\ UV = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta} VU, U \text{ and } V \text{ unitaries} \rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Note that for $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ we have necessarily $\theta = \frac{q}{n}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. If q and n are coprime, then it is well known that $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \simeq M_n$.

Similar to the case of $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action on B, if we start with a $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$-action σ on B and $\theta = \frac{q}{n}$, we may find representations π of B and γ of $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ as follows

$$\gamma : \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes L(\mathbb{Z}_n^2) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\theta(n), \qquad u_1(n) v_q(n) \mapsto 1 \otimes \lambda_{(j,k)} \otimes u_1(n) v_q(n),$$

where the generators $u_1(n), v_q(n)$ of $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ are as given in equation (5.1). Similarly, it follows that for $b \in B$, the $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$-action σ and the representations π and γ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(u_1(n))(\pi(b) \otimes 1)\gamma(u_1(n))^* &= \pi(\sigma_{(1,0)}(b)), \\ \gamma(v_q(n))(\pi(b) \otimes 1)\gamma(v_q(n))^* = \pi(\sigma_{(0,1)}(b)), &\quad \gamma(u_1(n))\gamma(v_q(n)) = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\frac{q}{n}}\gamma(v_q(n))\gamma(u_1(n)).\end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

For simplicity, in the following we will write $\sigma_{1,0}$ and $\sigma_{0,1}$ for $\sigma_{(1,0)}$ and $\sigma_{(0,1)}$, respectively.

Definition 7.2. Suppose B is a unital C*-algebra. We define

$$B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) = \langle b, U, V : b \in B, UbU^* = \sigma_{1,0}(b), VbV^* = \sigma_{0,1}(b), \\ U^n = 1 = V^n, UV = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta} VU, U \text{ and } V \text{ unitaries} \rangle,$$

where $\theta = \frac{q}{n}$, $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and σ is an action of $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ on B.

Thanks to (7.1) and (7.3), the universal objects defined above exist. By universality and using the notation introduced here, we can rewrite the noncommutative torus $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ iteratively as

$$\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d} = \mathcal{A}_{\theta_{12}} \rtimes_{\sigma^2} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_{34}} \rtimes_{\sigma^3} \cdots \rtimes_{\sigma^d} \mathcal{A}_{\theta_{2d-1,2d}}, \tag{7.4}$$

where the $\mathbb{Z}^2$-action $\sigma^k, k = 2, ..., d$, is defined by

$$\sigma_{1,0}^k(u_1) = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k-1}} u_1, \ ..., \ \sigma_{1,0}^k(u_{2k-2}) = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k-1}} u_{2k-2}, \\ \sigma_{0,1}^k(u_1) = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k}} u_1, \ ..., \ \sigma_{0,1}^k(u_{2k-2}) = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k}} u_{2k-2}.$$

Indeed, note that by the definition in (7.2), we have

$$\sigma_{1,0}^k(u_1) = u_{2k-1} u_1 u_{2k-1}^*, \ ..., \ \sigma_{1,0}^k(u_{2k-2}) = u_{2k-1} u_{2k-2} u_{2k-1}^*, \\ \sigma_{0,1}^k(u_1) = u_{2k} u_1 u_{2k}^*, \ ..., \ \sigma_{0,1}^k(u_{2k-2}) = u_{2k} u_{2k-2} u_{2k}^*.$$

Then (7.4) follows from the universality of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$.

Proposition 7.3. *Let $\theta = \frac{q}{n}$ and q, n be coprime. Let σ be an action of $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ on a C*-algebra B. Then $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \simeq M_n(B)$.*

Proof. We first consider the case for which the action σ is inner, i.e. there exist unitaries w_1 and w_2 in B such that $\sigma_{1,0}(x) = w_1 x w_1^*$, $\sigma_{0,1}(x) = w_2 x w_2^*$ and $[w_1, w_2] = 0$. Let u_n and v_n be the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$. We consider a special representation π_0 of $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ defined by $\pi_0(b) = b \otimes 1$ for $b \in B$, $\pi_0(U) = w_1 \otimes u_n$ and $\pi_0(V) = w_2 \otimes v_n$. It can be directly checked that π_0 is indeed a representation. Then we have $w_1^n = 1 = w_2^n$ and

$$\pi_0(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)) = \pi_0(\langle b, U, V : b \in B \rangle) \\ = C^*(b \otimes 1, w_1 \otimes u_n, w_2 \otimes v_n : b \in B) = B \otimes_{\min} M_n. \tag{7.5}$$

Now let $\pi_u : B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_u)$ be the universal representation of $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$. We show that in this case, we can also write $\pi_u(U)$ and $\pi_u(V)$ as tensors. Note that $\mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ has dimension at most n^2. Thanks to the image of U and V under π_0, we know that $C^*(\pi_u(U), \pi_u(V)) = M_n$. Therefore, we may take $\mathcal{H}_u = \mathcal{K} \otimes \ell_2^n$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Let us define $u = \pi_u(w_1^*)\pi_u(U)$ and $v = \pi_u(w_2^*)\pi_u(V)$. Then for $x \in \pi_u(B)$,

$$x = \sigma_{1,0}^{-1}[\sigma_{1,0}(x)] = \pi_u(w_1^*)\pi_u(U) x \pi_u(U)^* \pi_u(w_1) = uxu^*.$$

Thus $ux = xu$. Similarly, $vx = xv$. We deduce that $\pi_u(B) \subset C^*(u, v)' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_u)$. Since w_1 and w_2 commute, plugging in $x = \pi_u(w_1), \pi_u(w_2)$, we find $\pi_u(U)\pi_u(w_i) = \pi_u(w_i)\pi_u(U)$ and

$\pi_u(V)\pi_u(w_i) = \pi_u(w_i)\pi_u(V)$ for $i = 1, 2$. It follows that $\pi_u(w_i) \in M_n' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_u)$. Moreover, u and v also satisfy the conditions $uv = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta} vu$ and $u^n = 1 = v^n$. Therefore,

$$C^*(u, v) \simeq M_n, \quad \pi_u(B) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad u, v \in \pi_u(B)' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_u).$$

We may write $u = a \otimes \tilde{u}$ for some $a \in \pi_u(B)' \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and $\tilde{u} \in M_n$, and $\pi_u(w_1) = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(w_1) \otimes z$ for some $z \in \mathbb{C}$ where $\pi_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the restriction of π_u on $\mathcal{K}$. Hence,

$$\pi_u(U) = \pi_u(w_1)u = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(w_1)a \otimes z\tilde{u}.$$

Similarly, we can write $\pi_u(V)$ as a tensor. By (7.5), $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \simeq M_n(B)$.

Now we consider σ to be a general action. We define a $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$ action $\hat{\sigma}$ on $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$: For $x = \sum_{k,l} b_{kl} U^k V^l \in B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{1,0}(x) = \sum_{k,l} \sigma_{1,0}(b_{kl}) U^k V^l, \quad \hat{\sigma}_{0,1}(x) = \sum_{k,l} \sigma_{0,1}(b_{kl}) U^k V^l.$$

Similarly, we define a $\mathbb{Z}_n^2$-action, still denoted by $\hat{\sigma}$, on the universal crossed product $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2$: For $x = \sum_{k,l} b_{kl} \lambda(k, l) \in B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2$,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{1,0}(x) = \sum_{k,l} \sigma_{1,0}(b_{kl}) \lambda(k, l), \quad \hat{\sigma}_{0,1}(x) = \sum_{k,l} \sigma_{0,1}(b_{kl}) \lambda(k, l).$$

Then by universality we have $(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)) \rtimes_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathbb{Z}_n^2 = (B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2) \rtimes_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$. By the crossed product construction, the action $\hat{\sigma}$ on $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ is spatially implemented by $w_1 = 1 \otimes \lambda(1, 0)$ and $w_2 = 1 \otimes \lambda(0, 1)$. More precisely,

$$\pi(\hat{\sigma}_{1,0}(x)) = (1 \otimes w_1)\pi(x)(1 \otimes w_1^*), \quad \pi(\hat{\sigma}_{0,1}(x)) = (1 \otimes w_2)\pi(x)(1 \otimes w_2^*),$$

where $\pi(x) = \oplus_{g \in \mathbb{Z}_n^2} \sigma_{g^{-1}}(x)$; see e.g. [BO08] for more details. By what we proved in the first paragraph, we find that $(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2) \rtimes_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \simeq M_n(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2)$. But $M_n(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2) = M_n(B) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2$ where we have denoted the inflated action $\mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma$ still by σ. It is well known that there exists a faithful conditional expectation $E : M_n(B) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \to M_n(B)$. Recall that we have the canonical embedding $\iota : B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \hookrightarrow (B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)) \rtimes_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathbb{Z}_n^2$. We have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)) \rtimes_{\hat{\sigma}} \mathbb{Z}_n^2 & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & M_n(B) \rtimes_\sigma \mathbb{Z}_n^2 \\ \iota \uparrow & & \downarrow E \\ B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) & \dashrightarrow & M_n(B) \end{array}$$

Note that the multiplicative domain of E is $M_n(B)$, restricted on which E is a *-homomorphism. Moreover, $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)$ is contained in the multiplicative domain of E and clearly $E(B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n)) = M_n(B)$. But $E \circ \iota$ is faithful. Hence, we find that $B \rtimes_\sigma \mathcal{A}_\theta(n) \simeq M_n(B)$. □

In the following we show the convergence of the matrix algebras to the rotation algebra $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$. Similar to the 2 dimensional case, we define the matrix level Lip-norms on (a dense

subspace of) $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ as in (3.8):

$$|||x|||_m = \max\{\|\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x)\|_{M_m\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_\Theta\otimes_{\min}H_\psi^c},\ \|\operatorname{id}\otimes\delta(x)\|_{M_m\otimes_{\min}\mathcal{A}_\Theta\otimes_{\min}H_\psi^r}\}.$$

Similarly, by Remark 3.6 we may define the matrix level Lip-norms on M_{n^d} once we choose a set of generators of M_{n^d}. The Lip-norms on $\mathcal{A}_\Theta$ and M_{n^d} will also be denoted by $L_\infty(\cdot)$ and $L_n(\cdot)$, respectively, especially when we consider continuous fields of compact quantum metric spaces. We follow the same plan as in Section 6. Let $u_1(\Theta),...,u_{2d}(\Theta)$ be the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$. In particular, $u_1(0),...,u_{2d}(0)$ generate $C(\mathbb{T}^{2d})$. Following Definition 7.2, we consider the C*-algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{1/n}^{2d} := \mathcal{A}_{\theta_{1,2}}(n)\rtimes_{\sigma^2}\mathcal{A}_{\theta_{3,4}}(n)\rtimes_{\sigma^3}\cdots\rtimes_{\sigma^d}\mathcal{A}_{\theta_{2d-1,2d}}(n), \tag{7.6}$$

where the action $\sigma^k, k=2,...,d$ is defined by

$$\sigma_{1,0}^k(u_1)=u_{2k-1}u_1u_{2k-1}^*=e^{-2\pi\mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k-1}}u_1,\ ...,\ \sigma_{1,0}^k(u_{2k-2})=u_{2k-1}u_{2k-2}u_{2k-1}^*=e^{-2\pi\mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k-1}}u_{2k-2},$$
$$\sigma_{0,1}^k(u_1)=u_{2k}u_1u_{2k}^*=e^{-2\pi\mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k}}u_1,\ ...,\ \sigma_{0,1}^k(u_{2k-2})=u_{2k}u_{2k-2}u_{2k}^*=e^{-2\pi\mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k}}u_{2k-2},$$
$$u_i^n=1,\ i=1,...,2d,\qquad \theta_{i,j}=\frac{1}{n},\ 1\le i<j\le 2d.$$

Then by Proposition 7.3, we have $\mathcal{A}_{1/n}^{2d}\simeq M_{n^d}$. For definiteness, let us fix the generators in the iterated crossed product and define $v_1(n)=u_1,...,v_{2d}(n)=u_{2d}$. Then we have

$$v_i(n)v_j(n)=e^{\frac{2\pi\mathrm{i}}{n}}v_j(n)v_i(n),\quad 1\le i<j\le n. \tag{7.7}$$

We define a map $\rho_n: C(\mathbb{T}^{2d})\to M_{n^d}$ by $\rho_n(u_1(0)^{i_1}\cdots u_{2d}(0)^{i_{2d}})=v_1(n)^{i_1}\cdots v_{2d}(n)^{i_{2d}}$. Let $\Lambda_k^d=\{0,\pm1,...,\pm k\}^d$ and

$$C^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}(\mathbb{T}^{2d})=\Big\{x\in C(\mathbb{T}^{2d}): x=\sum_{|i_1|\le k,...|i_{2d}|\le k}a_iu_1(0)^{i_1}\cdots u_{2d}(0)^{i_{2d}}, a_i\in\mathbb{C}\Big\}.$$

Similarly, we will consider $M_{n^d}^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}$, $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}$, etc. in the following.

Lemma 7.4. *For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $k\ge 0$, there exists $N>0$ such that for any $n>N$, the map $\rho_n|_{C^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}(\mathbb{T}^{2d})}: C^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}(\mathbb{T}^{2d})\to M_{n^d}^{\Lambda_k^{2d}}$ is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry.*

Proof. By the definition of ρ_n and the commutation relations (7.7), we can generalize directly Lemma 5.8 to get a faithful *-homomorphism $(\rho_n)^\bullet: C(\mathbb{T}^{2d})\to\prod_\omega M_{n^d}$, where $\prod_\omega M_{n^d}$ is the von Neumann algebra ultraproduct. Now we repeat the proof of Proposition 6.9 with the result of Haagerup–Rørdam replaced by Theorem 7.1. The claim of $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry follows the same argument as that of Lemma 3.8. We leave the details to the reader. □

Suppose $\theta_{rs}=\frac{p_{rs}}{q}, 1\le r<s\le q$. We consider the iterated crossed product following the notation introduced in Definition 7.2

$$\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(q) := \mathcal{A}_{\theta_{1,2}}(q)\rtimes_{\sigma_{34}}\mathcal{A}_{\theta_{34}}(q)\rtimes_{\sigma^3}\cdots\rtimes_{\sigma^d}\mathcal{A}_{\theta_{2d-1,2d}}(q), \tag{7.8}$$

where the action $\sigma^k, k = 2, ..., d$ is defined by

$$\sigma^k_{1,0}(u_1) = u_{2k-1}u_1u^*_{2k-1} = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k-1}}u_1,\ ...,\ \sigma^k_{1,0}(u_{2k-2}) = u_{2k-1}u_{2k-2}u^*_{2k-1} = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k-1}}u_{2k-2},$$
$$\sigma^k_{0,1}(u_1) = u_{2k}u_1u^*_{2k} = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{1,2k}}u_1,\ ...,\ \sigma^k_{0,1}(u_{2k-2}) = u_{2k}u_{2k-2}u^*_{2k} = e^{-2\pi \mathrm{i}\theta_{2k-2,2k}}u_{2k-2},$$
$$u^q_i = 1, \quad i = 1, ..., 2d.$$

For definiteness, in the following result, the generators of $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta(q)$ will be denoted by $u^\Theta_j(q)$, $j = 1, ..., 2d$.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $\Theta = (\theta_{rs})^{2d}_{r,s=1}$ and $\Theta^n = (\theta^n_{rs})^{2d}_{r,s=1}$ be two skew symmetric matrices such that $\theta_{rs} = \frac{p_{rs}}{q}$ and $\theta^n_{rs} = \theta_{rs} + \frac{1}{n}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, there exists $N > 0$ such that for $n > N$ the maps $\rho^\Theta_n : \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}_\Theta \to [\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta^n}(nq)]^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}$ defined by*

$$\rho^\Theta_n(u_1(\Theta)^{i_1} \cdots u_{2d}(\Theta)^{i_{2d}}) = [u^{\Theta^n}_1(qn)]^{i_1} \cdots [u^{\Theta^n}_{2d}(qn)]^{i_{2d}}, \quad |i_j| \leq k, j = 1, ..., 2d$$

is a $1+\varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1+\varepsilon$ Lip-isometry. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta^{q^{l+1}}}(q^{l+2}) \simeq M_{q^{(l+1)d}}$.

Proof. Similar to (5.9), we define

$$\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta(q) \otimes_{\min} C(\mathbb{T}^{2d})$$
$$\sigma(u_1(\Theta)^{k_1} \cdots u_{2d}(\Theta)^{k_{2d}}) = u^\Theta_1(q)^{k_1} \cdots u^\Theta_{2d}(q)^{k_{2d}} \otimes u_1(0)^{k_1} \cdots u_{2d}(0)^{k_{2d}}.$$

Since the canonical trace on $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta$ is faithful (see e.g. [Rie90]) and σ is trace preserving, σ is a faithful *-homomorphism. Recall that by (7.6) and Proposition 7.3, $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{1/n}$ is a matrix algebra. We define

$$\rho^\Theta_n = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \rho_n) \circ \sigma : \mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta(q) \otimes_{\min} \mathcal{A}^{2d}_{1/n} \tag{7.9}$$
$$u_1(\Theta)^{k_1} \cdots u_{2d}(\Theta)^{k_{2d}} \mapsto u^\Theta_1(q)^{k_1} \cdots u^\Theta_{2d}(q)^{k_{2d}} \otimes v_1(n)^{k_1} \cdots v_{2d}(n)^{k_{2d}} =: \hat{u}^{k_1}_1 \cdots \hat{u}^{k_{2d}}_{2d}.$$

By Lemma 7.4, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq 0$ there exists $N > 0$ such that for all $n > N$

$$\rho^\Theta_n|_{\mathcal{A}^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}_\Theta} : \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}_\Theta \to \mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta(q) \otimes \mathcal{A}^{2d}_{1/n}$$

is a $1 + \varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1 + \varepsilon$ Lip-isometry onto its image. To identify the image of ρ^Θ_n, note that by (7.7) we have

$$\hat{u}_r\hat{u}_s = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}(\theta_{rs}+\frac{1}{n})}\hat{u}_s\hat{u}_r, \quad 1 \leq r < s \leq 2d. \tag{7.10}$$

If we let $\theta^n_{rs} = \theta_{rs} + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{np_{rs}+q}{nq}$, then we may define the iterated crossed product $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}(nq)$ in the same way as (7.8), where the entries of Θ^n are given by θ^n_{rs}. Although $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}(nq)$ is universally defined, dimension counting shows that we can take $\hat{u}_1, ..., \hat{u}_{2d}$ as its universal generators. Therefore, we have $\rho^\Theta_n(\mathcal{A}^{2d}_\Theta) = \mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta^n}(nq)$, and $\hat{u}_j = u^{\Theta^n}_j(qn)$, $j = 1, ..., 2d$.

Similar to the case of the 2-dimensional tori, we can choose a subsequence n_l so that $\hat{u}_1, ..., \hat{u}_{2d}$ generate $M_{n^d_l}$. Indeed, since $\hat{u}_r\hat{u}_s = e^{2\pi \mathrm{i}(\theta_{rs}+\frac{1}{n_l})}\hat{u}_s\hat{u}_r$ for all $r < s$, by Proposition

7.3, we just need $(\theta_{rs}n_l + 1, n_l) = 1$ for all r, s to verify that $C^*(\rho^{\Theta}_{n_l}(\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta})) \simeq M_{n_l^d}$. But $\theta_{rs} = \frac{p_{rs}}{q}$, it suffices to take $n_l = q^{l+1}$ as in Lemma 5.10. Then we find that

$$\theta^{n_l}_{rs} = \frac{q^l p_{rs} + 1}{q^{l+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta^{n_l}}(n_l q) = \mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta^{n_l}}(n_l) \simeq M_{n_l^d}.$$

Note that the generators of $M_{n_l^d}$ may be different from $v_1(n_l), ..., v_{2d}(n_l)$. Thus $M_{n_l^d}^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}$ refers to the subspace of $M_{n_l^d}$ generated by $\hat{u}_1^{j_1} \cdots \hat{u}_{2d}^{j_{2d}}$ for $|j_i| \leq k, i = 1, ..., 2d$. □

Suppose θ_{rs} may not be written as $\frac{p_{rs}}{q}$. Note that

$$\{(\frac{p_{rs}}{q})_{1\leq r<s\leq 2d} : 0 \neq q \in \mathbb{Z}, p_{rs} \in \mathbb{Z}, |p_{rs}| \leq q, (p_{rs}, q) = 1\}$$

is dense in $[-1, 1]^{d(2d-1)}$. Following the same argument as that of Proposition 6.10 with the result of Haagerup–Rørdam replaced by Theorem 7.1, we find maps

$$\rho^{\Theta}_n : \mathcal{A}^{\Lambda^{2d}_k}_{\Theta} \to [\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\tilde{\Theta}^n}(nq)]^{\Lambda^{2d}_k} \tag{7.11}$$

which is a $1 + \varepsilon$ cb-isometry and a $1 + \varepsilon$ Lip-isometry. Here $\tilde{\Theta}^n$ and q are chosen such that $\tilde{\Theta} = (\tilde{\theta}_{rs} = \frac{p_{rs}}{q})^n_{r,s=1}$ is close to Θ and $\tilde{\Theta}^n = (\tilde{\theta}^n_{rs} = \frac{p_{rs}}{q} + \frac{1}{n})^n_{r,s=1}$. Moreover, we have $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\tilde{\Theta}^{q^{l+1}}}(q^{l+2}) \simeq M_{q^{(l+1)d}}$.

Let $S = \{\rho^{\Theta}_{n_l^d}(x) : x = \sum_{\vec{j}=(j_1,...,j_{2d})\in\Lambda^{2d}_k} a_{\vec{j}} u_1(\Theta)^{j_1} \cdots u_{2d}(\Theta)^{j_{2d}}, k \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}\}$. Here $\rho^{\Theta}_{n_l^d}$ is the $1 + \frac{1}{l}$ cb-isometry and $1 + \frac{1}{l}$ Lip-isometry found in Lemma 7.4, Proposition 7.5 and (7.11). Here $n_l = q^{l+1}$ for some q chosen appropriately as above. The following is a consequence of these results.

Proposition 7.6. *$(\{(M_{n_l^d})_{sa}, L_{n_l}\}_{l\in\bar{\mathbb{N}}}, S)$ is a cb-continuous field of compact quantum metric spaces.*

We consider a conditionally negative length function ϕ_n on $\mathbb{Z}^{2d}_n$ for $n \in \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ as in Section 3. For example, we can take $\phi_n(k_1, ..., k_{2d}) = \psi_n(k_1) + \cdots + \psi_n(k_{2d})$, where ψ_n is given in (2.5). We find a symmetric Markov semigroup on $L(\mathbb{Z}^{2d}_n)$, which induces a symmetric Markov semigroup on M_{n^d} as in (5.3). Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 extend directly to the current situation.

Theorem 7.7. *There exists a sequence of matrix algebras $M_{n_j^d}$ converging to $\mathcal{A}^{2d}_{\Theta}$ in the R-cb quantum Gromov–Hausdorff distance.*

Proof. First we need a tail estimate which is an extension of Theorem 6.12. This follows the same proof as that of Theorem 6.12. Indeed, similar to the proof of Lemma 5.18, given $\varepsilon > 0$, we may choose k and then define $\phi^n_{k,\eta}(j_1, ..., j_{2d}) = \varphi^n_{k,\eta}(j_1) \cdots \varphi^n_{k,\eta}(j_{2d})$, where $\varphi^n_{k,\eta}(\cdot)$ is the multiplier found in Lemma 4.4 and this time we take $\eta \in (0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2d(2k+1)^{2d}})$. Then we use (possibly extended versions of) Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.4, Corollary 5.7, Proposition

5.3 (or Corollary 3.7) and Remark 3.9 as explained above. The rest of the argument is a simple extension of the proof of Theorem 6.13. □

8. Application to Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity

In this section we work in the framework of Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity developed by Latrémolière recently in [Lat15, Lat16, Lat15b]. We will show that our previous results on convergence of matrix algebras to rotation algebras actually hold in the strong sense of Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity. For a fixed permissible function F (see [Lat15, Definition 2.18]), denote by $\Lambda_F((A, L_A), (B, L_B))$ the Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity between two compact quantum metric spaces, in the sense of [Lat15, Definition 3.54]. Recall that according to [Lat15, Definition 3.42], if A and B are two unital C*-algebras, a bridge $\gamma = (D, \omega, \pi_A, \pi_B)$ is given by a unital C*-algebra D, two unital *-monomorphisms $\pi_A : A \hookrightarrow D$ and $\pi_B : B \hookrightarrow D$ and $\omega \in D$ such that the set $S(A|\omega) := \{\varphi \in S(A) : \forall d \in D, \varphi(d) = \varphi(d\omega) = \varphi(\omega d)\}$ is not empty, where $S(A)$ denotes the state space of A. In the following let $F : [0,\infty)^4 \to [0,\infty)$ be defined by $F(x, y, l_x, l_y) = xl_y + yl_x$, for $x, y, l_x, l_y \in [0,\infty)$; see Definition 2.18 in [Lat15].

Lemma 8.1. *Let $(A, |||.|||_A)$ and $(B, |||.|||_B)$ be two F-quasi-Leibniz compact quantum metric spaces in the sense of* [Lat15, Definition 2.44]. *If there exist two *-homomorphisms $\pi_A : A \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_B : B \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following hold:*

1. *For all $a \in A$ such that $|||a|||_A \leq 1$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $|||b|||_B \leq 1$ and $\|\pi_A(a) - \pi_B(b)\|_D < \varepsilon$,*
2. *For all $b \in B$ such that $|||b|||_B \leq 1$, there exists $a \in A$ such that $|||a|||_A \leq 1$ and $\|\pi_A(a) - \pi_B(b)\|_D < \varepsilon$,*

then $\Lambda_F((A, |||.|||_A), (B, |||.|||_B)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. We refine the proof of Lemma 3.79 in [Lat15] by taking a *trek* (see Definition 3.49 in [Lat15]) consisting of a single *bridge* (see Definition 3.42 in [Lat15]), namely $\gamma = (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathrm{id}, \pi_A, \pi_B)$. Note that in this case, since any state on A or B can be extended to a state on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and for $\omega = \mathrm{id}$, $S(A|\omega) = S(A)$, with the notation of [Lat15], we have the *height* $\zeta(\gamma||||.|||_A, |||.|||_B) = 0$; see Definition 3.46 in [Lat15]. On the other hand, if (1) and (2) hold, then by definition, the *reach* $\rho(\gamma||||.|||_A, |||.|||_B) = \varepsilon$ (see Definition 3.45 in [Lat15]). Now by Definitions 3.47 and 3.54 in the aforementioned paper, we have $\Lambda_F((A, |||.|||_A), (B, |||.|||_B)) \leq \varepsilon$. □

Definition 8.2. Let $(A_n, |||.|||_{A_n})$ and $(B, |||.|||_B)$ be F-quasi-Leibniz quantum compact metric spaces in the sense of [Lat15]. We say $(A_n, |||.|||_{A_n})$ converges to $(B, |||.|||_B)$ in the sense of strong Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity, if the unitization of $(\mathcal{K} \otimes A_n, |||.|||_{\mathcal{K}\otimes A_n})$ converges to the unitization of $(\mathcal{K} \otimes B, |||.|||_{\mathcal{K}\otimes B})$ in the sense of Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the space of compact operators on ℓ_2.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq)$ in Proposition 7.5. By Definition 2.21 in [Lat15] and the existence of a derivation δ as defined in (3.5), $(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}, |||.|||)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq), |||.|||)$ are *Leibniz pairs*. Indeed, the conditions in the definition were proved in [JM10, JMP14]; see also [Zen14] for more remarks on the Lip-norms. Furthermore, let δ^c and δ^r denote the column and row structure derivations, respectively (see Lemma 3.4). Note that Remark 3.6 applies to the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq)$ as well by choosing $2d$ generators. Then by (3.8), for $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq)$, $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $|||x||| = \max\{\|\delta^c(x)\|, \|\delta^r(x)\|\}$. Choose the multiplier $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ on $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ as $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$ in the proof of Theorem 7.7. Note that

$$\|\delta^c(x)\| = \sup_{k\geq 1,\eta>0} \|\delta^c(T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(x))\|.$$

But $T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}$ is a finite rank map and δ^c is continuous on a fixed finite-dimensional space. Similar argument holds true for $\|\delta^r(x)\|$. It follows that $|||\cdot|||$ is a lower semicontinuous Lip-norm. Therefore, by Definition 2.44 in [Lat15] and by the choice of F, $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ are F-quasi-Leibniz quantum compact metric spaces. Here, in fact they are Leibniz quantum compact metric spaces. For notational convenience, we will write $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)$ or even $\mathcal{A}_\Theta(n)$ for $\mathcal{A}_{\Theta^n}^{2d}(nq)$ in the following by abuse of notation.

Let $u_1^\Theta(n), ..., u_{2d}^\Theta(n)$ denote the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)$ and $u_1^\Theta, ..., u_{2d}^\Theta$ denote the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$. In the following let

$$l = (l_1, ..., l_{2d}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2d}, \quad \lambda_n^\Theta(l) = u_1^\Theta(n)^{l_1} ... u_{2d}^\Theta(n)^{l_{2d}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^\Theta(l) = (u_1^\Theta)^{l_1} ... (u_{2d}^\Theta)^{l_{2d}}.$$

We understand that $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(\infty) = \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$ and $u_i^\Theta(\infty) = u_i^\Theta$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2d$, are the generators of $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$.

Lemma 8.3. *Let $m > 0$ and ψ be the length function associated with the heat semigroup that was introduced previously. There exists a constant $C = C(m, \psi)$ such that for $n > 2m$ (including $n = \infty$) and all $y \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)$, we have $\|\mathring{y}\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)} \leq C|||\mathring{y}|||_{\mathcal{K}\otimes\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)}$. Here $\mathring{y}$ is the mean-zero part of y extending to matrix levels.*

Proof. Recall from Section 3 the definition of $\nabla_p(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n))$. For $x \in S_p(\nabla_p(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)))$, by (3.7) we have

$$\|x\|_{S_p(\nabla_p(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)))} = \max\{\|\Gamma(x,x)^{1/2}\|_p,\ \|\Gamma(x^*,x^*)^{1/2}\|_p\}.$$

Let $p = 2$ and $x = \sum_k a_k \otimes \lambda_n^\Theta(k) \in S_2(\nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)))$. Then we have

$$\|x\|^2_{S_2(\nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)))} = \sum_k \|a_k\|^2_{S_2}\psi(k).$$

Similar to (3.11), we define for fixed k,

$$\phi : \nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)) \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_l a_l\lambda_n^\Theta(l) \mapsto a_k\psi(k).$$

Then we have $\|\phi\|_{\rm cb} = \|\phi\| \le 1$. Note that by [Pis98, Lemma 1,7], we have

$$\|\phi\|_{\rm cb} = \|\,{\rm id}_{S_2} \otimes \phi : S_2(\nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n))) \to S_2\| = \|\,{\rm id}_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes \phi : \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\min} \nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n)) \to \mathcal{K}\|.$$

Hence, we have for $x = \sum_k a_k \otimes \lambda_n^\Theta(k) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\min} \nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n))$,

$$\sup_k \psi(k)^{1/2}\|a_k\|_{\mathcal{K}} \le \|x\|_{\mathcal{K}\otimes_{\min}\nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n))}. \tag{8.1}$$

Let $y = \sum_{k\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} b_k\lambda_n^\Theta(k) \in \nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n))$, where $\nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n))$ consists of the mean-zero elements of $\nabla_2(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n))$. Define a map

$$\nu : \nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)) \to \ell_\infty(\Lambda_m^{2d})$$

by $\nu(y) = (b_k)_{k\in\Lambda_m^{2d}}$ and let μ be the inverse of η. We have the following chain of maps

$$(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n), |||\cdot|||) \xrightarrow{\rm id} \nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)) \xrightarrow{\nu} \ell_\infty(\Lambda_m^{2d}) \xrightarrow{\mu} (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n), \|\cdot\|).$$

By Corollary 3.5, we have $\|\,{\rm id} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n), |||\cdot|||) \to \nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n))\|_{\rm cb} \le c$ for some constant c. We deduce from (8.1) that

$$\|\nu : \nabla_2^0(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)) \to \ell_\infty(\Lambda_m^{2d})\|_{\rm cb} \le \frac{1}{\inf_{\substack{k\in\Lambda_m^{2d}\\ \psi(k)\neq 0}} \psi(k)^{1/2}}.$$

Moreover, $\|\mu : \ell_\infty(\Lambda_m^{2d}) \to \mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda_m^{2d}}(n)\|_{\rm cb} \le (2m+1)^d$, since the cardinality of Λ_m^{2d} is $(2m+1)^d$. This proves that $\|\mu\circ\nu\circ{\rm id}\,\|_{\rm cb} \le C$, for $n > 2m$ and some $C = C(m,\psi)$. □

Suppose $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ is the multiplier on $\mathbb{Z}_n^d$ chosen as $\phi_{k,\eta}^n$ in the proof of Theorem 7.7, which is supported on Λ_m^{2d}. We define the following multipliers for $n > 2m, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$

$$T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}(\lambda_n^\Theta(l)) = \varphi_{k,\eta}^n(l)\lambda_n^\Theta(l),$$

such that for $n > 2m$ we have

$$\|T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d(n), \|.\|) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d(n), \|.\|)\|_{\rm cb} \le 1+\eta,$$

and

$$\|T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^d(n), |||.|||)\|_{\rm cb} \le 1+\eta,$$

for all $n > 2m, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$.

Corollary 8.4. *There exists $N > 0$ such that the identity map $(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), \|.\|)$ is completely bounded uniformly for $n > N$ including $n = \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 6.12, there exist $\eta < \varepsilon$, $k = k(\varepsilon)$ and a multiplier $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ and $N > 0$ such that $\|T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}\|_{\rm cb} \le 1+\eta$. For $n > N$, we have

$$\|\,{\rm id} - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), \|.\|)\|_{\rm cb} \le \varepsilon.$$

Let $\varepsilon = 1$ and $\mathrm{supp}(\varphi^n_{k,\eta}) = \Lambda^{2d}_m$, for some $m = m(k,\eta)$ independent of n. Then by Lemma 8.3, we have

$$\|(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda^{2d}_m}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda^{2d}_m}(n), \|.\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq C(m,\psi),$$

where $C(m,\psi)$ is the constant in Lemma 8.3. Then for the maps $\mathrm{id} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), \|.\|)$ and $T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\,\mathrm{id}\,\|_{\mathrm{cb}} &\leq \|\,\mathrm{id} - T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} + \|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \\
&\leq 1 + \|\,\mathrm{id}\,|_{\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda^{2d}_m}(n)} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), \|.\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \|T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \\
&\leq 1 + C(m,\psi)(1+\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sup_n \|\,\mathrm{id} : (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), |||.|||) \to (\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n), \|.\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq c,$$

for some constant c independent of n. □

Let n_j be the subsequence we found in the proof of Proposition 7.5. Then we have $C^*(\rho^\Theta_{n_j}(\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d})) = M_{n_j^d}$, and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n_j) = M_{n_j^d}$. Let B_{n_j} and B_∞ denote the spaces $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}(n_j)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{2d}$, respectively. In the following we use the index n instead of n_j, for simplicity. For any $m > 0$, let B^m_n and B^m_∞ denote the subspaces $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda^{2d}_m}(n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\Theta^{\Lambda^{2d}_m}$, respectively.

In the following, we consider the vector space of noncommutative polynomials

$$\mathrm{Poly} = \bigcup_{k\geq 1} \{p : p = \sum_{|i_1|,\ldots,|i_{2d}|\leq k} a_{i_1\ldots i_{2d}} x_1^{i_1} \ldots x_{2d}^{i_{2d}}\}.$$

For simplicity we denote an element $x = \sum_{|i_1|,\ldots,|i_{2d}|\leq k} a_{i_1\ldots i_{2d}} x_1^{i_1}\ldots x_{2d}^{i_{2d}} \in \mathrm{Poly}$ by $\sum_{i\in\Lambda^{2d}_k} x(i)$. Let g_1,..., g_{2d} denote the generators of the full group C*-algebra $C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d})$. Define the following *-homomorphisms

$$\sigma^n_\Theta : C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}) \to B_n, \quad \sigma_\Theta : C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}) \to B_\infty$$

by $\sigma_\Theta(g_i) = u_i^\Theta$ and $\sigma^n_\Theta(g_i) = u_i^\Theta(n)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 2d$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we get a *-homomorphism

$$\sigma^\bullet_\Theta : C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}) \to \prod_n B_n = M_\infty$$

defined by $\sigma^\bullet_\Theta = (\sigma^n_\Theta)_n$. Note that $I = c_0(\{B_n\})$ is an ideal in M_∞. Hence we get the quotient map $q : M_\infty \to M_\infty/I$. Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Since by Proposition 7.6, $\{(B_n)_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}}\}$ is a continuous field over $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we have

$$\|q \circ \sigma^\bullet_\Theta(x)\| = \|\sigma_\Theta(x)\|. \tag{8.2}$$

Define $\hat{B} = q \circ \sigma^\bullet_\Theta(C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}))$. By (8.2), since norms on $\hat{B}$ and B_∞ coincide, $\hat{B}$ is isomorphic to B_∞. Let

$$B = q^{-1}(\hat{B}) = \{\sigma^\bullet_\Theta(a) + z : a \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}), z \in I\}.$$

B is the C*-algebra generated by $c_0(\{B_n\})$ and $\sigma_\Theta^\bullet(C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}))$. Then B is a $C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$-algebra with fiber maps

$$\eta_n : B \to B_n \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_\infty = q|_B : B \to B_\infty,$$

where η_n is the projection of B onto B_n. That is, $\eta_n((x_j)_j + z) = x_n$, for $(x_j)_j \in M_\infty$ and $z \in I$, and $\eta_\infty(\sigma_\Theta^\bullet(x) + y) = \sigma_\Theta(x)$, for $x \in C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d})$, $y \in I$. Then the following sequence is exact

$$0 \to I \to B \to \hat{B} \cong B_\infty \to 0.$$

Note that both I and B_∞ are nuclear C*-algebras (recall that B_∞ is an iterative crossed product). Hence B is nuclear (see Proposition 10.1.3 in [BO08]). Therefore, similar to Theorem 7.1, by the aforementioned result of Kirchberg and Blanchard (see Theorem 3.2 in [Bla97]), there exist a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and a faithful *-homomorphism $\pi : B \to C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that π maps $C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ to $C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ canonically. Let $\iota_n : B_n \to B$ be defined by $\iota_n(y) = (y_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$, where

$$y_l = \begin{cases} y & l = n, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for $y \in B_n$. Therefore, we get the following maps

$$\pi_n : B_n \to C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \sigma : C^*(\mathbb{F}_{2d}) \to B \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_\infty : B_\infty \to C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

given by $\pi_n = \pi \circ \iota_n$, $\sigma = \iota_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n$ and define $\pi_\infty(x)$ as follows. For any $a \in \mathrm{Poly}$, let $a_n = \pi_n(\sigma_\Theta^n(a))$. Then $(a_n)_n$ is a convergent sequence in $C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Hence $\lim_n a_n = a_\infty$, for some a_∞. Define $\pi_\infty(\sigma_\Theta(a)) := a_\infty$. The following diagram summarizes this argument



where the last row is short exact.

Lemma 8.5. *With the notation above, the following hold*

(1) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n(\lambda_n^\Theta(l)) - \pi_\infty(\lambda^\Theta(l))\| = 0$,
(2) *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n > N$ and $x = \sum_{l\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} a_l \otimes x(l) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{Poly}$, we have*

$$\| \mathrm{id} \otimes(\pi_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n)(x) - \mathrm{id} \otimes(\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(x)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2\otimes\mathcal{H})} < \varepsilon \sup_{l\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} \|a_l\|_{\mathcal{K}}.$$

Proof. To prove (1), let $g = g_1^{l_1} ... g_{2d}^{l_{2d}}$ and π, σ, σ_Θ^n be as above. Let $(y_n)_n = \pi \circ \sigma(g) \in C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, for some $(y_n)_{n\in\overline{\mathbb{N}}} \in C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$. Therefore, $\lim_n y_n = y_\infty$. Moreover, we have

$$y_n = \pi(\sigma(g)1_{\{n\}}) = \pi(\iota_n(\sigma_\Theta^n(g))) = \pi_n\sigma_\Theta^n(g),$$

where $1_{\{n\}}$ denotes the characteristic function of $\{n\}$. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y_\infty$ in $C(\overline{\mathbb{N}}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, this implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n(\sigma_\Theta^n(g)) - \pi_\infty(\sigma_\Theta(g))\| = 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\pi_n(\lambda_n^\Theta(l)) - \pi_\infty(\lambda^\Theta(l))\| = 0,$$

for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.

To prove (2), let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{(2m+1)^{2d}}$. Using (1) and the triangle inequality, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n)(x) - \operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(x)\| &= \|\sum_{l\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} a_l \otimes (\pi_n(\lambda_n^\Theta(l)) - \pi_\infty(\lambda^\Theta(l)))\| \\ &\leq (\sum_{l\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} \|a_l\|)\delta \leq (2m+1)^{2d} \sup_{l\in\Lambda_m^{2d}} \|a_l\| \frac{\varepsilon}{(2m+1)^{2d}} = \varepsilon \sup_{l\in\Lambda_m^{d2}} \|a_l\|,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion. □

In the following, let π_n and π_∞ be the faithful *-homomorphisms as defined above.

Theorem 8.6. *There exists a subsequence of matrix algebras with suitable Lip-norms converging to the rotation algebras in the sense of strong Gromov–Hausdorff propinquity for even dimensions.*

Proof. Consider the bridge $\gamma = (\mathcal{B}(\ell_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}), \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty, \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_n, \operatorname{id})$. Then by Lemma 8.6, it suffices to show that there exists a subsequence n_j such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and the unitization of $\mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$ and $\mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ (which we will also denote by $\mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$ and $\mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$, respectively), the following hold:

(1) For all $a \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$ such that $|||a||| \leq 1$, there exists $b \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ such that $|||b||| \leq 1$ and $\|\operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty(a) - \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_{n_j}(b)\| < \varepsilon$,
(2) For all $b \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ such that $|||b||| \leq 1$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$ such that $|||a||| \leq 1$ and $\|\operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty(a) - \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_{n_j}(b)\| < \varepsilon$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 6.12, there exist $0 < \eta < \varepsilon$, $k = k(\varepsilon)$ and multipliers $\varphi_{k,\eta}^n$ on B_n supported on Λ_m^{2d}, for some $m = m(k,\eta)$ independent of n, such that $\|T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n}\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq 1+\eta$ and for all $n > 2m$ we have

$$\|\operatorname{id} - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}^n} : (B_n, |||.|||) \to (B_n, \|.\|)\|_{\mathrm{cb}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \tag{8.3}$$

In the following, by abuse of notation, for all $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$, we denote $\mathrm{id} \otimes T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}} : (\mathcal{K} \otimes B_n, |||\cdot|||) \to (\mathcal{K} \otimes B_n, |||\cdot|||)$ by $T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}$. For any x in $\mathcal{K} \otimes B^m_n$ or $\mathcal{K} \otimes B^m_\infty$, let $\hat{x}$ denote the corresponding element in $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{Poly}$. Let $\delta < \frac{\varepsilon}{4C(m,\psi)}$, where $C(m,\psi)$ is the constant from Lemma 8.3. Using Lemma 8.5 (2) and Lemma 8.3, we can choose a subsequence n_j such that for all $x \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_{n_j}$, by denoting $\tilde{x} = T_{\varphi^{n_j}_{k,\eta}}(\mathring{x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_{n_j} \circ \sigma^{n_j}_\Theta)(\hat{\tilde{x}}) - \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(\hat{\tilde{x}}) \|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_2 \otimes \mathcal{H})} \\ \leq \delta \sup_{l \in \Lambda^2_m} \|a_l\|_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} |||\tilde{x}|||_{\mathcal{K} \otimes B^m_{n_j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

where $\mathring{T}_{\varphi^{n_j}_{k,\eta}}(x)$ denotes the mean-zero part of $T_{\varphi^{n_j}_{k,\eta}}(x)$ and a_l are the coefficients of $T_{\varphi^{n_j}_{k,\eta}}(x) = \sum_{l \in \Lambda^{2d}_m} a_l \otimes \lambda^\Theta(l)$. From now on we abuse the notation and drop the index j of n_j.

To prove (1), let $a \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$ such that $|||a||| \leq 1$. Let $x = T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}}(a) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B^m_\infty$. Hence $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{Poly}$. Let $b' = \mathrm{id} \otimes \sigma^n_\Theta(\hat{x}) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$. Then by (8.3), (8.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_\infty(a) - \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_n(b') \| &= \| \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_\infty(\mathring{a}) - \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_n \circ \sigma^n_\Theta)(\hat{\mathring{x}}) \| \\ &\leq \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(\hat{\mathring{a}}) - \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(\hat{\mathring{x}}) \| \\ &+ \| \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(\hat{\mathring{x}}) - \mathrm{id} \otimes (\pi_n \otimes \sigma^n_\Theta)(\hat{\mathring{x}}) \| \\ &\leq \|\mathring{a} - T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}}(\mathring{a})\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} |||T_{\varphi_{k,\eta}}(\mathring{a})||| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1+\eta) \\ &\leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $b = \frac{b'}{|||b'|||}$. Then $|||b||| \leq 1$. Recall from Proposition 7.5 that for $\varepsilon' > 0$, the map $\rho^\Theta_n : B^m_\infty \to B^m_n$ is a $1+\varepsilon'$ Lip-isometry. Let $\varepsilon' = \eta$. Note that $b' = \mathrm{id} \otimes \rho^\Theta_n(\sigma_\Theta(\hat{x}))$. Hence, $|||b'||| \leq (1+\eta)|||x||| \leq (1+\eta)^2$ and we have

$$\|b' - b\| = (\frac{|||b'||| - 1}{|||b'|||})\|b'\| \leq ((1+\eta)|||x||| - 1)\frac{\|b'\|}{|||b'|||} \leq (\eta^2 + 2\eta)\frac{\|b'\|}{|||b'|||}.$$

By Corollary 8.4, $\frac{\|b'\|}{|||b'|||} \leq K$ for some $K > 0$. Therefore, if we choose η small enough, we have

$$\| \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_n(b) - \mathrm{id} \otimes \pi_n(b') \| \leq \|b - b'\| \leq K(\eta^2 + 2\eta) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

which proves (1).

To prove (2), let a subsequence n_j which we will denote by n, be chosen as above. Let $b \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n$ be such that $|||b||| \leq 1$. Let $\mathring{b}$ denote the mean-zero part of b. Let

$$b' = T_{\varphi^n_{k,\eta}}(\mathring{b}) = \sum_{0 \neq l \in \Lambda^{2d}_m} a_l \otimes \lambda^\Theta_n(l) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n.$$

Then by (8.3), we have $\|\mathring{b} - b'\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}|||b|||$. Let $\hat{b'} = \sum_{l \in \Lambda_m^2} a_l \otimes x(l)$ be the corresponding element in $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathrm{Poly}$. Choose $a' = \sigma_\Theta(\hat{b'}) \in \mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty$. Then using (8.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty(a') - \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_n(b)\| &\leq \|\operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_\infty \circ \sigma_\Theta)(\hat{b'}) - \operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n)(\hat{b'}))\| \\ &\quad + \|\operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n)(\hat{b'}) - \operatorname{id}\otimes(\pi_n \circ \sigma_\Theta^n)(\hat{b})\| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}|||b'||| + \|b - b'\| \\ &\leq (\frac{\varepsilon}{4}(1+\eta) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4})|||b|||.\end{aligned}$$

Now let $a = \frac{a'}{|||a'|||}$. Similar to (1), using the fact that $(\rho_n^\Theta)^{-1}$ is a $1+\eta$ Lip-isometry, we get $\|a' - a\| \leq K(\eta^2 + 2\eta)$. Therefore, choosing η small enough, we get

$$\|\operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty(a) - \operatorname{id}\otimes\pi_\infty(\tilde{a})\| \leq \|a - a'\| \leq K(\eta^2 + 2\eta) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Hence (2) follows.

(1) and (2) together with Lemma 8.6 prove that $\Lambda_F(\mathcal{K} \otimes B_\infty, \mathcal{K} \otimes B_n) < \varepsilon$, which proves the assertion. □

Remark 8.7. In Sections 4 and 5, we chose $p > 2$ for our estimates. Note that in the higher-dimensional case, the choice of p depends on the dimension of the rotation algebra and the choice of the semigroup.

Let us conclude this paper by describing the common metric space in which the Gromov–Hausdorff distance is realized. It turns out that this metric space is the dual unit ball of $B_n - B_\infty$ bimodule given by a derivation.

Lemma 8.8. *Let δ_1 and δ_2 be two derivations on the C^*-algebras A and B, respectively and $\pi_1 : A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\pi_2 : B \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be two *-homomorphisms. Let $\lambda > 0$. Then*

$$\delta(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2(b) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda(\pi_1(a) - \pi_2(b)) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a derivation on $A \oplus B$ with left and right actions respectively given by

$$\varrho_l(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi_1(a) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \varrho_r(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pi_2(b) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. It is a direct calculation. Let $a, a' \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta[(a,b)\cdot(a',b')] &= \delta(aa', bb') \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a\delta_1(a') + \delta(a)a' & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b\delta_2(b') + \delta_2(b)b' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda(\pi_1(a)\pi_1(a') - \pi_2(b)\pi_2(b')) \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

This clearly coincides with $\varrho_l(a,b)\delta(a',b') + \delta(a,b)\varrho_r(a',b')$ by the definition of left and right actions. □

Let us recall that the matrix Lip norms are given by two derivations δ^r and δ^c (see Lemma 3.4). Let σ (resp. id) denote the left (resp. right) action of B_n on $H_\psi^c \otimes B_n$ as given in (3.6). Note that $\sigma(x^*)^* = \sigma(x)$. Hence,

$$v_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^c(x^*)^* \\ \delta^c(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta^r(x) \\ \delta^c(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a derivation with actions given by $\tilde{\sigma}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma(x) \end{pmatrix}$. The same is true for $n = \infty$.

For $a \in B_n$ and $b \in B_\infty$, by Lemma 8.8

$$\delta(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} v_n(a) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & v_\infty(b) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\pi_n(a) - \pi_\infty(b)) \end{pmatrix}$$

defines a derivation on $B_n \oplus B_\infty$. We use the operator space

$$X = \{\delta(a,b) : \ a \in B_n, b \in B_\infty\}.$$

Inspired by [Lat16], it is now easy to describe the Lipschitz embeddings of the state spaces. Recall the faithful *-homomorphisms π_n and π_∞ defined as above. By abuse of notation, let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the Hilbert space on which both B_n and B_∞ are faithfully represented by π_n and π_∞, respectively.

Theorem 8.9. *The maps $q_n : X \to (B_n, |||\cdot|||)$ and $q_\infty : X \to (B_\infty, |||\cdot|||)$ defined by*

$$q_n(\delta(a,b)) = a, \ q_\infty(\delta(a,b)) = b,$$

respectively, are complete quotient maps. Moreover, we have

$$dist_H(q_n^*(S(B_n)), q_\infty^*(S(B_\infty))) \le \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By Theorem 8.6, for all $a \in B_n$ with $|||a||| \le 1$, there exists $b \in B_\infty$ with $|||b||| \le 1$ such that $\|\pi_\infty(a) - \pi_n(b)\| < \varepsilon$. Hence $\|\delta(a,b)\| \le 1$. Similarly for all $b \in B_\infty$ with $|||b||| \le 1$, there exists $a \in B_n$ with $|||a||| \le 1$ such that $\|\pi_\infty(a) - \pi_n(b)\| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, these hold in the matrix-valued case as well. Hence q_n and q_∞ are complete surjections.

Let $\phi \in S(B_n)$ be a state. Since $\pi_n(B_n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and π_n is faithful, we can find a Hahn–Banach extension $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^*$ of ϕ. For $b \in B_\infty$, we may define $\psi(b) = \hat{\phi}(\pi_\infty(b))$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |q_n^*(\phi)(\delta(a,b)) - q_\infty^*(\psi)(\delta(a,b))| &= |\phi(a) - \psi(b)| \\ &= |\hat{\phi}(\pi_n(a)) - \hat{\phi}(\pi_\infty(b))| \\ &\leq \|\hat{\phi}\|\varepsilon \frac{\|\pi_n(a) - \pi_\infty(b)\|}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\delta(a,b)\|_X \,. \end{aligned}$$

By interchanging the roles of B_n and B_∞, we get $\mathrm{dist}_H(q_n^*(S(B_n)), q_\infty^*(S(B_\infty))) \leq \varepsilon$. □

Remark 8.10. Using conditions (1) and (2) in Theorem 8.6, we also have

$$\mathrm{dist}_H(q_n^*(S(M_d(B_n)), |||\cdot|||), q_\infty^*(S(M_d(B_\infty)), |||\cdot|||)) \leq \varepsilon,$$

where $S(M_d(B_n))$ denotes the state space of $M_d(B_n)$.

Remark 8.11. For the case $d = 1$, we can explicitly construct the *-homomorphisms π_n and π_∞ of Theorem 8.6; see Section 4.

Usually in the commutative case, derivations and their modules are given by vector bundles and therefore they have a geometric meaning. It would be interesting to understand the geometric interpretation of the distance estimates obtained above.

References

[BL76] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, No. 223. MR0482275 (58 #2349)

[Bla97] E. Blanchard, *Subtriviality of continuous fields of nuclear C^*-algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **489** (1997), 133–149. MR1461207

[BO08] N. P. Brown and N. Ozawa, *C^*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. MR2391387 (2009h:46101)

[Boc01] F.-P. Boca, *Rotation C^*-algebras and almost Mathieu operators*, Theta Series in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 1, The Theta Foundation, Bucharest, 2001. MR1895184 (2003e:47063)

[Bou86] J. Bourgain, *Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1-BMO duality*, Probability theory and harmonic analysis (Cleveland, Ohio, 1983), 1986, pp. 1–19. MR830227 (87j:42049b)

[CDS98] A. Connes, M. R. Douglas, and A. Schwarz, *Noncommutative geometry and matrix theory: compactification on tori*, J. High Energy Phys. **2** (1998), Paper 3, 35 pp. (electronic). MR1613978

[Con94] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry*, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994. MR1303779

[Cow83] M. G. Cowling, *Harmonic analysis on semigroups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 267–283. MR690846 (84h:43004)

[CS03] F. Cipriani and J.-L. Sauvageot, *Derivations as square roots of Dirichlet forms*, J. Funct. Anal. **201** (2003), no. 1, 78–120. MR1986156 (2004e:46080)

[CXY13] Z. Chen, Q. Xu, and Z. Yin, *Harmonic analysis on quantum tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. **322** (2013), no. 3, 755–805. MR3079331

[Dav96] K. R. Davidson, *C^*-algebras by example*, Fields Institute Monographs, vol. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996. MR1402012 (97i:46095)

[Dix77] J. Dixmier, *C^*-algebras*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jellett, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 15. MR0458185

[GKP97] H. Grosse, C. Klimčík, and P. Prešnajder, *Field theory on a supersymmetric lattice*, Comm. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), no. 1, 155–175. MR1463037

[HL01] P.-M. Ho and M. Li, *Fuzzy spheres in AdS/CFT correspondence and holography from noncommutativity*, Nuclear Phys. B **596** (2001), no. 1-2, 259–272. MR1814253

[HR95] U. Haagerup and M. Rørdam, *Perturbations of the rotation C^*-algebras and of the Heisenberg commutation relation*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), no. 3, 627–656. MR1324637 (96e:46073)

[JM10] M. Junge and T. Mei, *Noncommutative Riesz transforms—a probabilistic approach*, Amer. J. Math. **132** (2010), no. 3, 611–680. MR2666903 (2012b:46136)

[JM12] M. Junge and T. Mei, *BMO spaces associated with semigroups of operators*, Math. Ann. **352** (2012), no. 3, 691–743. MR2885593

[JMP14] M. Junge, T. Mei, and J. Parcet, *Smooth Fourier multipliers on group von Neumann algebras*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **24** (2014), no. 6, 1913–1980. MR3283931

[JPPP13] M. Junge, C. Palazuelos, J. Parcet, and M. Perrin, *Hypercontractivity in group von Neumann algebras*, ArXiv e-prints (April 2013), available at 1304.5789.

[JZ15a] M. Junge and Q. Zeng, *Noncommutative martingale deviation and Poincaré type inequalities with applications*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **161** (2015), no. 3-4, 449–507. MR3334274

[JZ15b] ———, *Subgaussian 1-cocycles on discrete groups*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **92** (2015), no. 2, 242–264. MR3404023

[Kas88] G. G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201. MR918241

[KL09] D. Kerr and H. Li, *On Gromov-Hausdorff convergence for operator metric spaces*, J. Operator Theory **62** (2009), no. 1, 83–109. MR2520541 (2010h:46087)

[Kro99] M. Krogh, *Noncommutative geometry and twisted little string theories*, Ph.D. Thesis, 1999.

[KS00] A. Konechny and A. Schwarz, *Moduli spaces of maximally supersymmetric solutions on noncommutative tori and non-commutative orbifolds*, J. High Energy Phys. **9** (2000), Paper 5, 24. MR1789106

[Lat05] F. Latrémolière, *Approximation of quantum tori by finite quantum tori for the quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **223** (2005), no. 2, 365–395. MR2142343 (2006d:46092)

[Lat15a] F. Latremoliere, *Quantum Metric Spaces and the Gromov-Hausdorff Propinquity*, ArXiv e-prints (June 2015), available at 1506.04341.

[Lat15b] F. Latrémolière, *The dual Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **103** (2015), no. 2, 303–351. MR3298361

[Lat16] ———, *The quantum Gromov-Hausdorff propinquity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 1, 365–411. MR3413867

[Li06] H. Li, *Order-unit quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **231** (2006), no. 2, 312–360. MR2195335 (2006k:46119)

[Mad99] J. Madore, *An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications*, Second, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 257, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. MR1707285

[Pis03] G. Pisier, *Introduction to operator space theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 294, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR2006539 (2004k:46097)

[Pis98] ———, *Non-commutative vector valued L_p-spaces and completely p-summing maps*, Astérisque **247** (1998), vi+131. MR1648908

[PX03] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Non-commutative L^p-spaces*, Handbook of the geometry of Banach spaces, Vol. 2, 2003, pp. 1459–1517. MR1999201 (2004i:46095)

[PX97] ———, *Non-commutative martingale inequalities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997), no. 3, 667–698. MR1482934 (98m:46079)

[Rie04a] M. A. Rieffel, *Compact quantum metric spaces*, Operator algebras, quantization, and noncommutative geometry, 2004, pp. 315–330. MR2106826 (2005h:46099)

[Rie04b] ———, *Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **168** (2004), no. 796, 1–65. Appendix 1 by Hanfeng Li, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces. Matrix algebras converge to the sphere for quantum Gromov-Hausdorff distance. MR2055927

[Rie89] ———, *Continuous fields of C^*-algebras coming from group cocycles and actions*, Math. Ann. **283** (1989), no. 4, 631–643. MR990592 (90b:46120)

[Rie90] ———, *Noncommutative tori—a case study of noncommutative differentiable manifolds*, Geometric and topological invariants of elliptic operators (Brunswick, ME, 1988), 1990, pp. 191–211. MR1047281

[Sch98] A. Schwarz, *Morita equivalence and duality*, Nuclear Phys. B **534** (1998), no. 3, 720–738. MR1663471

[Ste56] E. M. Stein, *Interpolation of linear operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1956), 482–492. MR0082586 (18,575d)

[SW99] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, J. High Energy Phys. **9** (1999), Paper 32, 93 pp. (electronic). MR1720697

[Wu06] W. Wu, *Quantized Gromov-Hausdorff distance*, J. Funct. Anal. **238** (2006), no. 1, 58–98. MR2234123 (2007h:46088)

[XXX16] R. Xia, X. Xiong, and Q. Xu, *Characterizations of operator-valued Hardy spaces and applications to harmonic analysis on quantum tori*, Adv. Math. **291** (2016), 183–227. MR3459017

[XXY15] X. Xiong, Q. Xu, and Z. Yin, *Sobolev, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on quantum tori*, ArXiv e-prints (July 2015), available at 1507.01789.

[Zen14] Q. Zeng, *Poincaré type inequalities for group measure spaces and related transportation cost inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal. **266** (2014), no. 5, 3236–3264. MR3158723

(M.J.) Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

E-mail address: mjunge@illinois.edu

(S.R.) Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801

E-mail address: rezvani2@illinois.edu

(Q.Z.) Mathematics Department, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208

E-mail address: qzeng.math@gmail.com